

Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation
Water Resources Division
Water Rights Bureau

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT
For Routine Actions with Limited Environmental Impact

Part I. Proposed Action Description

1. Applicant/Contact name and address: R Bar N Ranch, L.L.C.
89 Sand Creek Rd
Bridger, MT 59014
2. Type of action: Application for Beneficial Water Use Permit No. 43D 30171861
3. Water source name: Sand Creek (GNIS ID 00776175)
4. Location affected by project: Generally located in the NE of Section 8, Township 6 South, Range 23 East.
5. Narrative summary of the proposed project, purpose, action to be taken, and benefits:

The Applicant proposes to divert water from Sand Creek, from May 1 to September 30, by means of a pump, from the proposed point of diversion located in the SWNWNE Section 8, Township 6 South, Range 23 East, Carbon County. The proposed use includes diverting at a flow rate of 2.45 CFS and up to 17.09 AF in volume per year, for irrigation from May 1 to September 30. The Applicant proposes irrigating 6.85 acres in the NWNE Sec. 8, T6S, R23E, Carbon County, using a gated pipe system.

The DNRC shall issue a water use permit if an applicant proves that the criteria in 85-2-311 MCA are met.

6. Agencies consulted during preparation of the Environmental Assessment:
(include agencies with overlapping jurisdiction)

Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation
Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife and Parks (FWP)
Montana Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ)
Montana Sage Grouse Habitat Conservation Program (SGHCP)
Montana Natural Heritage Program (NHP)
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS)
U.S. Department of Agriculture, National Resource Conservation Service (USDA, NRCS)

7. Attachment: Montana State Library Natural Heritage Program – R Bar N Environmental Summary, hereafter referred to as Summary

Part II. Environmental Review

1. Environmental Impact Checklist:

<h2>PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT</h2>

WATER QUANTITY, QUALITY AND DISTRIBUTION

Water quantity

This project is for 17.09 AF/YR in volume from Sand Creek to be diverted and used between May 1 to September 30. The majority of water extracted for this project will return to Sand Creek. This water is believed to come primarily from wastewater and return flows from local irrigators. If local irrigators stopped diverting water from the Clarks Fork Yellowstone River, it is believed that there would be no water available in Sand Creek for the Applicant to utilize.

StreamStats determined a contributing basin area of 44.3 square miles at the project site, with a mean annual precipitation of 13.81 inches. USGS classifies the Sand Creek as an intermittent stream, though a site visit in May 2025 by Department hydrologist Christine Schweigert determined no water was available upgradient of where the Sand Creek canal flume crosses over Sand Creek.

This Department took measurements in May; the Applicant took measurements in June, July, August, and September. Water was found to be physically available below the Sand Creek canal flume throughout the proposed months for the proposed project, based on the data analyzed at the time of the Technical Analysis. Montana FWP currently does not have a classification for Sand Creek.

Determination: No Significant Impact

Water quality

This project will consist of irrigated acreage near the creek; thus, some runoff is expected and may directly enter the creek. Minimal pollutants are expected to occur; however, this is dependent on the Applicant's behaviors and irrigation practices. The Applicant should be conscious of how their actions affect the riparian and water quality.

As of the time of this assessment, Montana DEQ classifies the water quality of Sand Creek below Bridger Creek as Use Class B-1: "Waters classified as suitable for drinking, culinary, and food processing purposes after conventional treatment; bathing, swimming, and recreation; growth and propagation of salmonid fishes and associated aquatic life, waterfowl, and furbearers; and agricultural and industrial water supply." Also, Montana FWP does not have any restrictions or closures on Sand Creek.

Determination: No Significant Impact

Groundwater

This project is for surface water and is adjacent to the creek. The project should not affect the quality or quantity of groundwater.

Determination: No Impact

DIVERSION WORKS

Pump

The project will consist of a pump (Cornell model 3RB with a 20-HP engine) and a suction apparatus to divert water from the creek. With a stationary pump, riparian damage may occur during installation. After installation, time should be given for the riparian zone to heal and revegetate. The Applicant should be aware of the damage that can be inflicted on the riparian and maintain vegetation for bank stability and habitat health. An access point for future access is recommended.

Determination: No Significant Impact

Conveyance

The Applicant proposes to convey water from the pump via a main pipeline to five auxiliary pipelines throughout the proposed place of use. Soil disturbance is expected to be minimal, but is dependent on the Applicant's practices.

Determination: No Significant Impact

UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE OR LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES

Sage Grouse

This project is located within the bounds of the Sage Grouse EO-General Habitat. The Applicant has submitted a letter from the Montana Sage Grouse Habitat Conservation Program for Project No. 7184, dated October 15, 2025 (Governor's Executive Orders 12-2015 and 21-2025). There is minimal disturbance expected with the new point of diversion for this place of use. The appropriator has been utilizing local ditch shares to irrigate, and aerial imagery from the Water Resource Survey shows the lands near the project site have been irrigated.

Determination: No Significant Impact

Endangered and threatened species

This project may have minimal impact on threatened or endangered fish, wildlife, plants, or aquatic species or any "species of special concern," or create a barrier to the migration or movement of fish or wildlife. See Summary, pages 3-10, for species in the area of the project, and their status.

Determination: No Significant Impact

Wetlands

No wetlands were claimed or proposed in this project. See Summary page 11. Page 13 of the Summary contains an incomplete list; the issue is unknown. This project is located along Sand Creek. Wetlands and riparian areas are expected at the project site, upstream, and

downstream. An EA completed in February 2026, for Application for Beneficial Water Use Permit No. 43D 30171860, located downstream of this project also had an incomplete list. Both only identify Riverine-Lower Perennial and Riverine-Intermittent rivers.

Determination: Potential Impact

Ponds

No ponds were claimed or proposed in this project. Aerial imagery does not show a body of water suggestive of a pond or pit.

Determination: No Impact

GEOLOGY - SOIL QUALITY, STABILITY AND MOISTURE

Soil Quality

The NRCS Web Soil Survey expects that the area around the point of diversion on Sand Creek, where the pump will be placed, consists primarily of Heldt Silty clay loam (Ht) with a 2 to 4 percent slope. See attached NRCS Web Soil Survey.

Stability

The Applicant should consider the effects on bank stabilization by limiting the removal of riparian vegetation.

Determination: Potential Impact

VEGETATION COVER, QUANTITY AND QUALITY/NOXIOUS WEEDS –

Land Cover

Vegetation cover can be viewed on pages 11-12 of the summary. The summary includes a range of vegetation that does not necessarily apply to the project site. The area around the project site, seen in the orange trapezoid near the lower left point of the hexagonal frame, consists primarily of great plains floodplain. As mentioned, loss of riparian is expected due to the pump being moved along the bank of the creek. The Applicant should take precautions to prevent loss of vegetation.

Determination: Potential Impact

Noxious Weeds

This project may result in the establishment or spread of noxious weeds. See Summary pages 16-18 for species found in the area. It will be the responsibility of the landowner to prevent the establishment and spread of noxious weeds and non-native species.

Determination: Potential Impact

AIR QUALITY

This project proposes to use a water pump. This product may produce air pollutants. It is the Applicant's responsibility to maintain equipment and limit environmental impact.

Determination: No Significant Impact

HISTORICAL AND ARCHEOLOGICAL SITES

Montana’s National Register of Historic Places does not identify any registered historic landmarks, properties, or districts near the project site, at the time of this assessment. If the Applicant were to locate something of historical significance, it is the Applicant's responsibility to notify the appropriate authorities.

Determination: No Known Impact

DEMANDS ON ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES OF LAND, WATER, AND ENERGY

No additional impact on other environmental resources is expected due to this project.

Determination: No Known Impacts

HUMAN ENVIRONMENT

LOCALLY ADOPTED ENVIRONMENTAL PLANS AND GOALS

There are no known locally adopted environmental plans or goals.

Determination: No Known Impacts

ACCESS TO AND QUALITY OF RECREATIONAL AND WILDERNESS ACTIVITIES

This project will have no significant impact on recreational and wilderness activities.

Determination: No Significant Impact

HUMAN HEALTH

This project will have no significant impact on human health.

Determination: No Significant Impact

PRIVATE PROPERTY

Assess whether there are any government regulatory impacts on private property rights. Yes___ No_X_ If yes, analyze any alternatives considered that could reduce, minimize, or eliminate the regulation of private property rights.

Determination: No Known Impact

OTHER HUMAN ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES

For routine actions of limited environmental impact, the following may be addressed in a checklist fashion.

Impacts on:

- (a) Cultural uniqueness and diversity? No Significant Impact
- (b) Local and state tax base and tax revenues? No Significant Impact

- (c) Existing land uses? No Significant Impact
- (d) Quantity and distribution of employment? No Significant Impact
- (e) Distribution and density of population and housing? No Significant Impact
- (f) Demands for government services? No Significant Impact
- (g) Industrial and commercial activity? No Significant Impact
- (h) Utilities? No Significant Impact
- (i) Transportation? No Significant Impact
- (j) Safety? No Significant Impact
- (k) Other appropriate social and economic circumstances? No Significant Impact

2. *Secondary and cumulative impacts on the physical environment and human population:*

Secondary Impacts: No secondary impacts are identified

Cumulative Impacts: No cumulative impacts are identified

3. *Describe any mitigation/stipulation measures:* None at this time

4. *Description and analysis of reasonable alternatives to the proposed action, including the no action alternative, if an alternative is reasonably available and prudent to consider:*

The alternative to the proposed project is the no-action alternative. The no-action alternative prevents the property owner from improving the operation of their irrigation system. The no-action alternative does not prevent or mitigate any significant environmental impacts.

PART III. Conclusion

1. *Preferred Alternative:* The DNRC shall issue a water use permit if an Applicant proves the criteria in 85-2-311 MCA are met.

2. *Comments and Responses:* It is recommended that the Applicant consider erosion control and increasing the health of the riparian zone.

3. *Finding:*

Yes ___ No X Based on the significance criteria evaluated in this EA, is an EIS required?

If an EIS is not required, explain why the EA is the appropriate level of analysis for this proposed action:

No significant environmental impacts were identified; therefore, an EIS is not required

Name of person responsible for the preparation of this EA:

Name: Cassey Strebeck

Title: Water Resource Specialist

Date: April 20, 2026



MONTANA STATE LIBRARY


NATURAL HERITAGE PROGRAM mtnhp.mt.gov

1201 11th Ave • P.O. Box 201800 • Helena, MT 59620-1800 • fax 406-444-0266 • phone 406-444-3989



Latitude	Longitude
45.32772	-108.91403
45.34537	-108.93788

Summarized by:
R Bar N - Environmental Summary
(Custom Area of Interest)



Suggested Citation

Montana Natural Heritage Program. Environmental Summary Report.
for Latitude 45.32772 to 45.34537 and Longitude -108.91403 to -108.93788. Retrieved on 4/16/2026.

The Montana Natural Heritage Program is part of the Montana State Library's Natural Resource Information System. Since 1985, it has served as a neutral and non-regulatory provider of easily accessible information on Montana's species and biological communities to inform all stakeholders in environmental review, permitting, and planning processes. The program is part of the NatureServe network that is composed of over 60 member programs across North America that work to provide current and comprehensive distribution and status information on species and biological communities.



Environmental Summary

Table of Contents

- [Species Report](#)
- [Structured Surveys](#)
- [Land Cover](#)
- [Wetland and Riparian](#)
- [Land Management](#)
- [Biological Reports](#)
- [Invasive and Pest Species](#)
- [Introduction to Montana Natural Heritage Program](#)
- [Data Use Terms and Conditions](#)
- [Suggested Contacts for Natural Resource Agencies](#)
- [Introduction to Native Species](#)
- [Introduction to Land Cover](#)
- [Introduction to Wetland and Riparian](#)
- [Introduction to Land Management](#)
- [Introduction to Invasive and Pest Species](#)
- [Additional Information Resources](#)

Introduction to Environmental Summary Report

Environmental Summary Reports from the Montana Natural Heritage Program (MTNHP) provide information on species and biological communities to inform all stakeholders in environmental review, permitting, and planning processes. For information on environmental permits in Montana, please see permitting overviews by the [Montana Department of Environmental Quality](#), the [Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation](#), the [Index of Environmental Permits for Montana](#) and our [Suggested Contacts for Natural Resource Management Agencies](#). The report for your area of interest consists of introductory and related materials in this PDF and an Excel workbook with worksheets summarizing information managed in the MTNHP databases for: (1) species occurrences; (2) other observed species without species occurrences; (3) other species potentially present based on their range, presence of associated habitats, or predictive distribution model output if available; (4) structured surveys that follow a protocol capable of detecting one or more species; (5) land cover mapped as ecological systems; (6) wetland and riparian mapping; (7) land management categories; and (8) biological reports associated with plant and animal observations. If your area of interest corresponds to a statewide polygon layer (e.g., watersheds, counties, or public land survey sections) information summaries in your report will exactly match those boundaries. However, if your report is for a custom area, users should be aware that summaries do not correspond to the exact boundaries of the polygon they have specified, but instead are a summary across a layer of hexagons intersected by the polygon they specified as shown on the report cover. Summarizing by these hexagons which are one square mile in area and approximately one kilometer in length on each side allows for consistent and rapid delivery of summaries based on a uniform grid that has been used for planning efforts across North America.

In presenting this information, MTNHP is working towards assisting the user with rapidly assessing the known or potential species and biological communities, land management categories, and biological reports associated with the report area. Users are reminded that this information is likely incomplete and may be inaccurate as surveys to document species are lacking in many areas of the state, species' range polygons often include regions of unsuitable habitat, methods of predicting the presence of species or communities are constantly improving, and information is constantly being added and updated in our databases. **Field verification by professional biologists of the absence or presence of species and biological communities in a report area will always be an important obligation of users of our data. Users are encouraged to only use this environmental summary report as a starting point for more in depth analyses and are encouraged to contact state, federal, and tribal resource management agencies for additional data or management guidelines relevant to your efforts. Please see the Appendix for introductory materials to each section of the report, additional information resources, and a list of relevant agency contacts.**

Legend			
Model Icons	Habitat Icons	Range Icons	Num Obs
Suitable (native range)	Common	Native / Year-round	Count of obs with 'good precision' (<=1000m)
Optimal Suitability	Occasional	Summer	+ indicates additional 'poor precision' obs (1001m-10,000m)
Moderate Suitability	Winter	Migratory	
Low Suitability	Non-native	Historical	
Suitable (introduced range)			




Latitude 45.32772 Longitude -108.91403
 45.34537 -108.93788

Native Species

Summarized by: R Bar N - Environmental Summary (Custom Area of Interest)

Filtered by:

Native Species reports are filtered for Species with MT Status = Species of Concern, Special Status, Important Animal Habitat, Potential SOC



Species Occurrences

	USFWS Sec7	# SO	# Obs	Predicted Model	Range
F - Rocky Mountain Cutthroat Trout (<i>Oncorhynchus virginalis</i>) SOC		1			Y
View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Species of Concern - Native/Non-native Species - (depends on location or taxa) Global: GNR State: S2 BLM: SENSITIVE FWP SWAP: SGCN Delineation Criteria Stream reaches and standing water bodies where the species presence has been confirmed through direct capture or where they are believed to be present based on the professional judgement of a fisheries biologist due to confirmed presence in adjacent areas. In order to reflect the importance of adjacent terrestrial habitats to survival, stream reaches are buffered 100 meters, standing water bodies greater than 1 acre are buffered 50 meters, and standing water bodies less than 1 acre are buffered 30 meters into the terrestrial habitat based on PACFISH/INFISH Riparian Conservation Area standards. (Last Updated: Oct 22, 2024) Predicted Models: 100% Suitable (native range) (deductive)					
V - Asclepias incarnata (<i>Swamp Milkweed</i>) SOC		1			Y
View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G5 State: S1S2 Plant Threat Score: No Known Threats CCVI: Moderately Vulnerable Delineation Criteria Individual occurrences are generally based upon a discretely mapped area provided by an observer and are not separated by any pre-defined distance. Individual clusters of plants mapped at fine spatial scales (separated by less than approximately 25-50 meters) may be grouped together into one occurrence if they are not separated by distinct areas of habitat or terrain features. Point observations are buffered to encompass any locational uncertainty associated with the observation. (Last Updated: Apr 26, 2018) Predicted Models: 100% Suitable (native range) (deductive)					
B - Bald Eagle (<i>Haliaeetus leucocephalus</i>) SSS		2	1		Y
View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Special Status Species - Native Species Global: G5 State: S4 USFWS: BGEPA; MBTA USFS: Sensitive - Known in Forests (LOLO) BLM: SENSITIVE PIF: 2 Delineation Criteria Confirmed nesting area buffered by a minimum distance of 2,000 meters in order to be conservative about encompassing the breeding territory and area commonly used for re-nesting. Only nesting observations with a locational uncertainty of 1,000 meters or less will be used to delineate a nesting area. (Last Updated: Mar 19, 2026) Predicted Models: 100% Moderate (inductive)					
B - Golden Eagle (<i>Aquila chrysaetos</i>) SOC		6			Y
View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G5 State: S3 USFWS: BGEPA; MBTA BLM: SENSITIVE FWP SWAP: SGCN Delineation Criteria Confirmed nesting area buffered by a minimum distance of 3,000 meters in order to be conservative about encompassing the entire breeding territory and area commonly used for re-nesting and otherwise buffered by the locational uncertainty associated with the observation up to a maximum distance of 5,000 meters. (Last Updated: Mar 18, 2026) Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)					

[View in Field Guide](#) [View Predicted Models](#) [View Range Maps](#)

Species of Concern - Native Species Global: **G5** State: **S3** BLM: **SENSITIVE** FWP SWAP: **SGCN**

Delineation Criteria Stream reaches and impounded streams within the species' native range where the species naturally occurs and their presence has been confirmed through direct capture or where they are believed to be present based on the professional judgement of a biologist due to confirmed presence in adjacent areas. In order to reflect the importance of adjacent terrestrial habitats to survival, stream reaches are buffered 100 meters and impounded streams 50 meters into the terrestrial habitat based on PACFISH/INFISH Riparian Conservation Area standards. (Last Updated: Jan 30, 2026)

Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)

[View in Field Guide](#) [View Range Maps](#)

USFS: **Sensitive - Known in Forests (BD)**

Species of Concern - Native Species Global: **G3** State: **S2** **Species of Conservation Concern in Forests (CG)** BLM: **SENSITIVE** FWP SWAP: **SGCN** PIF: **1**

Delineation Criteria Confirmed breeding area based on the presence of a nest, chicks, juveniles, or adults on a lek. Point observations are mapped in the center of a one-square mile hexagon to protect the exact locations of leks. The outer edges of this hexagon are then buffered by a distance of 6,400 meters in order to encompass a body of research indicating that females typically nest within this distance of a lek and that lek numbers are negatively impacted by fossil fuel drilling activities within this distance of a lek. If the locational uncertainty associated with the observation is greater than 5,000 meters, the observation is not valid for creation of a species occurrence. All of the one-square mile hexagons intersecting this buffered area are presented as the Species Occurrence record. (Last Updated: Mar 19, 2026)

[View in Field Guide](#) [View Range Maps](#)

Potential Species of Concern - Native Species Global: **G5** State: **S3S4** Plant Threat Score: **No Known Threats**

Legend

Model Icons	Habitat Icons	Range Icons	Num Obs
Suitable (native range)	Common	Native / Year-round	Count of obs with 'good precision' (<=1000m)
Optimal Suitability	Occasional	Summer	+ indicates additional 'poor precision' obs (1001m-10,000m)
Moderate Suitability		Winter	
Low Suitability		Migratory	
Suitable (introduced range)		Non-native	
		Historical	



Latitude 45.32772
Longitude -108.91403
45.34537 -108.93788

Native Species

Summarized by: **R Bar N - Environmental Summary** (*Custom Area of Interest*)

Filtered by:

Native Species reports are filtered for Species with MT Status = Species of Concern, Special Status, Important Animal Habitat, Potential SOC

Other Observed Species

	USFWS Sec7	# Obs	Predicted Model	Range
B - American White Pelican (<i>Pelecanus erythrorhynchos</i>) SOC		3		
View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G4 State: S3B USFWS: MBTA FWP SWAP: SGCN PIF: 3 Predicted Models: 100% Moderate (inductive)				
B - Great Blue Heron (<i>Ardea herodias</i>) SOC		1		
View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G5 State: S3 USFWS: MBTA FWP SWAP: SGCN Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)				

Legend

Model Icons	Habitat Icons	Range Icons	Num Obs
Suitable (native range)	Common	Native / Year-round	Count of obs with 'good precision' (<=1000m)
Optimal Suitability	Occasional	Summer	+ indicates additional 'poor precision' obs (1001m-10,000m)
Moderate Suitability	Winter	Migratory	
Low Suitability	Non-native	Historical	
Suitable (introduced range)			



Latitude 45.32772
Longitude -108.91403
45.34537 -108.93788

Native Species

Summarized by: **R Bar N - Environmental Summary (Custom Area of Interest)**

Filtered by:

Native Species reports are filtered for Species with MT Status = Species of Concern, Special Status, Important Animal Habitat, Potential SOC

Other Potential Species

Species	USFWS Sec7	Predicted Model	Range
F - Burbot (<i>Lota lota</i>) PSOC View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Potential Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G5 State: S4 FWP SWAP: SGIN Predicted Models: 100% Suitable (native range) (deductive)			
I - Bombus pensylvanicus (American Bumble Bee) SOC View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G3G4 State: S3 FWP SWAP: SGCN Predicted Models: 100% Optimal (inductive)			
M - Little Brown Myotis (<i>Myotis lucifugus</i>) SOC View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G3G4 State: S2S3 USFS: Sensitive - Known in Forests (BD, BRT, KOOT) FWP SWAP: SGCN Predicted Models: 100% Moderate (inductive)			
M - North American Porcupine (<i>Erethizon dorsatum</i>) PSOC View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Potential Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G5 State: S3S4 FWP SWAP: SGCN Predicted Models: 100% Moderate (inductive)			
M - Townsend's Big-eared Bat (<i>Corynorhinus townsendii</i>) SOC View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G4 State: S3 USFS: Sensitive - Known in Forests (LOLO) BLM: SENSITIVE FWP SWAP: SGCN Predicted Models: 100% Moderate (inductive)			
M - Western Spotted Skunk (<i>Spilogale gracilis</i>) PSOC View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Potential Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G5 State: SU FWP SWAP: SGIN Predicted Models: 100% Moderate (inductive)			
B - Hooded Merganser (<i>Lophodytes cucullatus</i>) PSOC View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Potential Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G5 State: S4 USFWS: MBTA PIF: 2 Predicted Models: 100% Moderate (inductive)			
B - Pinyon Jay (<i>Gymnorhinus cyanocephalus</i>) SOC View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G3 State: S3 USFWS: MBTA; BCC10; BCC17 FWP SWAP: SGCN Predicted Models: 100% Moderate (inductive)			
R - North American Snapping Turtle (<i>Chelydra serpentina</i>) SOC View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Species of Concern - Native/Non-native Species - (depends on location or taxa) Global: G4G5 State: S3 BLM: SENSITIVE FWP SWAP: SGCN Predicted Models: 100% Moderate (inductive)			
R - Western Milksnake (<i>Lampropeltis gentilis</i>) SOC View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G5 State: S2 BLM: SENSITIVE FWP SWAP: SGCN Predicted Models: 100% Moderate (inductive)			
V - Eleocharis rostellata (Beaked Spikerush) SOC View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G5 State: S3 USFS: Species of Conservation Concern in Forests (CG, FLAT, HLC) Plant Threat Score: Unknown CCVI: Less Vulnerable FWP SWAP: SGCN Predicted Models: 100% Moderate (inductive)			
M - Pallid Bat (<i>Antrozous pallidus</i>) SOC View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G4 State: S3 BLM: SENSITIVE FWP SWAP: SGCN Predicted Models: 100% Moderate (inductive)			

<input type="checkbox"/>	B - Blue-gray Gnatcatcher (<i>Poliopitila caerulea</i>) SOC			
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G5 State: S3B USFWS: MBTA BLM: SENSITIVE FWP SWAP: SGCN Predicted Models: 100% Moderate (inductive)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	B - Dickcissel (<i>Spiza americana</i>) PSOC			
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Potential Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G5 State: S4B USFWS: MBTA Predicted Models: 100% Moderate (inductive)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	B - Yellow-billed Cuckoo (<i>Coccyzus americanus</i>) SOC			
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G5 State: S3B USFWS: PS: LT; MBTA BLM: THREATENED FWP SWAP: SGCN PIF: 2 Predicted Models: 100% Moderate (inductive)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	I - Danaus plexippus (<i>Monarch</i>) SOC			
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G4 State: S2S3 USFWS: P USFS: Sensitive - Migratory in Forests (BD, BRT, KOOT) FWP SWAP: SGCN Predicted Models: 100% Moderate (inductive)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	M - Black-tailed Prairie Dog (<i>Cynomys ludovicianus</i>) SOC			
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G4 State: S3 BLM: SENSITIVE FWP SWAP: SGCN Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	M - Dwarf Shrew (<i>Sorex nanus</i>) PSOC			
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Potential Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G4 State: S2S3 FWP SWAP: SGIN Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	M - Fringed Myotis (<i>Myotis thysanodes</i>) SOC			
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G4 State: S3 BLM: SENSITIVE FWP SWAP: SGCN Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	M - Grizzly Bear (<i>Ursus arctos</i>) SOC			
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G4 State: S3 USFWS: LT BLM: THREATENED Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	M - Long-eared Myotis (<i>Myotis evotis</i>) SOC			
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G5 State: S3 FWP SWAP: SGCN Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	M - Long-legged Myotis (<i>Myotis volans</i>) SOC			
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G4G5 State: S3 FWP SWAP: SGCN Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	M - Merriam's Shrew (<i>Sorex merriami</i>) SOC			
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G4 State: S3 FWP SWAP: SGCN Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	M - Prairie Shrew (<i>Sorex haydeni</i>) PSOC			
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Potential Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G5 State: S3S4 FWP SWAP: SGCN Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	M - Silver-haired Bat (<i>Lasionycteris noctivagans</i>) SOC			
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G4 State: S3 FWP SWAP: SGCN Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	B - Barrow's Goldeneye (<i>Bucephala islandica</i>) PSOC			
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Potential Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G5 State: S4 USFWS: MBTA PIF: 2 Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	B - Eastern Screech-Owl (<i>Megascops asio</i>) PSOC			
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Potential Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G5 State: S3S4 USFWS: MBTA FWP SWAP: SGCN PIF: 3 Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	B - Sharp-tailed Grouse (<i>Tympanuchus phasianellus</i>) SOC			
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G5 State: S3S4 FWP SWAP: SGCN PIF: 2 Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)			

<input type="checkbox"/>	R - Western Hog-nosed Snake (<i>Heterodon nasicus</i>) SOC		
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G5T5 State: S2 BLM: SENSITIVE FWP SWAP: SGCN Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	A - Great Plains Toad (<i>Anaxyrus cognatus</i>) SOC		
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G5 State: S3 BLM: SENSITIVE FWP SWAP: SGCN Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	A - Northern Leopard Frog (<i>Lithobates pipiens</i>) SOC		
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G5 State: S3S4 USFS: Sensitive - Suspected in Forests (KOOT, LOLO) BLM: SENSITIVE FWP SWAP: SGCN Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	I - Bombus insularis (<i>Indiscriminate Cuckoo Bumble Bee</i>) PSOC		
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Potential Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G3 State: S3S4 Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	I - Bombus occidentalis (<i>Western Bumble Bee</i>) SOC		
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G3 State: S2 USFS: Sensitive - Known in Forests (BD, BRT, KOOT) BLM: SENSITIVE FWP SWAP: SGCN Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	I - Bombus suckleyi (<i>Suckley's Cuckoo Bumble Bee</i>) SOC		
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G2G3 State: S1 USFWS: P FWP SWAP: SGCN Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	V - Carex crawei (<i>Crawe's Sedge</i>) SOC		
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G5 State: S2S3 Plant Threat Score: Low Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	V - Hymenoxys torreyana (<i>Torrey Bitterweed</i>) PSOC		
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Potential Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G4 State: S3S4 Plant Threat Score: No Known Threats Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	V - Stellaria crassifolia (<i>Fleshy Stitchwort</i>) SOC		
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G5 State: S2 Plant Threat Score: No Known Threats Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	M - Eastern Red Bat (<i>Lasiurus borealis</i>) SOC		
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G3G4 State: S3B BLM: SENSITIVE FWP SWAP: SGCN Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	M - Northern Hoary Bat (<i>Lasiurus cinereus</i>) SOC		
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G3G4 State: S3B BLM: SENSITIVE FWP SWAP: SGCN Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	M - Spotted Bat (<i>Euderma maculatum</i>) PSOC		
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Potential Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G4 State: S4 BLM: SENSITIVE FWP SWAP: SGIN Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	B - American Bittern (<i>Botaurus lentiginosus</i>) SOC		
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G5 State: S3B USFWS: MBTA BLM: SENSITIVE FWP SWAP: SGCN PIF: 3 Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	B - Black-billed Cuckoo (<i>Coccyzus erythrophthalmus</i>) SOC		
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G5 State: S3B USFWS: MBTA; BCC11; BCC17 BLM: SENSITIVE FWP SWAP: SGCN PIF: 2 Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	B - Black-necked Stilt (<i>Himantopus mexicanus</i>) SOC		
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G5 State: S3B USFWS: MBTA FWP SWAP: SGCN PIF: 3 Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	B - Bobolink (<i>Dolichonyx oryzivorus</i>) SOC		
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G5 State: S3B USFWS: MBTA; BCC10; BCC11; BCC17 FWP SWAP: SGCN PIF: 3 Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)		

<input type="checkbox"/> B - Brewer's Sparrow (<i>Spizella breweri</i>) SOC			
View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G5 State: S3B USFWS: MBTA BLM: SENSITIVE FWP SWAP: SGCN PIF: 2 Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)			
<input type="checkbox"/> B - Broad-tailed Hummingbird (<i>Selasphorus platycercus</i>) PSOC			
View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Potential Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G5 State: S4B USFWS: MBTA; BCC10 Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)			
<input type="checkbox"/> B - Chimney Swift (<i>Chaetura pelagica</i>) PSOC			
View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Potential Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G4G5 State: S3S4B USFWS: MBTA; BCC11 FWP SWAP: SGCN PIF: 3 Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)			
<input type="checkbox"/> B - Common Poorwill (<i>Phalaenoptilus nuttallii</i>) PSOC			
View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Potential Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G5 State: S4B USFWS: MBTA FWP SWAP: SGIN PIF: 3 Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)			
<input type="checkbox"/> B - Long-billed Curlew (<i>Numenius americanus</i>) SOC			
View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G4 State: S3B USFWS: MBTA; BCC11 BLM: SENSITIVE FWP SWAP: SGCN PIF: 2 Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)			
<input type="checkbox"/> B - Plumbeous Vireo (<i>Vireo plumbeus</i>) PSOC			
View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Potential Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G5 State: S3S4B USFWS: MBTA FWP SWAP: SGCN PIF: 3 Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)			
<input type="checkbox"/> B - Sage Thrasher (<i>Oreoscoptes montanus</i>) SOC			
View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G4 State: S3B USFWS: MBTA BLM: SENSITIVE FWP SWAP: SGCN PIF: 3 Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)			
<input type="checkbox"/> B - Veery (<i>Catharus fuscescens</i>) SOC			
View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G5 State: S3B USFWS: MBTA BLM: SENSITIVE FWP SWAP: SGCN PIF: 2 Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)			
<input type="checkbox"/> B - White-faced Ibis (<i>Plegadis chihi</i>) SOC			
View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G5 State: S3B USFWS: MBTA BLM: SENSITIVE FWP SWAP: SGCN PIF: 2 Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)			
<input type="checkbox"/> B - Sprague's Pipit (<i>Anthus spragueii</i>) SOC			Not Assessed
View in Field Guide View Range Maps Species of Concern - Native Species Global: G3G4 State: S3B USFWS: MBTA; BCC11; BCC17 BLM: SENSITIVE FWP SWAP: SGCN PIF: 1			



Structured Surveys

Summarized by: **R Bar N - Environmental Summary** (*Custom Area of Interest*)

The Montana Natural Heritage Program (MTNHP) records information on the locations where more than 80 different types of well-defined repeatable survey protocols capable of detecting an animal species or suite of animal species have been conducted by state, federal, tribal, university, or private consulting biologists. Examples of structured survey protocols tracked by MTNHP include: visual encounter and dip net surveys for pond breeding amphibians, point counts for birds, call playback surveys for selected bird species, visual surveys of migrating raptors, kick net stream reach surveys for macroinvertebrates, visual encounter cover object surveys for terrestrial mollusks, bat acoustic or mist net surveys, pitfall and/or snap trap surveys for small terrestrial mammals, track or camera trap surveys for large mammals, and trap surveys for turtles. Whenever possible, photographs of survey locations are stored in MTNHP databases.


MTNHP does not typically manage information on structured surveys for plants; surveys for invasive species may be a future exception.

Within the report area you have requested, structured surveys are summarized by the number of each type of structured survey protocol that has been conducted, the number of species detections/observations resulting from these surveys, and the most recent year a survey has been conducted.

E-Noxious Weed, Road-based (<i>Noxious Weed Road-based Visual Surveys</i>)	Survey Count: 2	Obs Count: 4	Recent Survey: 2003
F-Fish Other Survey (<i>Fish Other Survey (FWP Survey Type)</i>)	Survey Count: 2	Obs Count: 4	Recent Survey: 1957
F-Fish Trapping/Netting (<i>Fish Trapping or Netting Surveys</i>)	Survey Count: 1	Obs Count: 7	Recent Survey: 2004
M-Bat Roost (Active Season) (<i>Bat Roost (Active Season) Survey</i>)	Survey Count: 1	Obs Count:	Recent Survey: 2003

Land Cover

Summarized by: **R Bar N - Environmental Summary** (*Custom Area of Interest*)



Human Land Use Agriculture

Cultivated Crops

**66% (421
Acres)**

These areas used for the production of crops, such as corn, soybeans, small grains, sunflowers, vegetables, and cotton, typically on an annual cycle. Agricultural plant cover is variable depending on season and type of farming. Other areas include more stable land cover of orchards and vineyards.



Wetland and Riparian Systems Floodplain and Riparian

Great Plains Floodplain

**11% (69
Acres)**

This system occurs along the Missouri and Yellowstone Rivers and their larger tributaries, including parts of the Little Missouri, Clark's Fork Yellowstone, Powder, Tongue, Bighorn, Milk, and Musselshell rivers. These are the big perennial rivers of the region, with hydrologic dynamics largely driven by snowmelt and rainfall originating in their headwater watersheds, rather than local precipitation events. In the absence of disturbance, periodic flooding of fluvial and alluvial soils and channel migration will create depressions and backwaters that support a mosaic of wetland and riparian vegetation, whose composition and structure is sustained, altered and redistributed by hydrology. Dominant communities within this system range from floodplain forests to wet meadows to gravel/sand flats, linked by underlying soils and flooding regimes. In the western part of the system's range in Montana, the overstory dominant species is black cottonwood (*Populus balsamifera ssp. trichocarpa*) with narrowleaf cottonwood (*Populus angustifolia*) and eastern cottonwood (*Populus deltoides*) occurring as co-dominants in the riparian/floodplain interface near the mountains. Further east, narrowleaf cottonwood and Plains cottonwood become dominant. In relatively undisturbed stands, willow (*Salix* species), redosier dogwood (*Cornus sericea*) and common chokecherry (*Prunus virginiana*) form a thick, multi-layered shrub understory, with a mixture of cool and warm season graminoid species below.

In Montana, many occurrences are now degraded to the point where the cottonwood overstory is the only remaining natural component. The hydrology of these floodplain systems has been affected by dams, highways, railroads and agricultural ditches, and as a result, they have lost their characteristic wetland /riparian mosaic structure. This has resulted in a highly altered community consisting of relict cottonwood stands with little regeneration. The understory vegetation is dominated by non-native pasture grasses, legumes and other introduced forbs, or by the disclimax western snowberry (*Symphoricarpos occidentalis*) and rose (*Rosa* species) shrub community.



Grassland Systems

Lowland/Prairie Grassland

9% (59 Acres)

Great Plains Mixedgrass Prairie

The system covers much of the eastern two-thirds of Montana, occurring continuously for hundreds of square kilometers, interrupted only by wetland/riparian areas or sand prairies. Soils are primarily fine and medium-textured. The growing season averages 115 days, ranging from 100 days on the Canadian border to 130 days on the Wyoming border. Climate is typical of mid-continental regions with long severe winters and hot summers. Grasses typically comprise the greatest canopy cover, and western wheatgrass (*Pascopyrum smithii*) is usually dominant. Other species include thickspike wheatgrass (*Elymus lanceolatus*), green needlegrass (*Nassella viridula*), blue grama (*Bouteloua gracilis*), and needle and thread (*Hesperostipa comata*). Near the Canadian border in north-central Montana, this system grades into rough fescue (*Festuca campestris*) and Idaho fescue (*Festuca idahoensis*) grasslands. Remnants of shortbristle needle and thread (*Hesperostipa curtisetata*) dominated vegetation are found in northernmost Montana and North Dakota, and are associated with productive sites, now mostly converted to farmland. Forb diversity is typically high. In areas of southeastern and central Montana where sagebrush steppe borders the mixed grass prairie, common plant associations include Wyoming big sagebrush-western wheatgrass (*Artemisia tridentata* ssp. *wyomingensis*/*Pascopyrum smithii*). Fire and grazing are the primary drivers of this system. Drought can also impact it, in general favoring the shortgrass component at the expense of the mid-height grasses. With intensive grazing, cool season exotics such as Kentucky bluegrass (*Poa pratensis*), smooth brome (*Bromus inermis*), and Japanese brome (*Bromus japonicus*) increase in dominance; both of these rhizomatous species have been shown to markedly decrease species diversity. Previously cultivated acres that have been re-vegetated with non-native plants have been transformed into associations such as Kentucky bluegrass (*Poa pratensis*)/western wheatgrass (*Pascopyrum smithii*) or into pure crested wheatgrass (*Agropyron cristatum*) stands.

No Image

Human Land Use

Developed

3% (19 Acres)

Other Roads

County, city and or rural roads generally open to motor vehicles.



3% (16 Acres)

Recently Disturbed or Modified

Introduced Vegetation

Introduced Riparian and Wetland Vegetation

Areas where non-native vegetation dominates lands immediately adjacent to rivers and streams (riparian) or occupies 75% or more of a wetland. Typically this class describes Russian Olive along large rivers east of the Rocky Mountains.



2% (16 Acres)

Shrubland, Steppe and Savanna Systems

Sagebrush Steppe

Big Sagebrush Steppe

This widespread ecological system occurs throughout much of central Montana, and north and east onto the western fringe of the Great Plains. In central Montana, where this system occurs on both glaciated and non-glaciated landscapes, it differs slightly, with more summer rain than winter precipitation and more precipitation annually. Throughout its distribution, soils are typically deep and non-saline, often with a microphytic crust. This shrub-steppe is dominated by perennial grasses and forbs with greater than 25% cover. Overall shrub cover is less than 10 percent. In Montana and Wyoming, stands are more mesic, with more biomass of grass, and have less shrub diversity than stands farther to the west, and 50 to 90% of the occurrences are dominated by Wyoming big sagebrush with western wheatgrass (*Pascopyrum smithii*). Japanese brome (*Bromus japonicus*) and cheatgrass (*Bromus tectorum*) are indicators of disturbance, but cheatgrass is typically not as abundant as in the Intermountain West, possibly due to a colder climate. The natural fire regime of this ecological system maintains a patchy distribution of shrubs, preserving the steppe character. Shrubs may increase following heavy grazing and/or with fire suppression. In central and eastern Montana, complexes of prairie dog towns are common in this ecological system.



2% (16 Acres)

Recently Disturbed or Modified

Introduced Vegetation

Introduced Upland Vegetation - Annual and Biennial Forbland

Land cover is significantly altered/disturbed by introduced annual and biennial forbs. Natural vegetation types are no longer recognizable. Typical species that dominate these areas are knapweed, oxeye daisy, Canada thistle, leafy spurge, pepperweed, and yellow sweetclover.



2% (11 Acres)

Human Land Use

Developed

Low Intensity Residential

Includes areas with a mixture of constructed materials and vegetation. Impervious surfaces account for 20-50% of total cover. These areas most commonly include single-family housing units in rural and suburban areas. Paved roadways may be classified into this category.

Additional Limited Land Cover

1% (9 Acres) Major Roads

1% (5 Acres) Developed, Open Space

<1% (0 Acres) Open Water



Wetland and Riparian

Summarized by: **R Bar N - Environmental Summary** (Custom Area of Interest)



Wetland and Riparian Mapping

R - Riverine (Rivers)

2 - Lower Perennial

UB - Unconsolidated Bottom

H - Permanently Flooded <1 Acres
(no modifier) <1 Acres **R2UBH**

R - Riverine (Rivers), 2 - Lower Perennial, UB - Unconsolidated Bottom

Stream channels where the substrate is at least 25% mud, silt or other fine particles.

4 - Intermittent

SB - Stream Bed

A - Temporarily Flooded 7 Acres
(no modifier) **7 Acres R4SBA**

C - Seasonally Flooded 1 Acres


(no modifier) <1 Acres **R4SBC**
x - Excavated **1 Acres R4SBCx**

R - Riverine (Rivers), 4 - Intermittent, SB - Stream Bed
Active channel that contains periodic water flow.



Land Management

Summarized by: **R Bar N - Environmental Summary** (Custom Area of Interest)



Land Management Summary

	Ownership	Tribal	Easements	Other Boundaries (possible overlap)
Public Lands	6 Acres (1%)			
State	6 Acres (1%)			
Montana Department of Transportation	6 Acres (1%)			
MTDOT Owned	6 Acres (1%)			
Private Lands or Unknown Ownership	634 Acres (99%)			




Biological Reports

Summarized by: **R Bar N - Environmental Summary** (*Custom Area of Interest*)

Within the report area you have requested, citations for all reports and publications associated with plant or animal observations in Montana Natural Heritage Program (MTNHP) databases are listed and, where possible, links to the documents are included.

The MTNHP plans to include reports associated with terrestrial and aquatic communities in the future as allowed for by staff resources. If you know of reports or publications associated with species or biological communities within the report area that are not shown in this report, please let us know: mtnhp@mt.gov

 Tobalske, Claudine and Linda Vance. 2017. ***Predicting the distribution of Russian Olive stands in eastern Montana valley bottoms using NAIP imagery***. Report to the US EPA. Montana Natural Heritage Program. Helena, MT. 40pp.

Legend

Model Icons	Habitat Icons	Range Icons	Num Obs
Suitable (native range)	Common	Non-native	Count of obs with 'good precision' (<=1000m)
Optimal Suitability	Occasional		+ indicates additional 'poor precision' obs (1001m-10,000m)
Moderate Suitability			
Low Suitability			
Suitable (introduced range)			



Latitude 45.32772
Longitude -108.91403
45.34537 -108.93788

















Invasive and Pest Species

Summarized by: R Bar N - Environmental Summary (*Custom Area of Interest*)

	# Obs	Predicted Model	Range
Aquatic Invasive Species			
<input type="checkbox"/> F - Common Carp (<i>Cyprinus carpio</i>) AIS			
View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Aquatic Invasive Species - Non-native Species Global: G5 State: SNA Predicted Models: 100% Suitable (introduced range) (deductive)			
<input type="checkbox"/> V - Nymphaea odorata (<i>American Water-lily</i>) AIS			
View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Aquatic Invasive Species - Non-native Species Global: G5 State: SNA Predicted Models: 100% Suitable (introduced range) (deductive)			
<input type="checkbox"/> V - Nymphaoides peltata (<i>Yellow Floating Heart</i>) AIS			
View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Aquatic Invasive Species - Non-native Species Global: G5 State: SNA Predicted Models: 100% Suitable (introduced range) (deductive)			
Noxious Weeds: Priority 1A			
<input type="checkbox"/> V - Centaurea solstitialis (<i>Yellow Starthistle</i>) N1A			
View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Noxious Weed: Priority 1A - Non-native Species Global: GNR State: SNA Predicted Models: 100% Moderate (inductive)			
<input type="checkbox"/> V - Isatis tinctoria (<i>Dyer's Woad</i>) N1A			
View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Noxious Weed: Priority 1A - Non-native Species Global: GNR State: SNA Predicted Models: 100% Moderate (inductive)			
<input type="checkbox"/> V - Phragmites australis ssp. australis (<i>European Common Reed</i>) N1A			
View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Noxious Weed: Priority 1A - Non-native Species Global: G5T5 State: SNA Predicted Models: 100% Moderate (inductive)			
Noxious Weeds: Priority 1B			
<input type="checkbox"/> V - Lythrum salicaria (<i>Purple Loosestrife</i>) N1B			
View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Noxious Weed: Priority 1B - Non-native Species Global: G5 State: SNA Predicted Models: 100% Moderate (inductive)			
<input type="checkbox"/> V - Echium vulgare (<i>Blueweed</i>) N1B			
View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Noxious Weed: Priority 1B - Non-native Species Global: GNR State: SNA Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)			
<input type="checkbox"/> V - Polygonum cuspidatum (<i>Japanese Knotweed</i>) N1B			
View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Noxious Weed: Priority 1B - Non-native Species Global: GNRTR State: SNA Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)			
<input type="checkbox"/> V - Polygonum x bohemicum (<i>Bohemian Knotweed</i>) N1B			
View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Noxious Weed: Priority 1B - Non-native Species Global: GNA State: SNA Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)			
Noxious Weeds: Priority 2A			
<input type="checkbox"/> V - Ventenata dubia (<i>Ventenata</i>) N2A			
View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Noxious Weed: Priority 2A - Non-native Species Global: GNR State: SNA Predicted Models: 100% Moderate (inductive)			
<input type="checkbox"/> V - Lepidium latifolium (<i>Perennial Pepperweed</i>) N2A			
View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Noxious Weed: Priority 2A - Non-native Species Global: GNR State: SNA Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)			
<input type="checkbox"/> V - Rhamnus cathartica (<i>Common Buckthorn</i>) N2A			
View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Noxious Weed: Priority 2A - Non-native Species Global: GNR State: SNA Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)			
Noxious Weeds: Priority 2B			

<input type="checkbox"/>	V - <i>Acroptilon repens</i> (<i>Russian Knapweed</i>) N2B		
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Noxious Weed: Priority 2B - Non-native Species Global: GNR State: SNA Predicted Models: 100% Moderate (inductive)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	V - <i>Centaurea stoebe</i> (<i>Spotted Knapweed</i>) N2B		
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Noxious Weed: Priority 2B - Non-native Species Global: GNR State: SNA Predicted Models: 100% Moderate (inductive)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	V - <i>Cirsium arvense</i> (<i>Canada Thistle</i>) N2B	2	
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Noxious Weed: Priority 2B - Non-native Species Global: G5 State: SNA Predicted Models: 100% Moderate (inductive)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	V - <i>Convolvulus arvensis</i> (<i>Field Bindweed</i>) N2B	2	
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Noxious Weed: Priority 2B - Non-native Species Global: GNR State: SNA Predicted Models: 100% Moderate (inductive)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	V - <i>Cynoglossum officinale</i> (<i>Common Hound's-tongue</i>) N2B		
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Noxious Weed: Priority 2B - Non-native Species Global: GNR State: SNA Predicted Models: 100% Moderate (inductive)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	V - <i>Lepidium draba</i> (<i>Whitetop</i>) N2B		
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Noxious Weed: Priority 2B - Non-native Species Global: GNR State: SNA Predicted Models: 100% Moderate (inductive)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	V - <i>Linaria dalmatica</i> (<i>Dalmatian Toadflax</i>) N2B		
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Noxious Weed: Priority 2B - Non-native Species Global: G5 State: SNA Predicted Models: 100% Moderate (inductive)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	V - <i>Tamarix ramosissima</i> (<i>Salt Cedar</i>) N2B		
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Noxious Weed: Priority 2B - Non-native Species Global: GNR State: SNA Predicted Models: 100% Moderate (inductive)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	V - <i>Berteroa incana</i> (<i>Hoary False-allysum</i>) N2B		
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Noxious Weed: Priority 2B - Non-native Species Global: GNR State: SNA Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	V - <i>Centaurea diffusa</i> (<i>Diffuse Knapweed</i>) N2B		
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Noxious Weed: Priority 2B - Non-native Species Global: GNR State: SNA Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	V - <i>Euphorbia virgata</i> (<i>Leafy Spurge</i>) N2B		
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Noxious Weed: Priority 2B - Non-native Species Global: GNR State: SNA Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	V - <i>Leucanthemum vulgare</i> (<i>Oxeye Daisy</i>) N2B		
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Noxious Weed: Priority 2B - Non-native Species Global: GNR State: SNA Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	V - <i>Potentilla recta</i> (<i>Sulphur Cinquefoil</i>) N2B		
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Noxious Weed: Priority 2B - Non-native Species Global: GNR State: SNA Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	V - <i>Tanacetum vulgare</i> (<i>Common Tansy</i>) N2B		
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Noxious Weed: Priority 2B - Non-native Species Global: GNR State: SNA Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)		
Regulated Weeds: Priority 3			
<input type="checkbox"/>	V - <i>Elaeagnus angustifolia</i> (<i>Russian Olive</i>) R3	5	
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Regulated Weed: Priority 3 - Non-native Species Global: GNR State: SNA Predicted Models: 100% Moderate (inductive)		
<input type="checkbox"/>	V - <i>Bromus tectorum</i> (<i>Cheatgrass</i>) R3		
	View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps Regulated Weed: Priority 3 - Non-native Species Global: GNR State: SNA Predicted Models: 100% Low (inductive)		

Biocontrol Species

	<p>I - Oberea erythrocephala (<i>Red-headed Leafy Spurge Stem Borer</i>) BIOCNTL</p> <p>View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps</p> <p>Biocontrol Species - Non-native Species Global: GNR State: SNA</p> <p>Predicted Models:  100% Moderate (inductive)</p>	 
	<p>I - Aphthona lacertosa (<i>Brown-legged Leafy Spurge Flea Beetle</i>) BIOCNTL</p> <p>View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps</p> <p>Biocontrol Species - Non-native Species Global: GNR State: SNA</p> <p>Predicted Models:  100% Low (inductive)</p>	 
	<p>I - Aphthona nigricutis (<i>Black Dot Leafy Spurge Flea Beetle</i>) BIOCNTL</p> <p>View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps</p> <p>Biocontrol Species - Non-native Species Global: GNR State: SNA</p> <p>Predicted Models:  100% Low (inductive)</p>	 
	<p>I - Mecinus janthiniformis (<i>Dalmatian Toadflax Stem-boring Weevil</i>) BIOCNTL</p> <p>View in Field Guide View Predicted Models View Range Maps</p> <p>Biocontrol Species - Non-native Species Global: GNR State: SNA</p> <p>Predicted Models:  100% Low (inductive)</p>	 

Introduction to Montana Natural Heritage Program



PO Box 201800 • 1201 11th Avenue • Helena, MT 59620-1800 • fax 406.444.0266 • phone 406.444.3989 • mtnhp.mt.gov

INTRODUCTION

The Montana Natural Heritage Program (MTNHP) is Montana’s source for reliable and objective information on Montana’s native species and habitats, emphasizing those of conservation concern. MTNHP was created by the Montana legislature in 1983 as part of the Natural Resource Information System (NRIS) at the Montana State Library (MSL). MTNHP is “a program of information acquisition, storage, and retrieval for data relating to the flora, fauna, and biological community types of Montana” (MCA 90-15-102). MTNHP’s activities are guided by statute as well as through ongoing interaction with, and feedback from, principal data source agencies such as Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks, the Montana Department of Environmental Quality, the Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation, the Montana University System, the US Forest Service, and the US Bureau of Land Management. Since the first staff was hired in 1985, the Program has logged a long record of success, and developed into a highly respected, service-oriented program. MTNHP is widely recognized as one of the most advanced and effective of over 60 natural heritage programs that are distributed across North America.

VISION

Our vision is that public agencies, the private sector, the education sector, and the general public will trust and rely upon MTNHP as the source for information and expertise on Montana’s species and habitats, especially those of conservation concern. We strive to provide easy access to our information to allow users to save time and money, speed environmental reviews, and make informed decisions.

CORE VALUES

- We endeavor to be a single statewide source of accurate and up-to-date information on Montana’s plants, animals, and aquatic and terrestrial biological communities.
- We actively listen to our data users and work responsively to meet their information and training needs.
- We strive to provide neutral, trusted, timely, and equitable service to all of our information users.
- We make every effort to be transparent to our data users in setting work priorities and providing data products.

CONFIDENTIALITY

All information requests made to the Montana Natural Heritage Program are considered library records and are protected from disclosure by the Montana Library Records Confidentiality Act (MCA 22-1-11).

INFORMATION MANAGED

Information managed at the Montana Natural Heritage Program is botanical, zoological, and ecological information that describes the distribution (e.g., observations, structured surveys, range polygons, predicted habitat suitability models), conservation status (e.g., global and state conservation status ranks, including threats), and other supporting information (e.g., accounts and references) on the biology and ecology of species and biological communities.

Data Use Terms and Conditions


- Montana Natural Heritage Program (MTNHP) products and services are based on biological data and the objective interpretation of those data by professional scientists. MTNHP does not advocate any particular philosophy of natural resource protection, management, development, or public policy.
- MTNHP has no natural resource management or regulatory authority. Products, statements, and services from MTNHP are intended to inform parties as to the state of scientific knowledge about certain natural resources, and to further develop that knowledge. The information is not intended as natural resource management guidelines or prescriptions or a determination of environmental impacts. MTNHP recommends consultation with appropriate state, federal, and tribal resource management agencies and authorities in the area where your project is located.
- Information on the status and spatial distribution of biological resources produced by MTNHP are intended to inform parties of the state-wide status, known occurrence, or the likelihood of the presence of those resources. **These products are not intended to substitute for field-collected data, nor are they intended to be the sole basis for natural resource management decisions.**
- MTNHP does not portray its data as exhaustive or comprehensive inventories of rare species or biological communities. **Field verification of the absence or presence of sensitive species and biological communities will always be an important obligation of users of our data.**
- MTNHP responds equally to all requests for products and services, regardless of the purpose or identity of the requester.
- Because MTNHP constantly updates and revises its databases with new data and information, products will become outdated over time. Interested parties are encouraged to obtain the most current information possible from MTNHP, rather than using older products. We add, review, update, and delete records on a daily basis. Consequently, we strongly advise that you update your MTNHP data sets at a minimum of every four months for most applications of our information.
- MTNHP data require a certain degree of biological expertise for proper analysis, interpretation, and application. Our staff is available to advise you on questions regarding the interpretation or appropriate use of the data that we provide. See [Contact Information for MTNHP Staff](#)
- The information provided to you by MTNHP may include sensitive data that if publicly released might jeopardize the welfare of threatened, endangered, or sensitive species or biological communities. This information is intended for distribution or use only within your department, agency, or business. Subcontractors may have access to the data during the course of any given project, but should not be given a copy for their use on subsequent, unrelated work.
- MTNHP data are made freely available. Duplication of hard-copy or digital MTNHP products with the intent to sell is prohibited without written consent by MTNHP. Should you be asked by individuals outside your organization for the type of data that we provide, please refer them to MTNHP.
- MTNHP and appropriate staff members should be appropriately acknowledged as an information source in any third-party product involving MTNHP data, reports, papers, publications, or in maps that incorporate MTNHP graphic elements.
- Sources of our data include museum specimens, published and unpublished scientific literature, field surveys by state and federal agencies and private contractors, and reports from knowledgeable individuals. MTNHP actively solicits and encourages additions, corrections and updates, new observations or collections, and comments on any of the data we provide.
- MTNHP staff and contractors do not enter or cross privately-owned lands without express permission from the landowner. However, the program cannot guarantee that information provided to us by others was obtained under adherence to this policy.

Suggested Contacts for Natural Resource Management Agencies

As required by Montana statute (MCA 90-15), the Montana Natural Heritage Program works with state, federal, tribal, nongovernmental organizations, and private partners to ensure that the latest animal and plant distribution and status information is incorporated into our databases so that it can be used to inform a variety of permitting and planning processes and management decisions. We encourage you to contact state, federal, and tribal resource management agencies in the area where your project is located and review the permitting overviews by the [Montana Department of Environmental Quality](#), the [Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation](#) and the [Index of Environmental Permits for Montana](#) for guidelines relevant to your efforts. In particular, we encourage you to contact the Montana Department of Fish, Wildlife, and Parks for the latest data and management information regarding hunted and high-profile management species and to use the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service’s [Information Planning and Consultation \(IPAC\) website regarding](#) U.S. Endangered Species Act listed Threatened, Endangered, or Candidate species.

For your convenience, we have compiled a list of relevant agency contacts and links below:

Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks

Fish Species	Zachary Shattuck zshattuck@mt.gov (406) 444-1231																												
Aquatic Invasive Species	Tom Woolf thomas.woolf@mt.gov (406) 444-1230																												
American Bison Black-footed Ferret Black-tailed Prairie Dog Bald Eagle Golden Eagle Common Loon Least Tern Piping Plover Whooping Crane	Kristina Smucker kismucker@mt.gov (406) 444-5209																												
Grizzly Bear Greater Sage Grouse Trumpeter Swan Big Game Upland Game Birds Furbearers	Brian Wakeling brian.wakeling@mt.gov (406) 444-3940																												
Managed Terrestrial Game Data	Adam Messer – MFWP GIS Coordinator amesser@mt.gov (406) 444-0095																												
Fisheries Data and Nongame Animal Data	Adam Messer – MFWP GIS Coordinator amesser@mt.gov (406) 444-0095																												
Wildlife and Fisheries Scientific Collector’s Permits	https://fwp.mt.gov/buyandapply/commercialwildlifeandscientificpermits/scientific Kristina Smucker for Wildlife kismucker@mt.gov (406) 444-5209 Dave Schmetterling for Fisheries dschmetterling@mt.gov (406) 542-5514																												
Fish and Wildlife Recommendations for Subdivision Development	Stevie Burton stevie.burton@mt.gov (406) 594-7354 See https://fwp.mt.gov/conservation/living-with-wildlife/subdivision-recommendations																												
Regional Contacts 	<table> <tr> <td>Region 1</td> <td>(Kalispell)</td> <td>(406) 752-5501</td> <td>fwprg12@mt.gov</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Region 2</td> <td>(Missoula)</td> <td>(406) 542-5500</td> <td>fwprg22@mt.gov</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Region 3</td> <td>(Bozeman)</td> <td>(406) 577-7900</td> <td>fwprg3@mt.gov</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Region 4</td> <td>(Great Falls)</td> <td>(406) 454-5840</td> <td>fwprg42@mt.gov</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Region 5</td> <td>(Billings)</td> <td>(406) 247-2940</td> <td>fwprg52@mt.gov</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Region 6</td> <td>(Glasgow)</td> <td>(406) 228-3700</td> <td>fwprg62@mt.gov</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Region 7</td> <td>(Miles City)</td> <td>(406) 234-0900</td> <td>fwprg72@mt.gov</td> </tr> </table>	Region 1	(Kalispell)	(406) 752-5501	fwprg12@mt.gov	Region 2	(Missoula)	(406) 542-5500	fwprg22@mt.gov	Region 3	(Bozeman)	(406) 577-7900	fwprg3@mt.gov	Region 4	(Great Falls)	(406) 454-5840	fwprg42@mt.gov	Region 5	(Billings)	(406) 247-2940	fwprg52@mt.gov	Region 6	(Glasgow)	(406) 228-3700	fwprg62@mt.gov	Region 7	(Miles City)	(406) 234-0900	fwprg72@mt.gov
Region 1	(Kalispell)	(406) 752-5501	fwprg12@mt.gov																										
Region 2	(Missoula)	(406) 542-5500	fwprg22@mt.gov																										
Region 3	(Bozeman)	(406) 577-7900	fwprg3@mt.gov																										
Region 4	(Great Falls)	(406) 454-5840	fwprg42@mt.gov																										
Region 5	(Billings)	(406) 247-2940	fwprg52@mt.gov																										
Region 6	(Glasgow)	(406) 228-3700	fwprg62@mt.gov																										
Region 7	(Miles City)	(406) 234-0900	fwprg72@mt.gov																										

Montana Conservation Districts

Clickable map for contact information across Montana: <https://macdnet.org/conservation-district-map/>
Montana Association of Conservation Districts Resources Directory: <https://macdnet.org/resources>

Montana Department of Agriculture

General Contact Information: <https://agr.mt.gov/About/Office-Locations/Office-Locations-and-Field-Offices>
Noxious Weeds: <https://agr.mt.gov/Noxious-Weeds>

Montana Department of Environmental Quality

Permitting and Operator Assistance for all Environmental Permits: <https://deq.mt.gov/Permitting>
Opencut Mining Web Mapping Application for review of opencut mining applications
<https://gis.mtdeq.us/portal/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=7b60084bc4c444a19c9a7a0867e7635a>

Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation

Montana Sage Grouse Habitat Conservation Program: <https://sagegrouse.mt.gov/> (406) 444-6340

Permits and Services: <https://dnrc.mt.gov/Permits-Services>


Stream Permitting (310, 404, Section 10, SPA 124, floodplain, 318, Navigable Water, and other stream permits)
<https://dnrc.mt.gov/licenses-and-permits/stream-permitting/>

Montana Floodplains Program <https://dnrc.mt.gov/Water-Resources/Floodplains/>

Wildfire Resources: <https://dnrc.mt.gov/Forestry/Wildfire>

Regional Office Contacts: <https://dnrc.mt.gov/TrustLand/About/Regional-Offices>

Bureau of Land Management

Montana Field Office Contacts: 	Billings	(406) 896-5013	Lewistown	(406) 538-1900
	Butte	(406) 533-7600	Malta	(406) 654-5100
	Dillon	(406) 683-8000	Miles City	(406) 233-2800
	Glasgow	(406) 228-3750	Missoula	(406) 329-3914
	Havre	(406) 262-2820		

Natural Resources Conservation Service

Montana Home Page <https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/state-offices/montana>
State Office and Employee Directories
<https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/contact/state-office-contacts/montana-employee-directory-state-office>

United States Army Corps of Engineers

Montana Regulatory Office for federal permits related to construction in water and wetlands
<https://www.nwo.usace.army.mil/Missions/Regulatory-Program/Montana/>
Direct Link to Regulatory Request System: <https://rrs.usace.army.mil/rrs/home>
Email for questions: Montana.Reg@usace.army.mil
Phone for questions: (406) 441-1375

United States Environmental Protection Agency

Environmental information, notices, permitting, and contacts <https://www.epa.gov/mt>

Gateway to state resource locators <https://www.envcap.org/srl/index.php>

United States Fish and Wildlife Service

Information Planning and Conservation (IPAC) website: <https://ipac.ecosphere.fws.gov>

Montana Ecological Services Field Office: <https://www.fws.gov/office/montana-ecological-services> (406) 449-5225

Migratory Bird Joint Ventures: <https://www.fws.gov/partner/migratory-bird-joint-ventures>

United States Forest Service

Regional Office – Missoula, Montana Contacts

Assistant Regional TES PM

Diane Probasco

diane.probasco@usda.gov

(307) 709-2292

Regional Botanist

Amanda Hendrix

amanda.hendrix@usda.gov

(651) 447-3016

Invasive Species Program Manager

Michelle Cox

michelle.cox2@usda.gov

(406) 329-3669


Regional Hydrologist

Andy Efta

james.efta@usda.gov

(406) 329-3447

Tribal Nations – General Websites



[Assiniboine & Gros Ventre Tribes – Fort Belknap Reservation](#)

[Assiniboine & Sioux Tribes – Fort Peck Reservation](#)

[Blackfeet Tribe - Blackfeet Reservation](#)

[Chippewa Creek Tribe - Rocky Boy's Reservation](#)

[Crow Tribe – Crow Reservation](#)

[Little Shell Chippewa Tribe](#)

[Northern Cheyenne Tribe – Northern Cheyenne Reservation](#)

[Salish & Kootenai Tribes - Flathead Reservation](#)

Tribal Nations – Specific Contacts

[Confederated Salish & Kootenai Tribes \(Flathead Reservation\)-Division of Wildlife, Recreation, & Conservation](#)

Whisper Camel-Means – Division Manager

Whisper.Means@cskt.org

(406) 675-2700

Kari Kingery – Wildlife Program Manager

Kari.Kingery@cskt.org

(406) 675-2700

Natural Heritage Programs and Conservation Data Centers in Surrounding States and Provinces

[Alberta Conservation Information Management System](#)

[British Columbia Conservation Data Centre](#)

[Idaho Natural Heritage Program](#)

[North Dakota Natural Heritage Program](#)

[Saskatchewan Conservation Data Centre](#)

[South Dakota Natural Heritage Program](#)

[Wyoming Natural Diversity Database](#)

Invasive Species Management Contacts and Information

General

[Montana Invasive Species Council \(MISC\)](#)

[Central and Eastern Montana Invasive Species Team \(CEMIST\)](#)

[Montana State University Integrated Pest Management Extension](#)

Aquatic Invasive Species

[Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks Aquatic Invasive Species staff](#)

[Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation's Aquatic Invasive Species Grant Program](#)

[Western Montana Conservation Commission](#)

Noxious Weeds

[Montana Weed Control Association Contacts Webpage](#)

[Montana Biological Weed Control Coordination Project](#)

[Montana Department of Agriculture - Noxious Weeds](#)

[Montana Weed Control Association](#)

[Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks - Noxious Weeds](#)

[Integrated Noxious Weed Management after Wildfires](#)

[Fire Management and Invasive Plants](#)

Introduction to Native Species

Within the report area you have requested, separate summaries are provided for: (1) Species Occurrences (SO) for plant and animal Species of Concern, Special Status Species (SSS), Important Animal Habitat (IAH) and some Potential Plant Species of Concern; (2) other observed non-Species of Concern filtered or requested as “Additional Species” or Species of Concern without suitable documentation to create Species Occurrence polygons; and (3) other non-documented Species of Concern or additionally filtered or requested that are potentially present based on their range, predicted suitable habitat model output, or presence of associated habitats. Each of these summaries provides the following information when present for a species: (1) the number of [Species Occurrences](#) and associated delineation criteria for construction of these polygons that have long been used for considerations of documented Species of Concern in environmental reviews; (2) the number of observations of each species; (3) the geographic range polygons for each species that the report area overlaps; (4) predicted habitat suitability classes that are present if a predicted suitable habitat model has been created; (5) the percent of the report area that is mapped as commonly associated or occasionally associated habitat as listed for each species in the [Montana Field Guide](#); and (6) a variety of conservation status ranks and links to species accounts in the [Montana Field Guide](#). Details on each of these information categories are included under relevant section headers below or are defined on our [Species Status Codes](#) page. In presenting this information, the Montana Natural Heritage Program (MTNHP) is working towards assisting the user with rapidly determining what species have been documented and what species are potentially present in the report area. We remind users that this information is likely incomplete as surveys to document native and introduced species are lacking in many areas of the state, the MTNHP’s staff and resources are restricted by budgets, and information is constantly being added and updated in our databases. **Thus, field verification by professional biologists of the absence or presence of species and biological communities will always be an important obligation of users of our data.**

If you are aware of observation datasets that the MTNHP is missing, please report them to the Program Botanist apipp@mt.gov or Senior Zoologist dbachen@mt.gov. If you have animal or plant observations that you would like to contribute, you can also submit them via Excel spreadsheets, geodatabases, iNaturalist, or a Survey123 form. Various methods of data submission are reviewed in this playlist of videos: <https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PLRaydtZpHu2qOHPoSPq9cnM9uXGmEXACx>

Observations

An observation is a visual, audio, specimen, genetic, or other documentation of a particular species at a location with an assigned spatial precision on a given date. Most observations are submitted in digital format from standardized databases associated with research or monitoring efforts and spreadsheets of incidental observations submitted by professional biologists and amateur naturalists. At a minimum, accepted observation records must contain a credible species identification (i.e. appropriate geographic range, date, and habitat and, if species are difficult to identify, a photograph and/or notes on key identifying features), a date or date range, observer name, locational information (ideally with latitude and longitude in decimal degrees), notes on numbers observed, and species behavior or habitat use (e.g., is the observation likely associated with reproduction). Bird records are also required to have information associated with date-appropriate breeding or overwintering status of the species observed. MTNHP reviews observation records to ensure that they are mapped correctly, occur within date ranges when the species is known to be present or detectable, occur within the known seasonal geographic range of the species, and occur in appropriate habitats. MTNHP also assigns each record a locational uncertainty value in meters to indicate the spatial precision associated with the record’s mapped coordinates. Only records with locational uncertainty values of 5,000 meters or less are included in environmental summary reports and number summaries are only provided for records with locational uncertainty values of 1,000 meters or less.

Species Occurrences

The MTNHP evaluates plant and animal observation records for Species of Concern, Potential Species of Concern, and Special Status Species to determine whether they are worthy of inclusion in the [Species Occurrence](#) (SO) (also known as an “element occurrence” or EO) layer for use in environmental reviews; observations not worthy of inclusion in this layer include long distance dispersal events, migrants observed away from key migratory stopover habitats, and winter observations. An SO is a polygon depicting what is known about a species occupancy from direct observation with a defined level of locational uncertainty and any inference that can be made about adjacent habitat use from the latest peer-reviewed science. If an observation can be associated with a map feature that can be tracked (e.g., a wetland boundary) then this polygon feature is used to represent the SO. Areas that can be inferred as probable occupied habitat based on direct observation of a species location and what is known about the foraging area or home range size of the species may be incorporated into the SO. Species Occurrences generally belong to one of the following categories:

Botanical Species

A documented location of a specimen collection or observed plant, lichen, or fungi population. In some instances, adjacent, spatially separated clusters are considered subpopulations and are grouped as one occurrence (e.g., the subpopulations occur in ecologically similar habitats, and their spatial proximity likely allows them to interbreed). Tabular information for multiple observations at the same SO location is generally linked to a single polygon.

Animal Species


The location of a verified observation or specimen record typically known or assumed to represent a breeding population or a portion of a breeding population. Animal SO's are generally: (1) buffers of terrestrial point observations based on documented species' home range sizes; (2) buffers of stream segments to encompass occupied streams and immediate adjacent riparian habitats; (3) polygonal features encompassing known or likely breeding populations (e.g., a wetland for some amphibians or a forested portion of a mountain range for some wide-ranging carnivores); or (4) combinations of the above. Tabular information for multiple observations at the same SO location is generally linked to a single polygon. Species Occurrence polygons may encompass some unsuitable habitat in some instances in order to avoid heavy data processing associated with clipping out habitats that are readily assessed as unsuitable by the data user (e.g., a point buffer of a terrestrial species may overlap into a portion of a lake that is obviously inappropriate habitat for the species).

Other

Significant biological features not included in the above categories, such as Important Animal Habitats like bird rookeries and bat roosts, and peatlands or other wetland and riparian communities that support diverse plant and animal communities.

Geographic Range Polygons

Range polygons have been created for native vascular plants and vertebrate animal species with sufficient data and are being created for other taxa as staff time is available. Range polygons are refined over time with



assistance of additional survey and observation data and predicted habitat suitability models. Range polygons are classified as native year-round, summer, winter, migratory, historical, and non-native year-round (see examples to the left). Range polygons for native species and non-native aquatic species typically bound the extent of known or likely occupied habitats for non-migratory and relatively sedentary species and the regular extent of known or likely occupied habitats for migratory and long-distance dispersing species; polygons may include unsuitable intervening habitats. Unless predicted invasion risk models indicate unsuitable habitat for large portions of Montana, range polygons for non-native vascular plant species are typically mapped as statewide to reflect their possible invasion across Montana; please see relative density maps for reported distributions of these

species. For most species, a single polygon can represent the year-round or seasonal range, but breeding ranges of some colonial nesting water birds and some non-native species are represented more patchily when supported by data. Some ranges are mapped more broadly than actual distributions to be visible on statewide maps (e.g., streams with fish are buffered for visibility)

Predicted Suitable Habitat Models

Predicted habitat suitability models have been created for plant and animal Species of Concern and are undergoing development for non-Species of Concern. For species for which models have been completed, the environmental summary report includes simple rule-based associations with streams for aquatic species and seasonal habitats for game species as well as mathematically complex Maximum Entropy models (Phillips et al. 2006, *Ecological Modelling* 190:231-259) constructed from a variety of statewide biotic and abiotic layers and presence only data for individual species for most terrestrial species. For the Maximum Entropy models, we reclassified 90 x 90-meter continuous model output into suitability classes (unsuitable, low, moderate, and optimal) then aggregated that into the one square mile hexagons used in the environmental summary report; this is the finest spatial scale we suggest using this information in management decisions and survey planning. Full model write ups for individual species that discuss model goals, inputs, outputs, and evaluation in much greater detail are posted on the MTNHP's [Predicted Suitable Habitat Models](#) webpage. Evaluations of predictive accuracy and specific limitations are included with the metadata for models of individual species. **Model outputs should not be used in place of on-the-ground surveys for species. Instead model outputs should be used in conjunction with habitat evaluations to determine the need for on-the-ground surveys for species.** We suggest that the percentage of predicted optimal and moderate suitable habitat within the report area be used in conjunction with geographic range polygons and the percentage of commonly associated habitats to generate lists of potential species that may occupy broader landscapes for the purposes of landscape-level planning.

Other Habitat Information for Species

1. The excel spreadsheet accompanying this report contains a field labeled “Habitat” in all the species related worksheets that contains brief text describing the predominant habitat or habitats each species is dependent on. This field can be useful for quickly determining whether a species needs considerations in environmental permitting and planning. For example, if the report area includes a large area of forest and grassland habitat and the project is only affecting a small portion of the grassland habitat without any disturbance to the forest habitat, the Habitat field can be useful for removing the forest dependent species from further consideration.
2. Species accounts in the [Montana Field Guide](#) each contain a section on Ecological Communities Associated with this Species that lists and links to accounts for associated natural habitats.
3. Accounts for natural habitats in the Ecological Communities portion of the [Montana Field Guide](#) each contain a section on Species Associated with this Community that lists and links to accounts for associated species. This is divided between: (1) Species of Concern Associated with this Community; (2) Diagnostic, Dominant, or Codominant Plant Species for this Community; and (3) Other Native Species Commonly Associated with this Community.

Introduction to Land Cover

Land Use/Land Cover is one of 15 [Montana Spatial Data Infrastructure](#) framework layers considered vital for making statewide maps of Montana and understanding its geography. The layer records all Montana natural vegetation, land cover and land use, classified from satellite and aerial imagery, mapped at a scale of 1:100,000, and interpreted with supporting ground-level data. The baseline map is adapted from the Northwest ReGAP (NWGAP) project land cover classification, which used 30m resolution multi-spectral Landsat imagery acquired between 1999 and 2001. Vegetation classes were drawn from the Ecological System Classification developed by NatureServe (Comer et al. 2003). The land cover classes were developed by Anderson et al. (1976). The NWGAP effort encompasses 12 map zones. Montana overlaps seven of these zones. The two NWGAP teams responsible for the initial land cover mapping effort in Montana were Sanborn and NWGAP at the University of Idaho. Both Sanborn and NWGAP employed a similar modeling approach in which Classification and Regression Tree (CART) models were applied to Landsat ETM+ scenes. The Spatial Analysis Lab within the Montana Natural Heritage Program was responsible for developing a seamless Montana land cover map with a consistent statewide legend from these two separate products. Additionally, the Montana land cover layer incorporates several other land cover and land use products (e.g., MSDI Structures and Transportation themes and the Montana Department of Revenue Final Land Unit classification) and reclassifications based on plot-level data and the latest NAIP imagery to improve accuracy and enhance the usability of the theme. Updates are done as partner support and funding allow, or when other MSDI datasets can be incorporated. Recent updates include fire perimeters and agricultural land use (annually), energy developments such as wind, oil and gas installations (2014), roads, structures and other impervious surfaces (various years): and local updates/improvements to specific ecological systems (e.g., central Montana grassland and sagebrush ecosystems). Current and previous versions of the Land Use/Land Cover layer with full metadata are available for download from the Montana State Library's [GIS Data List](#) More information on the land cover layer is available at: https://msl.mt.gov/geoinfo/msdi/land_use_land_cover/

Within the report area you have requested, land cover is summarized by acres of Level 1, Level 2, and Level 3 Ecological Systems.

Literature Cited

- Anderson, J.R. E.E. Hardy, J.T. Roach, and R.E. Witmer. 1976. A land use and land cover classification system for use with remote sensor data. U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 964.
- Comer, P., D. Faber-Langendoen, R. Evans, S. Gawler, C. Josse, G. Kittel, S. Menard, M. Pyne, M. Reid, K. Schulz, K. Snow, and J. Teague. 2003. Ecological systems of the United States: A working classification of U.S. terrestrial systems. NatureServe, Arlington, VA.

Introduction to Wetland and Riparian

Within the report area you have requested, wetland and riparian mapping is summarized by acres of each classification present. Summaries are only provided for modern MTNHP wetland and riparian mapping and not for outdated (NWI Legacy) or incomplete (NWI Scalable) mapping efforts; [described here](#). MTNHP has made all three of these datasets and associated metadata available for separate download on the [Montana Wetland and Riparian Framework](#) web page.

Wetland and Riparian mapping is one of 15 [Montana Spatial Data Infrastructure](#) framework layers considered vital for making statewide maps of Montana and understanding its geography. The wetland and riparian framework layer consists of spatial data representing the extent, type, and approximate location of wetlands, riparian areas, and deep water habitats in Montana.

Wetland and riparian mapping is completed through photointerpretation of 1-m resolution color infrared aerial imagery acquired from 2005 or later. A coding convention using letters and numbers is assigned to each mapped wetland. These letters and numbers describe the broad landscape context of the wetland, its vegetation type, its water regime, and the kind of alterations that may have occurred. Ancillary data layers such as topographic maps, digital elevation models, soils data, and other aerial imagery sources are also used to improve mapping accuracy. Wetland mapping follows the federal Wetland Mapping Standard and classifies wetlands according to the Cowardin classification system of the National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) (Cowardin et al. 1979, FGDC Wetlands Subcommittee 2013). Federal, State, and local regulatory agencies with jurisdiction over wetlands may define and describe wetlands differently than the NWI. Similar coding, based on U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service conventions, is applied to riparian areas (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2009). These are mapped areas where vegetation composition and growth is influenced by nearby water bodies, but where soils, plant communities, and hydrology do not display true wetland characteristics. **These data are intended for use at a scale of 1:12,000 or smaller. Mapped wetland and riparian areas do not represent precise boundaries and digital wetland data cannot substitute for an on-site determination of jurisdictional wetlands.**

See detailed overviews, with examples, of both wetland and riparian classification systems and associated codes as a [storymap](#) and companion [guide](#)

Literature Cited

- Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deepwater habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, FWS/OBS-79/31. Washington, D.C. 103pp.
- Federal Geographic Data Committee. 2013. Classification of wetlands and deepwater habitats of the United States. FGDC-STD-004-2013. Second Edition. Wetlands Subcommittee, Federal Geographic Data Committee and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Services. 2009. A system for mapping riparian areas in the western United States. Division of Habitat and Resource Conservation, Branch of Resource and Mapping Support, Arlington, Virginia.

Introduction to Land Management

Within the report area you have requested, land management information is summarized by acres of federal, state, and local government lands, tribal reservation boundaries, private conservation lands, and federal, state, local, and private conservation easements. Acreage for “Owned”, “Tribal”, or “Easement” categories represents non-overlapping areas that may be totaled. However, “Other Boundaries” represents managed areas such as National Forest boundaries containing private inholdings and other mixed ownership which may cause boundaries to overlap (e.g. a wilderness area within a forest). Therefore, acreages may not total in a straight-forward manner.

Because information on land stewardship is critical to effective land management, the Montana Natural Heritage Program (MTNHP) began compiling ownership and management data in 1997. The goal of the Montana Land Management Database is to manage a single, statewide digital data set that incorporates information from both public and private entities. The database assembles information on public lands, private conservation lands, and conservation easements held by state and federal agencies and land trusts and is updated on a regular basis. Since 2011, the Information Management group in the Montana State Library’s Digital Library Division has led the Montana Land Management Database in partnership with the MTNHP.

Public and private conservation land polygons are attributed with the name of the entity that owns it. The data are derived from the statewide [Montana Cadastral Parcel layer](#). Conservation easement data shows land parcels on which a public agency or qualified land trust has placed a conservation easement in cooperation with the landowner. The dataset contains no information about ownership or status of the mineral estate. For questions about the dataset or to report errors, please contact the Montana Natural Heritage Program at (406) 444-5363 or mtnhp@mt.gov. You can download various components of the Land Management Database and view associated metadata at the Montana State Library’s [GIS Data List](#) at the following links:

[Public Lands](#)

[Conservation Easements](#)

[Private Conservation Lands](#)

[Managed Areas](#)

Map features in the Montana Land Management Database or summaries provided in this report are not intended as a legal depiction of public or private surface land ownership boundaries and should not be used in place of a survey conducted by a licensed land surveyor. Similarly, map features do not imply public access to any lands. The Montana Natural Heritage Program makes no representations or warranties whatsoever with respect to the accuracy or completeness of this data and assumes no responsibility for the suitability of the data for a particular purpose. The Montana Natural Heritage Program will not be liable for any damages incurred as a result of errors displayed here. Consumers of this information should review or consult the primary data and information sources to ascertain the viability of the information for their purposes.

Introduction to Invasive and Pest Species

Within the report area you have requested, separate summaries are provided for: Aquatic Invasive Species, Noxious Weeds, Agricultural Pests, Forest Pests, and Biocontrol species that have been documented or potentially occur there based on the predicted suitability of habitat. Definitions for each of these invasive and pest species categories can be found on our [Species Status Codes](#) page.

Each of these summaries provides the following information when present for a species: (1) the number of observations of each species; (2) the geographic range polygons for each species, if developed, that the report area overlaps; (3) predicted relative habitat suitability classes that are present if a predicted suitable habitat model has been created; (4) the percent of the report area that is mapped as commonly associated or occasionally associated habitat as listed for each species in the [Montana Field Guide](#); and (5) links to species accounts in the [Montana Field Guide](#). Details on each of these information categories are included under relevant section headers under the Introduction to Native Species above or are defined on our [Species Status Codes](#) page. In presenting this information, the Montana Natural Heritage Program (MTNHP) is working towards assisting the user with rapidly determining what invasive and pest species have been documented and what species are potentially present in the report area. We remind users that this information is likely incomplete as surveys to document introduced species are lacking in many areas of the state, information on introduced species has only been tracked relatively recently, the MTNHP's staff and resources are limited, and information is constantly being added and updated in our databases. **Thus, field verification by professional biologists of the absence or presence of species will always be an important obligation of users of our data.**

If you are aware of observation or survey datasets for invasive or pest species that the MTNHP is missing, please report them to the Program Coordinator bmaxell@mt.gov Program Botanist apipp@mt.gov or Senior Zoologist dbachen@mt.gov If you have animal or plant observations that you would like to contribute, you can also submit them via Excel spreadsheets, geodatabases, iNaturalist, or a Survey123 form. Various methods of data submission are reviewed in this playlist of videos:

<https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PLRaydtZpHu2qOHPoSPq9cnM9uXGmEXACx>

Additional Information Resources

[Effects of Recreation on Rocky Mountain Wildlife](#)

[FEMA Flood Insurance Study Data](#)

[Fire Effects Information System \(species reviews, fire regimes, fire studies\)](#)

[Gilly – tool for simplifying stream, wetland, and floodplain permitting applications](#)

[Identification Resources for Montana Species and Citizen Science](#)

[Laws, Treaties, Regulations, and Agreements on Animals and Plants](#)

[Migratory Bird Joint Ventures and Associated Resources](#)

[Intermountain West Joint Venture](#)

[Northern Great Plains Joint Venture](#)

[Prairie Pothole Joint Venture](#)

[MTNHP Staff Contact Information](#)

[MTNHP Species of Concern Report - Animals and Plants](#)

[MTNHP Species Status Codes - Explanation](#)

[MTNHP Predicted Suitable Habitat Models \(for select Animals and Plants\)](#)

[MTNHP Request Information page](#)

[Montana Cadastral](#)

[Montana Climate Office](#)

[Montana Code Annotated](#)

[Montana Field Guide](#)

[Montana Fisheries Information System](#)

[Montana Fish, Wildlife, and Parks Subdivision Recommendations](#)

[Montana Forestry Best Management Practices](#)

[Montana GIS Data Layers](#)

[Montana GIS Data Bundler](#)

[Montana Guide to Streamside Management Zone Law and Rules](#)

[Montana Ground Water Information Center](#)

[Montana Index of Environmental Permits, 21st Edition \(2018\)](#)

[Montana Environmental Policy Act \(MEPA\)](#)

[Montana Environmental Policy Act Analysis Resource List](#)

[Montana Sage Grouse Project Submittal Site](#)

[Montana Native Plant Conservation Strategy](#)

[Montana Spatial Data Infrastructure Layers](#)

[Montana State Historic Preservation Office Review and Compliance](#)

[Montana Statewide Fisheries Management Plan 2023-2026](#)

[Montana Stream Permitting: a guide for conservation district supervisors and others](#)

[Montana Water Information System](#)

[Montana Web Map Services](#)

[Montana's 2025 State Wildlife Action Plan](#)

[Montana's Statewide Comprehensive Outdoor Recreation Plan \(SCORP\)](#)

[National Environmental Policy Act](#)

[Penalties for Misuse of Fish and Wildlife Location Data \(MCA 87-6-222\)](#)

[Rangeland Analysis Platform](#)

[U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Information for Planning and Consultation \(Section 7 Consultation\)](#)

[Uses of Information from the Montana Natural Heritage Program](#)

[Web Soil Survey Tool](#)


[Wildfire Risk to Communities](#)

[Xerces Society for Invertebrate Conservation Resources](#)

Soil Map—Carbon County Area, Montana
(R Bar N Ranch - 43D 30171861)



Map Scale: 1:325 if printed on A landscape (11" x 8.5") sheet.




Map projection: Web Mercator Corner coordinates: WGS84 Edge tics: UTM Zone 12N WGS84



MAP LEGEND

Area of Interest (AOI)

 Area of Interest (AOI)

Soils

 Soil Map Unit Polygons

 Soil Map Unit Lines

 Soil Map Unit Points

Special Point Features



Blowout



Borrow Pit



Clay Spot



Closed Depression



Gravel Pit



Gravelly Spot



Landfill



Lava Flow



Marsh or swamp



Mine or Quarry



Miscellaneous Water



Perennial Water



Rock Outcrop



Saline Spot



Sandy Spot



Severely Eroded Spot



Sinkhole



Slide or Slip



Sodic Spot



Spoil Area



Stony Spot



Very Stony Spot



Wet Spot



Other



Special Line Features

Water Features



Streams and Canals

Transportation



Rails



Interstate Highways



US Routes



Major Roads



Local Roads

Background



Aerial Photography

MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:24,000.

Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.

Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service

Web Soil Survey URL:

Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)

Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: Carbon County Area, Montana

Survey Area Data: Version 21, Aug 30, 2025

Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Jun 22, 2021—Oct 4, 2021

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
Hn	Haverson-Heldt silty clay loams, 0 to 4 percent slopes	0.0	10.3%
Ht	Heldt silty clay loam, 2 to 4 percent slopes	0.3	89.7%
Totals for Area of Interest		0.3	100.0%