CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DOCUMENTATION FOR DNRC FOREST MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY

Project Name: Toomey Lorengo Timber Permit

Proposed Implementation Date: Fall 2019 and Summer/Fall 2020

Proponent: Montana DNRC

Type and Purpose of Action: Small timber harvest (50 Mbf or less) to proactively recover income to trust from potenial blowdown resulting from adjacent federal timber harvest and harvest rails as payment for road use through private property. Harvest operations will be ground based and performed when soil is frozen or 20% soil moisture or lower. No streams are present in harvest units.

Location: T1N R14W Sections 26 & 36

County: Beaverhead

Category (refer to ARM 36.11.447 (3)(a) through (w) for additional detail):

a) 🗌	Temporary Uses of Land with Negligible Effects
b) 🔲	Plans and Policies
c) 🔲	Leases and Licenses
d) 🔲	Acquisition of Land or Interest in Land
e) 🗌	Road Maintenance and Repair
f) 🔲	Bridges and Culverts
g) 🔲	Crossing Class 3 Streams
h) 🔲	Temporary Road Use Permits
i) 🗌	Road Closure
j) 🔲	Material Stockpiles
k) 🔲	Backfilling
1)	Gathering Forest Products for Personal Use
m) 🔲	Regeneration
n) 🔲	Nursery Operations
o) 🗌	Water Wells
p) 🔲	Herbicides and Pesticides
q) 🔲	Other Hazardous Materials
r) 🔲	Fences
s) 🔲	Waterlines
t) 🔲	Removal of Small Trees
u) 🔲	Removal of Hazardous Trees
v) 🔲	Cone Collection
w)	Timber Harvest (<100 MBF green or 500 MBF salvage)

By process of the adoption of the Forest Management Rules on February 27, 2003, pursuant to ARM 36.2.523(5)(a), the Department of Natural Resources and Conservation, Trust Land

Management Division, has adopted the above categorical exclusions for activities conducted on state forested trust lands. "Categorical Exclusion" refers to a type of action that does not individually, collectively, or cumulatively require an EA or EIS unless extraordinary circumstances occur (ARM 36.2.522(5)).

Extraordinary Circumstances:

Will the proposed action affect one or more of the following resources, species or situations in the project area? If the resource, species, or situation is present, but project design avoids potential adverse effects on the resource, the answer is "No". One "Yes" answer indicates that Categorical Exclusion is not appropriate for the project, and an EA or EIS must be conducted.

YES	NO	
		a) Sites with high erosion risk.
	\boxtimes	b) Federally listed threatened and endangered species or critical habitat for threatened and endangered species as designated by the USFWS.
	\boxtimes	c) Municipal watersheds.
	\boxtimes	d) The SMZ of fish bearing streams or lakes, except for modification or replacement of bridges, culverts and other crossing structures.
	\boxtimes	e) State natural area.
	\boxtimes	f) Native American religious and cultural sites.
		g) Archaeological sites.
	\boxtimes	h) Historic properties and areas.
		i) Several related projects that individually may be subject to categorical exclusion but that may occur at the same time or in the same geographic area. Such related actions may be subject to environmental review ever if they are not individually subject to review.
	\boxtimes	j) Violations of any applicable state or federal laws or regulations.
specifie	,	ove meets the definition of the indicated categorical exclusion, including and extraordinary circumstances, as provided in the Forest Management 47).
Prepare	ed by: <u>Jason</u>	Glenn November 18, 2019 (Name) (Date)
Decision	n by: <u>Tim E</u> (Namo	

(Signature) 11-18-19 (Date)