CHECKLIST ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Proposed Action: Approve Drilling Permit (Form 22)

Project/Well Name: Sarah 5-8-17 #4H

Operator: Kraken Operating LLC

State: Montana

Location: Lot 2 T24N R59E Sec. 5

County: Richland

Field (or Wildcat): Wildcat

Proposed Project Date: TBD

I. DESCRIPTION OF ACTION

Triple derrick drilling rig to drill a single lateral horizontal Bakken Formation test, 22,601' MD/10,461' TVD.

II. PROJECT DEVELOPMENT

A. PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT, AGENCIES, GROUPS, OR INDIVIDUALS CONTACTED

10 days from public notification opportunity to protest for hearing:

- Published in Helena Independent Record on 8/30/2025.
- Published in The Roundup on 9/3/2025.

No written demand for hearing has been filed per ARM 36.22.601 as of 9/15/2025.

Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, GWIC website (Richland County Wells).

US Fish and Wildlife, ENDANGERED, THREATENED, PROPOSED AND CANDIDATE SPECIES MONTANA COUNTIES, Richland County

Montana Natural Heritage Program Website (FWP) Heritage State Rank= S1, S2, S3, T24N R59E

Montana Cadastral Website

Surface Ownership and surface use Section 5 T24N R59E

Montana Department of Natural Resources MEPA Submittal

USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service-Soils Map

B. ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

No Action Alternative: Permit to drill the well would not be issued by BOGC.

Action Alternative: Referred to the BOGC for further environmental review.

III. IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT

A. AIR QUALITY

Long drilling time: No, 10-20 days.

Unusually deep drilling (high horsepower rig): No

Emission sources: Vehicles traveling on county road to location, combustion engines on location during

drilling operations. Fugitive dust from traveling operations and location during active drilling.

Possible H2S gas production: Potentially in Mississippian formations.

In/near Class I air quality area: No.

Air quality permit for flaring/venting (if productive): Yes, DEQ air quality permit required under 75-

2-211. AQB review.

Comments: No special concerns – Triple derrick drilling rig to drill a single lateral horizontal Bakken Formation test, 22,601' MD/10,461' TVD. If there are no gas gathering systems nearby, associated gas can be flared under Board Rule 36.22.1220.

B. WATER QUALITY

Salt/oil-based mud: Steel surface casing hole will be drilled with freshwater and freshwater mud system to 1970', Rule 36.22.100.1. Will drill with oil-based invert drilling fluids for the intermediate casing hole. Horizontal hole will be drilled with saltwater.

High water table No.

Surface drainage leads to live water: No, the closest drainage is Second Hay Creek, located approximately ½ mile to the north. There is also an unnamed ephemeral drainage ½ mile to the south, that has a confluence with Second Hay Creek approximately 1.19 miles to the southeast.

Water well contamination: No, closest water well is about ½ mile north of this location, with a depth of 27'. The surface hole will be drilled with freshwater and freshwater mud to 1970' and steel surface casing will be run and cemented back to the surface to protect groundwater.

Porous/permeable soils: No, sandy clay loam.

Class I stream drainage: None.

Groundwater vulnerability area: No.

Th. /	• 4	•			
10/	11	iga	111	nn	•
TA1	Hυ	126	uu	UII	•

- Lined reserve pit
- X Adequate surface casing
- ____ Berms/dykes, re-routed drainage X Closed mud system
- X Off-site disposal of solids/liquids (in approved facility)

Other:

Comments: Steel surface casing will be run to 1970' and cemented back to surface to protect freshwater zones (Fox Hills aquifer) in adjacent water wells, Rule 36.22.1001. Adequate surface casing and BOP equipment to prevent problems, (5,000 psi annular and double ram), Rule 36.22.1014.

C. SOILS/VEGETATION/LAND USE

Vegetation: Cultivated field.

Stream crossing: None anticipated.

Erosion potential: Moderate, cut of up to 12.3' and fill of up to 15.7'.

Loss of soil productivity: No, location will be restored after drilling i Unusually large wellsite (Describe dimensions): A large well site 46 pad.	
Damage to improvements: Slight, to cultivated field. Conflict with existing land use/values: Slight.	
Mitigation:	
Avoid improvements (topographic tolerance)	
Exception location requested	
X Stockpile topsoil	
Stream Crossing Permit (other agency review)	
$\frac{\underline{\underline{X}}}{\underline{X}}$ Reclaim unused part of wellsite if productive	
Special construction methods to enhance reclamation	
Access road: Access will be from existing Sarah 1-5H pad, which is a	pproximately 6.2 miles west of
Fairview, MT along State Highway 201.	pproximately 0.2 nines west of
Drilling fluids/solids: Kraken Operating LLC will not be utilizing a d	rilling nit Drilling fluids and solids
will be transported to a state approved disposal facility.	mining pit. Drinning fluids and solids
D. HEALTH HAZARDS/NOISE	
Proximity to public facilities/residences: No occupied structures with occupied structure is approximately 2/3 mile away in SWNE Section 8 Possibility of H2S: Possibility in Mississippian formations. Size of rig/length of drilling time: 10-20 days. Mitigation: Yeroper BOP equipment Topographic sound barriers H2S contingency and/or evacuation plan Special equipment/procedures requirements Other:	
E. WILDLIFE/RECREATION	
Sage Grouse: No. Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): None. Proximity to recreation sites: None. Creation of new access to wildlife habitat: No. Conflict with game range/refuge management: No. Threatened or endangered species within 5 miles: Whooping Crane Bobolink, Iowa Darter, and Northern Redbelly Dace, Loggerhead Shrimitigation: Avoidance (topographic tolerance/exception) Other agency review (DFWP, federal agencies, DNRC Trues Screening/fencing of pits, drillsite Other:	ike.
Comments Cultivated farmland. There may be species of concern that	t may be impacted by this wellsite.

Comments Cultivated farmland. There may be species of concern that may be impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to what he would like done if a species of concern is discovered at this location. The Board of Oil & Gas has no jurisdiction over private surface lands. No concerns.

IV. IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN POPULATION

A. HISTORICAL/CULTURAL/PALEONTOLOGICAL

Proxin	nity to known sites:
	Mitigation:
	Avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception)
	Other agency review (SHPO, DNRC Trust Lands, federal agencies)
Other:	The Board of Oil & Gas has no jurisdiction over private surface lands.
Ъ	COCIAI /ECONOMIC
В.	SOCIAL/ECONOMIC

Substantiai 6	effect on tax base:
C1	reate demand for new governmental services
Po	pulation increase or relocation
Comments:	No concerns.

IV. SUMMARY

No long-term impacts expected. Some short-term impacts will occur but can be mitigated. I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/<u>does not</u>) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/<u>does not</u>) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.

EA Checklist Prepared By:	Name:	Ben Davis	Date:	10/24/2025
	Title:	Technical Program Coordinator		