CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DOCUMENTATION FOR DNRC FOREST MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY

Project Name: School Gulch Weeds Spraying

Proposed Implementation Date: September/October 2019

Proponent: DNRC – Bozeman Unit

Type and Purpose of Action: Commercial weed spraying of existing road prisms and locally adjacent land and harvest landing areas/slash burning areas located on Common School trust lands. Purpose of action is to treat areas with weed infestations and deter and inhibit additional weed infestations on school trust lands; and improve the health, vigor and productivity of the grasslands and forests in the proposed project area.

Location: Section 16, Township 1 South, Range 7 East

County: Gallatin

Category (refer to ARM 36.11.447 for additional detail):

| Temporary Uses of Land with Negligible Effects |
|--|
| Plans and Policies |
| Leases and Licenses |
| Acquisition of Land or Interest in Land |
| Road Maintenance and Repair |
| Bridges and Culverts |
| Crossing Class 3 Streams |
| Temporary Road Use Permits |
| Road Closure |
| D) Material Stockpiles |
| 1) Backfilling |
| 2) Gathering Forest Products for Personal Use |
| B) Regeneration |
| 1) Nursery Operations |
| 5) Water Wells |
| 6) Herbicides and Pesticides |
| 7) Other Hazardous Materials |
| B) Fences |
| 9) Waterlines |
|)) Removal of Small Trees |
| Removal of Hazardous Trees |
| 2) Cone Collection |
| 3) Timber Harvest (<100 MBF green or 500 MBF salvage |
| |

By process of the adoption of the Administrative Rules for Forest Management on February 27, 2003, pursuant to ARM 36.2.523(5)(a), the Department of Natural Resources and Conservation, Trust Land Management Division, has adopted the above categorical exclusions for activities conducted on state forest lands. "Categorical Exclusion" refers to a type of action that does not individually, collectively, or cumulatively require an EA or EIS unless extraordinary circumstances occur (ARM 36.2.522(5)).

Extraordinary Circumstances:

Will the proposed action affect one or more of the following resources or situations in the project area? If the resource or situation is present, but project design avoids potential adverse effects on the resource, the answer is "no". One "Yes" answer indicates that Categorical Exclusion is not appropriate for the project, and an EA or EIS must be conducted.

| YES | NO | | |
|------------|-----------|--|---|
| | | 1) Sites with high | erosion risk. |
| FITTING IS | <u>X</u> | | threatened and endangered species or critical |
| and form | | habitat for threa | atened and endangered species as designated |
| | X | 3) Municipal water | rsheds. |
| | X | 4) The SMZ of fish modification or crossing structu | n bearing streams or lakes, except for replacement of bridges, culverts and other ures. |
| | X | _ 5) State natural ar | |
| <u>-</u> | X | | n religious and cultural sites. |
| | X | _ 7) Archaeological | |
| | X | _ 8) Historic propert | |
| | X | categorical excl the same geogr subject to envir subject to revie 10) Violations of an | y applicable state or federal laws or regulations |
| including | specified | conditions and extract | lition of the indicated categorical exclusion, ordinary circumstances, as provided in the ment (ARM 36.11.447). |
| Prepared | by: | Chuck Barone | 09/16/2019 |
| | • | (Name) | (Date) |
| Decision I | by: | Craig Campbell (Name) | Bozeman Unit Manager (Title) |
| | alla | 2// | 9/10/19 |
| × | 7 | (Signature) | (Date) |