

ADOPTION OF EXISTING ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW (EA/EIS)

Part I. Proposed Action Description

Applicant/Contact Name & Address: Denbury Green Pipeline – Montana LLC, 5320 Legacy Dr., Plano, TX 75024

Type of Action: Surface Water Application for Beneficial Use Permit 39E 30119887

Location Affected by Action: Sections 12, 13, 25, 26, 34, 35, T1S, R59E, Sections 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 18, 19, 20, T1S, R60E, Sections 8, 9, 17, 20, 29, 31, 32, T1N, R60E, Carter County

Narrative Summary of Proposed Action: The applicant proposes to divert water from an unnamed tributary (UT) to Boxelder Creek, by means of a dam, from June 15 to November 15 up to 6.14 AF, from a point in the SWSW Govt Lot 6, Section 4, T1S, R60E for industrial use from June 15 to November 15. The place of use is generally located along the proposed pipeline right-of-way and access roads. Water will be loaded onto trucks at the point of diversion and put into storage tanks for future use or spread along the pipeline right-of-way or access roads for dust suppression. The proposed appropriation is temporary.

Part II. Existing Environmental Review Information

Title: Environmental Assessment DOI-BLM-MT-C020-2017-0081-EA, Debury Green Pipeline – MT, LLC; Debury Onshore, LLC, Cedar Creek Anticline CO₂ Pipeline and EOR Development Projects.

Publication Date: September 2018

Lead Agency: U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management

Location Where Interested Parties Can View or Obtain the Document:

<https://eplanning.blm.gov/epl-front-office/eplanning/planAndProjectSite.do?methodName=dispatchToPatternPage¤tPageId=135909>

Part III. Criteria for Adopting Existing Environmental Review

- Yes No Does the existing environmental review cover an action paralleling or closely related to the proposed action?
- Yes No Is the information in the existing environmental review accurate and clearly presented?
- Yes No Is the information in the existing environmental review applicable to the action being considered?
- Yes No Were all appropriate Agencies consulted during preparation of the existing environmental review?
- Yes No Were alternatives to the proposed action evaluated as part of the existing environmental review effort?
- Yes No Have all of the impacts of the proposed action been accurately identified as part of the existing environmental review?
- Yes No If the existing environmental review identifies any significant impacts as a result of the proposed action, will they be mitigated below the level of significance?

Part IV. Conclusion

If the answers to ALL of the questions listed above are “Yes”, the existing environmental review can be considered sufficient to satisfy DNRC’s MEPA review responsibilities.

Yes No Based on the criteria evaluated in the existing EA, is an EIS required?

Name: Mark Elison

Title: Billings Regional Manager

Date: 12/14/2018

Signature: _____