| CHECKLIST ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT   |   |
|--|---|
| Project Name: Installation of an underground fiber optic cable.  | Proposed Implementation Date: Spring 2018 |
| Proponent: Nemont Telephone Cooperative, Inc. PO Box 600, Scobey, MT 59263   |   |
| Type and Purpose of Action: The proponent proposes to install an underground fiber optic line within a right-of-way 20' wide (10' on either side of a centerline) across School Trust land in Daniels County. This line will be "knifed in" (entrenched using machinery that requires very little digging, usually a line about 12" wide at most). The line will allow for improved telecommunication capabilities in this rural area and the surrounding communities. |   |
| Location: Lots 1 & 2 of Section 1, Township 36N,<br>Range 43E  | County: Daniels                           |

|    | I. PROJECT DEVELOPMENT   |  |
|----|--|--|
| 1. | PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT, AGENCIES, GROUPS OR INDIVIDUALS CONTACTED: Provide a brief chronology of the scoping and ongoing involvement for this project. | Tara Hicks, Right-of-Way Agent for Nemont, informed staff at the Glasgow Unit Office (GUO) of plans for this project, and shortly thereafter submitted the Right-of-Way application. GUO staff reviewed the application and discussed the project with Mrs. Hicks.   |
| 2. | OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES WITH JURISDICTION, LIST OF PERMITS NEEDED:   | No other governmental agencies have jurisdiction over this project as it pertains to School Trust lands.  Montana DNRC, Real Estate Management Bureau has jurisdiction over the project.   |
| 3. | ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:   | Action Alternative: Grant permission to Nemont Telephone Cooperative, Inc. to install the fiber optic line on School Trust land.  No Action Alternative: Deny permission to Nemont Telephone Cooperative, Inc. to install the fiber optic line on School Trust land. |

| II. IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT   |  |
|---|--|
| RESOURCE  | POTENTIAL IMPACTS  |
| 4. GEOLOGY AND SOIL QUALITY, STABILITY AND MOISTURE: Are fragile, compatible or unstable soils present? Are there unusual geologic features? Are there special reclamation considerations?  | The area of impact consists mostly of Tally-Lihen sandy loams, with 1 to 8% slopes. This soil is not fragile or unstable, and no unusual geologic features are present.  Action Alternative: There will be temporary soil disturbance due to the digging (knifing) required to install the line underground. This disturbance is relatively shallow and does not remove/displace any soil. Slight soil compaction would occur due to temporarily increased vehicle use.  No Action Alternative: Under this alternative there will be no changes to soils on the School Trust land. |
| 5. WATER QUALITY, QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION: Are important surface or groundwater resources present? Is there potential for violation of ambient water quality standards, drinking water maximum contaminant levels, or degradation of water quality? | There are no important water resources present within the area of impact. There is no potential for impact on drinking water in the area.  Action Alternative: The proposed project would not negatively impact the quality, quantity and distribution of water.  No Action Alternative: Under this alternative, there will be no impacts to water quality, quantity and distribution.   |
| 6. AIR QUALITY: Will pollutants or<br>particulate be produced? Is the<br>project influenced by air quality<br>regulations or zones (Class I<br>airshed)?  | This project is not influenced by any air quality regulations or zones. A short-term increase in vehicle traffic will result in a slight increase in dust. No pollutants will be produced.  Action Alternative: This type of project on the School Trust land will have minimal impact to the air quality. Some dust may occur due to  |

| II. IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT  |   |
|--|---|
|  | vehicle use.  |
|  | No Action Alternative: Under this alternative there will be no impacts to air quality.  |
| 7. VEGETATION COVER, QUANTITY AND QUALITY: Will vegetative communities be permanently altered Are any rare plants or cover type present?   |   |
|  | Action Alternative: The fiber optic line would have no impact on the vegetative community due to the knifing process used to install the line.                      |
|  | No Action Alternative: Under this alternative there will be no impacts to the plant communities on the School Trust land.   |
| 8. TERRESTRIAL, AVIAN AND AQUATIC LIFE AND HABITATS: Is there substantial use of the area by important wildlife, birds or fish?  | The School Trust land provides habitat for upland birds, antelope and deer. There is fair potential for recreation (hunting) on this tract.                         |
|  | Action Alternative: Any impacts due to installation of the line will be small and will be mitigated quickly with the return to normal grazing/management practices. |
|  | No Action Alternative: Under this alternative there will be no impacts to the possible use of the School Trust land as wildlife habitat.                            |
| 9. UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE OR LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES: Are any federally listed threatened or endangered species or identified habitat present? Any wetlands? Sensitive Species or Species of special concern? |   |
| 5,55242 55552  | Action Alternative: Any impacts due to installation of the line will be small and will be mitigated quickly with the return to normal                               |

| II. IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT  |  |
|--|--|
|  | grazing/management practices.  |
|  | No Action Alternative: Under this alternative there will be no impacts to the environmental resources.   |
| 10. HISTORICAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES: Are any historical, archaeological or paleontological resources present?  | According to field evaluations carried out by GUO staff, the area of impact contains no historical, archaeological or paleontological resources.   |
|  | Action Alternative: The proposed project will have no impact on historical, archaeological or paleontological resources.   |
|  | No Action Alternative: There will be no impact to historical or archaeological sites under this alternative.   |
| 11. AESTHETICS: Is the project on a prominent topographic feature? Will it be visible from populated or scenic areas? Will there be excessive noise or light?                                      | The proposed project is approximately one (1) mile from the nearest county road, so the project will not be readily visible to the public. The project is in a relatively sparsely-populated area. |
|  | Action Alternative: An underground line in this area will not alter the aesthetics at all.   |
|  | No Action Alternative: Under this alternative there will be no impacts to aesthetics associated with the School Trust land.  |
| 12. DEMANDS ON ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES OF LAND, WATER, AIR OR ENERGY: Will the project use resources that are limited in the area? Are there other activities nearby that will affect the project? | Environmental resources in the area are not specifically limited and are not affected by the proposed project.  No nearby activities will affect the project.                                      |
|  | Action Alternative: The proposed project will place no additional demands on any environmental resources in the area.  |
|  | No Action Alternative: Under this alternative there will be no demands   |

| II. IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT  |  |
|--|--|
|  | placed on environmental resources of land, water, air or energy.   |
| 13. OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTS PERTINENT TO THE AREA: Are there other studies, plans or projects on this tract? | There are currently no other studies, plans or projects on this tract.   |
| this tract:  | Action Alternative: This project will not impact any other plans or studies that Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation has on the School Trust land.                    |
|  | No Action Alternative: Under this alternative there will be no impacts to the plans or studies that Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation has on the School Trust land. |

| III. IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN POPULATION  |   |
|---|---|
| RESOURCE  | POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATION MEASURES   |
| 14. HUMAN HEALTH AND SAFETY: Will this project add to health and safety risks in the area?                                | The operation and movement of heavy equipment and vehicles has inherent risks that are not impacted by access across the School Trust land.                                     |
|   | Action Alternative: The installation of the cable would slightly increase the risk of fire during the project due to increased vehicle traffic.                                 |
|   | No Action Alternative: Under this alternative there will be no impacts to human health or safety.   |
| 15. INDUSTRIAL, COMMERCIAL AND AGRICULTURAL ACTIVITIES AND PRODUCTION: Will the project add to or alter these activities? | The area of impact is classified as grazing acreage and is managed for typical livestock grazing activities.  |
|   | Action Alternative: Any short-term disturbance to vegetation on the tract would be too small to have a measurable economic impact on the agricultural activities on this tract. |

|   | No Action Alternative: Under this alternative there will be no impacts to agricultural activities on the School Trust land.                                   |
|---|---|
| 16. QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYMENT: Will the project create, move or eliminate jobs? If so, estimated number.  | Action Alternative: The project will not create nor impact any jobs in the area.  |
|   | No Action Alternative: There will be no impacts to quantity and distribution of employment under this alternative.  |
| 17. LOCAL AND STATE TAX BASE AND TAX REVENUES: Will the project create or eliminate tax revenue?  | Action Alternative: The project will have no impacts on the local and state tax base and tax revenues.  |
|   | No Action Alternative: There will be no impacts to the local and state tax base under this alternative.   |
| 18. DEMAND FOR GOVERNMENT SERVICES: Will substantial traffic be added to existing roads? Will other services (fire protection, police, schools, etc) be needed? | Action Alternative: The project will increase vehicle traffic in the area during installation. There would be no additional demand for governmental services. |
|   | No Action Alternative: Under this alternative there will be no additional demand for government services.   |
| 19. LOCALLY ADOPTED ENVIRONMENTAL PLANS AND GOALS: Are there State, County, City, USFS, BLM, Tribal, etc. zoning or management plans in effect?                 | There are no special management plans in effect on the School Trust land. It is managed for typical agricultural activities (livestock grazing).              |
|   | Action Alternative: The project has cleared State (DNRC) management plans.  |
|   | No Action Alternative: Under this alternative there will be no impacts to locally adopted environmental plans and goals.                                      |
| 20. ACCESS TO AND QUALITY OF RECREATIONAL AND WILDERNESS ACTIVITIES: Are wilderness or recreational areas nearby or   | This tract is accessible through adjacent School Trust land, and this project would have no impact on that access.  |
| accessed through this tract? Is there recreational potential within   | Action Alternative: No changes to   |

| the tract?   | public land access or recreational potential will occur.   |
|--|--|
|  | No Action Alternative: There will be no impacts to the recreational values associated with the School Trust land under this alternative.   |
| 21. DENSITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF POPULATION AND HOUSING: Will the project add to the population and require additional housing? | Action Alternative: The project will not impact the density and distribution of population and housing.  |
|  | No Action Alternative: There will be no impacts to the density and distribution of population and housing.   |
| 22. SOCIAL STRUCTURES AND MORES: Is some disruption of native or traditional lifestyles or communities possible?               | Action Alternative: The project will enhance telecommunications capabilities for residents in the surrounding area.  |
|  | No Action Alternative: There will be no impacts to the social structures under this alternative.   |
| 23. CULTURAL UNIQUENESS AND DIVERSITY: Will the action cause a shift in some unique quality of the area?                       | Action Alternative: The project will not impact the cultural uniqueness and diversity of this rural area.  |
|  | No Action Alternative: There will be no impacts to the cultural uniqueness and diversity under this alternative.   |
| 24. OTHER APPROPRIATE SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC CIRCUMSTANCES:   | This telecommunications project is intended to provide greater telecommunication capabilities in the surrounding area/communities. This is a very rural area with limited capabilities currently.                                |
|  | Action Alternative: Allowing installation of the cable across School Trust land would have little economic impact to the School Trust, but would provide surrounding communities with increased telecommunications capabilities. |
|  | No Action Alternative: There will be   |

|  |  | no impacts to the social and economic circumstances under this alternative. |
|--|--|---|
| EA Ch  | necklist Prepared By: s/Jack Jack Medlicott I  | Medlicott Date: 2/20/2018 and Use Specialist                                |
| IV.  | FINDING  |   |
| 25.  | ALTERNATIVE SELECTED:  | Action Alternative  |
| 26.  | SIGNIFICANCE OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS:   | No significant impacts expected.  |
| 27.  | 27. Need for Further Environmental Analysis:  [ ] EIS [ ] More Detailed EA [X] No Further Analysis |   |
| EA Checklist Approved By: Matthew Poole Glasgow Unit Manager  Name Title  s/Matthew Poole\s Date: March 15, 2018 Signature |  |   |