

## CHECKLIST ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

<b>Project Name:</b>	Reservation Telephone Cooperative Richland County Fiber Optic
<b>Proposed Implementation Date:</b>	2024
<b>Proponent:</b>	Reservation Telephone Cooperative
<b>Location:</b>	T25N-R58E-Sec 36, T26N-R59E-Sec 16, T12N-R59E-Sec 36, T12N-R60E-Sec 36, T13 Sec 36, T14N-R58E-Sec 36 & T16N-R59E-Sec 36.
<b>County:</b>	Richland and Wibaux Counties

### I. TYPE AND PURPOSE OF ACTION

Reservation Telephone Cooperative has requested a right of way easement from the DNRC. This ROW easement is for the purpose of placing an underground fiber optic cable across the mentioned tract of State Trust Land. This line will provide for a more reliable communications link between the outlying areas of Richland and Wibaux Counties.

### II. PROJECT DEVELOPMENT

#### 1. PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT, AGENCIES, GROUPS OR INDIVIDUALS CONTACTED:

*Provide a brief chronology of the scoping and ongoing involvement for this project.*

Reservation Telephone Cooperative has requested that the DNRC allow the passage of the new fiber optic cable mentioned above across this state-owned section. The total acreage encompassed by this project will be 32.52 acres. Said easements will be +/- 45156.03 feet long, 33 feet wide 16.5 feet on each side of the centerline. These lines will primarily be located within the existing road rights of way. The lines will be constructed using the rip trench/ static plow method.

#### 2. OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES WITH JURISDICTION, LIST OF PERMITS NEEDED:

None

#### 3. ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:

Alternative A- Grant Easement requested by the proponent for the purpose of construction, maintenance and operation of the buried fiber optic telecommunication line.

Alternative B- No Action.

### III. IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT

- *RESOURCES potentially impacted are listed on the form, followed by common issues that would be considered.*
- *Explain POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATIONS following each resource heading.*
- *Enter "NONE" If no impacts are identified or the resource is not present.*

#### 4. GEOLOGY AND SOIL QUALITY, STABILITY AND MOISTURE:

*Consider the presence of fragile, compactable or unstable soils. Identify unusual geologic features. Specify any special reclamation considerations. Identify any cumulative impacts to soils.*

Alternative A- Disturbance of the soil will occur through the trenching and burying of this line, but effects should be minimal. There should be no lasting adverse effects to the soil quality, stability or moisture. The soil structures are not fragile or unstable. The sites should recover within one to two growing seasons.

Alternative B-No Impact

---

**5. WATER QUALITY, QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION:**

*Identify important surface or groundwater resources. Consider the potential for violation of ambient water quality standards, drinking water maximum contaminant levels, or degradation of water quality. Identify cumulative effects to water resources.*

Alternative A- No Impacts expected

Alternative B- No Impact

---

**6. AIR QUALITY:**

*What pollutants or particulate would be produced? Identify air quality regulations or zones (e.g. Class I air shed) the project would influence. Identify cumulative effects to air quality.*

Alternative A- Pollutants and Particulates may be increased during the construction of the project. After the completion of the project pollutant and particulate levels should return to normal preconstruction levels. Increase in pollutants during construction should be almost negligible.

Alternative B- No Impact

---

**7. VEGETATION COVER, QUANTITY AND QUALITY:**

*What changes would the action cause to vegetative communities? Consider rare plants or cover types that would be affected. Identify cumulative effects to vegetation.*

Alternative A- Where the construction takes place there may be disturbance to the vegetation cover. Current plant species which occupy the construction area include Western Wheatgrass (*Agropyron Smithii*), Green Needlegrass (*Stipa Viridula*), Blue Bunch Wheatgrass (*Agropyron Spicatum*),, Needle and Thread (*Stipa comata*), Prairie Junegrass (*Koleria pyramidata*), Blue Grama (*Bouteloua gracilis*), Sandberg Bluegrass (*Poa secunda*), Fringed Sagewort (*Artemisia frigida*), and Smooth Brome (*Bromus inermus*). The disturbance of these plant species should be minimal, and the area should revegetate naturally within two years.

Alternative B- No Impact

---

**8. TERRESTRIAL, AVIAN AND AQUATIC LIFE AND HABITATS:**

*Consider substantial habitat values and use of the area by wildlife, birds or fish. Identify cumulative effects to fish and wildlife.*

Alternative A-Construction on each tract should take less than one week, there should be very minimal effect on any animal habitats within the boundaries of the project construction area. Wildlife may be temporarily disturbed during the construction of the project. After completion of the project wildlife usage should return to pre-construction levels.

Alternative B- No Impact

---

**9. UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE OR LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES:**

*Consider any federally listed threatened or endangered species or habitat identified in the project area. Determine effects to wetlands. Consider Sensitive Species or Species of special concern. Identify cumulative effects to these species and their habitat.*

Alternative A- A search of the Montana Natural Heritage Database showed the following species of concern in the general project area:

Northern Myotis(*Myotis septentrionalis*)  
American White Pelican(*Pelecanus erythrorhynchos*)  
Black-billed Cuckoo(*Coccyzus erythrophthalmus*)  
Bobolink(*Dolichonyx oryzivorus*)  
Franklin's Gull(*Leucophaeus pipixcan*)  
Great Blue Heron(*Ardea herodias*)  
Least Tern(*Sternula antillarum*)  
Piping Plover(*Charadrius melanops*)  
Red-headed Woodpecker(*Melanerpes erythrocephalus*)  
Loggerhead Shrike(*Lanius ludovicianus*)  
Sharp-tailed Grouse(*Tympanuchus phasianellus*)  
Veery(*Catharus fuscescens*)  
Whooping Crane(*Grus americana*)  
Snapping Turtle(*Chelydra serpentina*)  
Northern Leopard Frog(*Lithobates pipiens*)  
Blue Sucker(*Catostomus elongatus*)  
Iowa Darter(*Etheostoma exile*)  
Northern Redbelly Dace(*Chrosomus eos*)  
Paddlefish(*Polyodon spathula*)  
Pallid Sturgeon(*Scaphirhynchus albus*)  
Sauger(*Sander canadensis*)  
Shortnose Gar(*Lepisosteus platostomus*)  
Sicklefin Chub(*Macrhybopsis meeki*)  
Sturgeon Chub(*Macrhybopsis gelida*)

While the above listed species have been identified as having been found within the tracts as a whole, there should be minimal impact from this project due to the location, scale, and nature of the project. No tracts from this project are located within identified Greater Sage Grouse Habitat. Therefore, the proponent has not submitted the project to the Montana sage Grouse Habitat Conservation Program for consultation.

Alternative B- No Impact

---

**10. HISTORICAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES:**

*Identify and determine effects to historical, archaeological or paleontological resources.*

Alternative A-A site visit by DNRC staff and a search on TLMS identified two sites on section 16, but not in the project area. This project area is located within the previously disturbed roadway corridor, so impacts should be minimal as no operations are planned in the undisturbed portion of the tract. Due to the small scope and previous disturbance no significant impacts should occur. A Class I (literature review) level review was conducted by the DNRC staff archaeologist for the area of potential effect (APE). This entailed inspection of project maps, DNRC's sites/site leads database, land use records, General Land Office Survey Plats, and control cards. The cable right-of-way (RoW) route is within the disturbed road RoW, No additional cultural resource inventory work is recommended. Proposed developments are expected to have No Effect to

Antiquities. However, if previously unknown cultural or paleontological materials are identified during project related activities, all work will cease until a professional assessment of such resources can be made.

Alternative B- No Impact

---

**11. AESTHETICS:**

*Determine if the project is located on a prominent topographic feature, or may be visible from populated or scenic areas. What level of noise, light or visual change would be produced? Identify cumulative effects to aesthetics.*

Alternative A-Reservation Telephone Cooperative would need to be able to perform maintenance on the communication line from time to time. This should be of a temporary nature, and not have a significant impact. Any aesthetic degradation should be minimal due to the rip trench/static plow construction method and should only be temporary until the site recovers. Pedestals would be the only above ground structures located within the project area.

Alternative B- No Impact

---

**12. DEMANDS ON ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES OF LAND, WATER, AIR OR ENERGY:**

*Determine the amount of limited resources the project would require. Identify other activities nearby that the project would affect. Identify cumulative effects to environmental resources.*

Alternative A- No Impacts expected

Alternative B- No Impact

---

**13. OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTS PERTINENT TO THE AREA:**

*List other studies, plans or projects on this tract. Determine cumulative impacts likely to occur as a result of current private, state or federal actions in the analysis area, and from future proposed state actions in the analysis area that are under MEPA review (scoped) or permitting review by any state agency.*

None

---

**IV. IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN POPULATION**

- *RESOURCES potentially impacted are listed on the form, followed by common issues that would be considered.*
- *Explain POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATIONS following each resource heading.*
- *Enter "NONE" If no impacts are identified or the resource is not present.*

---

**14. HUMAN HEALTH AND SAFETY:**

*Identify any health and safety risks posed by the project.*

Alternative A- There may be risks to human health and safety in the construction of the project, but this should be done by qualified professionals. Safety concerns should be minimized with proper safety protocol employed by the workers.

Alternative B- There may be less reliable communication infrastructure available in the remote rural area. This could lead to safety and emergency problems.

---

**15. INDUSTRIAL, COMMERCIAL AND AGRICULTURE ACTIVITIES AND PRODUCTION:**

*Identify how the project would add to or alter these activities.*

Alternative A- It should have a positive effect on Industrial, Commercial and Agricultural Activities and Production in the area.

Alternative B- No Impact

---

**16. QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYMENT:**

*Estimate the number of jobs the project would create, move or eliminate. Identify cumulative effects to the employment market.*

Alternative A- This project has the potential to create jobs with further development possibilities.

Alternative B- No Impact

---

**17. LOCAL AND STATE TAX BASE AND TAX REVENUES:**

*Estimate tax revenue the project would create or eliminate. Identify cumulative effects to taxes and revenue.*

Alternative A- No Impacts expected

Alternative B- No Impact

---

**18. DEMAND FOR GOVERNMENT SERVICES:**

*Estimate increases in traffic and changes to traffic patterns. What changes would be needed to fire protection, police, schools, etc.? Identify cumulative effects of this and other projects on government services*

Alternative A- No Impact expected

Alternative B- No Impact

---

**19. LOCALLY ADOPTED ENVIRONMENTAL PLANS AND GOALS:**

*List State, County, City, USFS, BLM, Tribal, and other zoning or management plans, and identify how they would affect this project.*

Alternative A- No Impacts expected

Alternative B- No Impact

---

**20. ACCESS TO AND QUALITY OF RECREATIONAL AND WILDERNESS ACTIVITIES:**

*Identify any wilderness or recreational areas nearby or access routes through this tract. Determine the effects of the project on recreational potential within the tract. Identify cumulative effects to recreational and wilderness activities.*

Alternative A- No Impacts expected

Alternative B- No Impact

---

**21. DENSITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF POPULATION AND HOUSING:**

*Estimate population changes and additional housing the project would require. Identify cumulative effects to population and housing.*

Alternative A- No Impacts expected

Alternative B- No Impact

---

**22. SOCIAL STRUCTURES AND MORES:**

*Identify potential disruption of native or traditional lifestyles or communities.*

Alternative A- No Impacts expected

Alternative B- No Impact

---

**23. CULTURAL UNIQUENESS AND DIVERSITY:**

*How would the action affect any unique quality of the area?*

Alternative A- No Impacts expected

Alternative B- No Impact

---

**24. OTHER APPROPRIATE SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC CIRCUMSTANCES:**

*Estimate the return to the trust. Include appropriate economic analysis. Identify potential future uses for the analysis area other than existing management. Identify cumulative economic and social effects likely to occur as a result of the proposed action.*

Alternative A- The value for grazing land in Richland has been set at \$350.00 per acre. (14.35 acres @ \$350.00/acre = \$5,022.50) The value for grazing land in Wibaux County has been set at \$600.00 per acre. (18.17 acres @ \$600.00/acre = \$10,902.00) The total impacted acreage of this proposal is 32.52 acres. The fee for this proposal will be set using the valuation study for \$ 15,924.50.

Alternative B- No Impact

<b>EA Checklist</b> <b>Prepared By:</b>	<b>Name:</b> Aaron Kneeland <b>Title:</b> Land Use Specialist	<b>Date:</b> 8-12-2024
--	--	------------------------

---

**V. FINDING**

---

**25. ALTERNATIVE SELECTED:**

Alternative A

---

**26. SIGNIFICANCE OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS:**

The granting of the requested right of way easement across state owned trust lands for the proposed Range Telephone Cooperative fiber optic communication line project should not result in nor cause significant environmental impacts. The predicted impacts will be adequately mitigated through the construction and reclamation plans. The proposed action satisfies the trusts fiduciary mandate and ensures the long-term productivity of the land. An environmental assessment checklist is the appropriate level of analysis for the proposed action.

---

**27. NEED FOR FURTHER ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS:**

EIS

More Detailed EA

No Further Analysis

<b>EA Checklist Approved By:</b>	<b>Name:</b> Scott Aye <b>Title:</b> ELO Land Program Manager
<b>Signature:</b> /s/ Scott Aye	<b>Date:</b> 8-12-2024