EA Form R 1/2007

Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation
Water Resources Division
Water Rights Bureau

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT
For Routine Actions with Limited Environmental Impact

Part I. Proposed Action Description

1. **Applicant/Contact name and address:**

   M. Clark Fultz
   100 Lookout Rd
   Cut Bank, MT 59427

2. **Type of action:** Permit to Appropriate Water 76I-30121521

3. **Water source name:** Groundwater

4. **Location affected by project:** NWNE, Section 21, Township 29N, Range 14W, Flathead County, MT.



5. **Narrative summary of the proposed project, purpose, action to be taken, and benefits:**

   This application is to obtain a water use permit for a well located in the Glacier National Park Compact Area in the above-described location. The applicant proposes to divert water at a rate of 10 GPM up to 1.14 AF acre-feet per year. The proposed use is for domestic use from January 1 to December 31 and lawn and garden irrigation of 0.06 acres April 1-Ocotber 31.

6. **Agencies consulted during preparation of the Environmental Assessment:**
(include agencies with overlapping jurisdiction)

The National Park Service is being notified per compact requirement.
Montana Historical Society
Montana Natural Heritage Program

Part II. Environmental Review

1. Environmental Impact Checklist:

PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT

WATER QUANTITY, QUALITY AND DISTRIBUTION

Water quantity *- Assess whether the source of supply is identified as a chronically or periodically dewatered stream by DFWP. Assess whether the proposed use will worsen the already dewatered condition.*

Determination: Not applicable, the source is groundwater.

Water quality *- Assess whether the stream is listed as water quality impaired or threatened by DEQ, and whether the proposed project will affect water quality.*

Determination: Not applicable, the source is groundwater.

Groundwater *- Assess if the proposed project impacts ground water quality or supply.*
If this is a groundwater appropriation, assess if it could impact adjacent surface water flows.

The Applicant will divert groundwater from a well at a rate of 10 GPM up to 1.14 AF annually. The source aquifer is potentially connected to Giefer Creek.

Determination: No impacts

DIVERSION WORKS - *Assess whether the means of diversion, construction and operation of the appropriation works of the proposed project will impact any of the following: channel impacts, flow modifications, barriers, riparian areas, dams, well construction.*

The means of diversion is a well. Water will be piped into the building or applied to the lawn via a hose and sprinkler. The diversion, construction and operation of the system will not impact any channels or riparian areas, nor significantly modify flow.

Determination: No impact

UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE OR LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES

Endangered and threatened species *- Assess whether the proposed project will impact any threatened or endangered fish, wildlife, plants or aquatic species or any "species of special concern," or create a barrier to the migration or movement of fish or wildlife. For groundwater, assess whether the proposed project, including impacts on adjacent surface flows, would impact any threatened or endangered species or "species of special concern."*

The Montana Natural Heritage Program and DFWP websites were reviewed to determine if there are any threatened or endangered fish, wildlife, plants or aquatic species or any "species of special concern", that could be impacted by the proposed project.

According to the Montana Natural Heritage Program in Township 29N, Range 14W there are two plant species of concern: Stalk-leaved Monkeyfower (Mimulus ampliatus) and Bractless Hedge-hyssop (Gratiola ebracteata).

Eleven species of concern exist: Gillette's Checkerspot (Euphydryas gillettii), Bull Trout (Salvelinus confluentus), Westslope Cuthroat Trout (Oncorhynchus clarkii lewisi), Wolverine (Gulo gulo), Fisher (Martes pennanti), Canada Lynx (Lynx canadensis), Grizzly Bear (Ursus arctos), Brown Creeper (Certhia americana), Varied Thrush (Ixoreus naevius), Pacific Wren (Troglodytes pacificus) and Cassin's Finch (Haemorhous cassinii). The source of water is groundwater; enough water will exist in surface sources for fish and macroinvertebrate species. Development near the property has existed since the 1990's; any impacts to sensitive mammal and plant species most likely has already occurred.

Determination: No impact

Wetlands **-** *Consult and assess whether the apparent wetland is a functional wetland (according to COE definitions), and whether the wetland resource would be impacted.*

Determination: There are no wetlands in the area of this project.

Ponds **-** *For ponds, consult and assess whether existing wildlife, waterfowl, or fisheries resources would be impacted.*

Determination: Not applicable, the project does not involve a pond.

GEOLOGY/SOIL QUALITY, STABILITY AND MOISTURE - *Assess whether there will be degradation of soil quality, alteration of soil stability, or moisture content. Assess whether the soils are heavy in salts that could cause saline seep.*

Determination: Soils within this area consist of alluvium deposits made up of very gravelly loamy sand, which are not sensitive to saline seep. No impact.

VEGETATION COVER, QUANTITY AND QUALITY/NOXIOUS WEEDS - *Assess impacts to existing vegetative cover. Assess whether the proposed project would result in the establishment or spread of noxious weeds.*

Development near the property has existed since the 1990's; the landowner will need to follow County noxious weed management policies.

Determination: Impact

AIR QUALITY - *Assess whether there will be a deterioration of air quality or adverse effects on vegetation due to increased air pollutants.*

Determination: No impact.

HISTORICAL AND ARCHEOLOGICAL SITES - *Assess whether there will be degradation of unique archeological or historical sites in the vicinity of the proposed project.*

Determination: No impact.

DEMANDS ON ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES OF LAND, WATER, AND ENERGY - *Assess any other impacts on environmental resources of land, water and energy not already addressed.*

Determination: None

HUMAN ENVIRONMENT

LOCALLY ADOPTED ENVIRONMENTAL PLANS AND GOALS - *Assess whether the proposed project is inconsistent with any locally adopted environmental plans and goals.*

Determination: The project is consistent with planned land use.

ACCESS TO AND QUALITY OF RECREATIONAL AND WILDERNESS ACTIVITIES - *Assess whether the proposed project will impact access to or the quality of recreational and wilderness activities.*

Determination: No impact.

HUMAN HEALTH - *Assess whether the proposed project impacts on human health.*

Determination: No impact.

PRIVATE PROPERTY - *Assess whether there are any government regulatory impacts on private property rights. Yes___ No_**X**__ If yes, analyze any alternatives considered that could reduce, minimize, or eliminate the regulation of private property rights.*

Determination: No impact.

OTHER HUMAN ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES - *For routine actions of limited environmental impact, the following may be addressed in a checklist fashion.*

Impacts on:

(a) *Cultural uniqueness and diversity?* No

(*b)* *Local and state tax base and tax revenues?* Increase in tax base based on developed property.

(c) *Existing land uses?* No

(*d)* *Quantity and distribution of employment?* No

(e) *Distribution and density of population and housing?* No

(f) *Demands for government services?* No

(g) *Industrial and commercial activity?* No

(h) *Utilities?* No

(i) *Transportation?* No

(j) *Safety?* No

(k) *Other appropriate social and economic circumstances?* No

2. ***Secondary and cumulative impacts on the physical environment and human population:***

Secondary Impacts: None

Cumulative Impacts: None

3. ***Describe any mitigation/stipulation measures:*** None

4. ***Description and analysis of reasonable alternatives to the proposed action, including the no action alternative, if an alternative is reasonably available and prudent to consider:***

PART III. Conclusion

1. ***Preferred Alternative***: As proposed

2 ***Comments and Responses:*** None

3. ***Finding:***
Yes___ No ***X*** *Based on the significance criteria evaluated in this EA, is an EIS required?*

If an EIS is not required, explain why the EA is the appropriate level of analysis for this proposed action: No significant impacts have been identified; therefore, no EIS is necessary.

Name of person(s) responsible for preparation of EA:

Name: Melissa Brickl
Title: Hydrologist/Water Resources Specialist
Date: February 25, 2019