CHECKLIST ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Project Name: City of Glendive Yellowstone River Water Main Bore Project  
Proposed Implementation Date: 2022  
Proponent: City of Glendive  
Location: T16N-R55E-Sec 35 NE4, NW4  
County: Dawson County

I. TYPE AND PURPOSE OF ACTION
The City of Glendive, MT has requested a right of way easement from the DNRC. This ROW easement is for the purpose of placing a horizontally bored water main to a depth of 56.7 feet under the Yellowstone River to provide better service to the area on the west side of the river.

II. PROJECT DEVELOPMENT

1. PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT, AGENCIES, GROUPS OR INDIVIDUALS CONTACTED:
   Provide a brief chronology of the scoping and ongoing involvement for this project.
   The city of Glendive has requested that the DNRC allow the passage of the new water main mentioned above across this state-owned tract. The total acreage encompassed by this project will be 0.655 acres more or less. Said easements will be 570.44 feet long,50 feet wide, 25 feet on each side of the centerline. This line will be located under the Yellowstone River between the Highway 200 S and Bell Street bridges. The line will be constructed using the horizontal directional bore method.

2. OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES WITH JURISDICTION, LIST OF PERMITS NEEDED:
   USACE Section 408 and Section 10, MT DEQ Public Water Supply Program Plan, MDT ROW Permit, Dawson County Floodplain Permit.

3. ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:
   Alternative A- Grant Easement requested by the proponent for the purpose of construction, maintenance and operation of the buried water main line.
   Alternative B- No Action.

III. IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT

   • RESOURCES potentially impacted are listed on the form, followed by common issues that would be considered.
   • Explain POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATIONS following each resource heading.
   • Enter "NONE" if no impacts are identified or the resource is not present.

4. GEOLOGY AND SOIL QUALITY, STABILITY AND MOISTURE:
   Consider the presence of fragile, compactable or unstable soils. Identify unusual geologic features. Specify any special reclamation considerations. Identify any cumulative impacts to soils.
   Alternative A- There will be no surface disturbance associated with the bore. There should be no lasting adverse effects to the soil quality, stability or moisture. The soil structures are not fragile or unstable.
   Alternative B-No Impact
5. **WATER QUALITY, QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION:**
Identify important surface or groundwater resources. Consider the potential for violation of ambient water quality standards, drinking water maximum contaminant levels, or degradation of water quality. Identify cumulative effects to water resources.

Alternative A- No Impacts expected

Alternative B- No Impact

6. **AIR QUALITY:**
What pollutants or particulate would be produced? Identify air quality regulations or zones (e.g. Class I air shed) the project would influence. Identify cumulative effects to air quality.

Alternative A- Pollutants and Particulates may be increased during the construction of the project. After the completion of the project pollutant and particulate levels should return to normal preconstruction levels. Increase in pollutants during construction should be almost negligible.

Alternative B- No Impact

7. **VEGETATION COVER, QUANTITY AND QUALITY:**
What changes would the action cause to vegetative communities? Consider rare plants or cover types that would be affected. Identify cumulative effects to vegetation.

Alternative A-No impact.

Alternative B- No Impact

8. **TERRESTRIAL, AVIAN AND AQUATIC LIFE AND HABITATS:**
Consider substantial habitat values and use of the area by wildlife, birds or fish. Identify cumulative effects to fish and wildlife.

Alternative A- As construction should take less than one week, there should be very minimal effect on any animal habitats within the boundaries of the project construction area. Wildlife may be temporarily disturbed during the construction of the project. After completion of the project wildlife usage should return to pre-construction levels.

Alternative B- No Impact

9. **UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE OR LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES:**
Consider any federally listed threatened or endangered species or habitat identified in the project area. Determine effects to wetlands. Consider Sensitive Species or Species of special concern. Identify cumulative effects to these species and their habitat.

Alternative A- A search of the Montana Natural Heritage Database showed the presence of the following species in the general area:

**Long-eared Myotis** (*Myotis evotis*)
**Preble’s Shrew** (*Sorex preblei*)
**Spotted Bat** (*Euderma maculatum*)
**American White Pelican** (*Pelecanus erythrorhynchos*)
This project is a horizontal directional bore under the riverbed and should cause no disturbance to the ground surface or the water, and therefore, no disturbance to the aquatic species. Any disturbance to terrestrial species should be limited to the construction period. This project is not located within identified Greater Sage Grouse Habitat.

Alternative B- No Impact

10. HISTORICAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES:

Identify and determine effects to historical, archaeological or paleontological resources.

Alternative A- A DNRC staff site visit and a search on TLMS showed one identified historical or cultural items on the tract, but not in the project area. A Class I (literature review) level review was conducted by the DNRC staff archaeologist for the area of potential effect (APE). This entailed inspection of project maps, DNRC's sites/site leads database, land use records, General Land Office Survey Plats, and control cards. The Class I search revealed that no cultural or paleontological resources have been identified in the APE. No additional archaeological investigative work will be conducted in response to this proposed development. However, if previously unknown cultural or paleontological materials are identified during project related activities, all work will cease until a professional assessment of such resources can be made.

Alternative B- No Impact
11. AESTHETICS:
Determine if the project is located on a prominent topographic feature, or may be visible from populated or scenic areas. What level of noise, light or visual change would be produced? Identify cumulative effects to aesthetics.

Alternative A- No Impact
Alternative B- No Impact

12. DEMANDS ON ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES OF LAND, WATER, AIR OR ENERGY:
Determine the amount of limited resources the project would require. Identify other activities nearby that the project would affect. Identify cumulative effects to environmental resources.

Alternative A- No Impacts expected
Alternative B- No Impact

13. OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTS PERTINENT TO THE AREA:
List other studies, plans or projects on this tract. Determine cumulative impacts likely to occur as a result of current private, state or federal actions in the analysis area, and from future proposed state actions in the analysis area that are under MEPA review (scoped) or permitting review by any state agency.

None

IV. IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN POPULATION

- RESOURCES potentially impacted are listed on the form, followed by common issues that would be considered.
- Explain POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATIONS following each resource heading.
- Enter “NONE” if no impacts are identified or the resource is not present.

14. HUMAN HEALTH AND SAFETY:
Identify any health and safety risks posed by the project.

Alternative A- There may be risks to human health and safety in the construction of the project, but this should be done by qualified professionals. Safety concerns should be minimized with proper safety protocol employed by the workers.

Alternative B- There could be issues related to the age of the current system, susceptibility to freezing, lack of system redundancy and looping. This could lead to safety and emergency problems. Impacts expected.

15. INDUSTRIAL, COMMERCIAL AND AGRICULTURE ACTIVITIES AND PRODUCTION:
Identify how the project would add to or alter these activities.

Alternative A- It should have a positive effect on Industrial, Commercial and Agricultural Activities and Production in the area.
Alternative B- No Impact

16. QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYMENT:
Estimate the number of jobs the project would create, move or eliminate. Identify cumulative effects to the employment market.

Alternative A- This project has the potential to create jobs with further development possibilities.
Alternative B- No Impact
17. LOCAL AND STATE TAX BASE AND TAX REVENUES:
   Estimate tax revenue the project would create or eliminate. Identify cumulative effects to taxes and revenue.
   Alternative A- No Impacts expected
   Alternative B- No Impact

18. DEMAND FOR GOVERNMENT SERVICES:
   Estimate increases in traffic and changes to traffic patterns. What changes would be needed to fire protection, police, schools, etc.? Identify cumulative effects of this and other projects on government services.
   Alternative A- No Impact expected
   Alternative B- No Impact

19. LOCALLY ADOPTED ENVIRONMENTAL PLANS AND GOALS:
   List State, County, City, USFS, BLM, Tribal, and other zoning or management plans, and identify how they would affect this project.
   Alternative A- No Impacts expected
   Alternative B- No Impact

20. ACCESS TO AND QUALITY OF RECREATIONAL AND WILDERNESS ACTIVITIES:
   Identify any wilderness or recreational areas nearby or access routes through this tract. Determine the effects of the project on recreational potential within the tract. Identify cumulative effects to recreational and wilderness activities.
   Alternative A- No Impacts expected
   Alternative B- No Impact

21. DENSITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF POPULATION AND HOUSING:
   Estimate population changes and additional housing the project would require. Identify cumulative effects to population and housing.
   Alternative A- No Impacts expected
   Alternative B- No Impact

22. SOCIAL STRUCTURES AND MORES:
   Identify potential disruption of native or traditional lifestyles or communities.
   Alternative A- No Impacts expected
   Alternative B- No Impact
23. CULTURAL UNIQUENESS AND DIVERSITY:
   How would the action affect any unique quality of the area?
   Alternative A- No Impacts expected
   Alternative B- No Impact

24. OTHER APPROPRIATE SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC CIRCUMSTANCES:
   Estimate the return to the trust. Include appropriate economic analysis. Identify potential future uses for the analysis area other than existing management. Identify cumulative economic and social effects likely to occur as a result of the proposed action.
   Alternative A- The valuation study formula for the associated surrounding tracts will be used to determine the fee for this easement. The total impacted acreage of this proposal is 0.655 acres. The fee for this proposed project will be set by the Real Estate Management Bureau.
   Alternative B- No Impact
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V. FINDING

25. ALTERNATIVE SELECTED:
   Alternative A

26. SIGNIFICANCE OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS:
   The granting of the requested right of way easement across state owned trust lands for the proposed City of Glendive Yellowstone River Water Main Bore Project should not result in nor cause significant environmental impacts. The predicted impacts will be adequately mitigated through the construction and reclamation plans. The proposed action satisfies the trusts fiduciary mandate and ensures the long-term productivity of the land. An environmental assessment checklist is the appropriate level of analysis for the proposed action.

27. NEED FOR FURTHER ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS:
   □ EIS  □ More Detailed EA  □ No Further Analysis
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</tr>
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