## CHECKLIST ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

**Proposed Action:** Approve Drilling Permit (Form 22)

Project/Well Name: Clink 1

Operator: Hereford Resources, LLC

Location: T9N R35E Section 22 County: Rosebud County, MT Field (or Wildcat): Wildcat

**Proposed Project Date: 8/2024** 

#### I. DESCRIPTION OF ACTION

Treasure State Drilling rig #5 drill to 8000' depth.

#### II. PROJECT DEVELOPMENT

# 1. PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT, AGENCIES, GROUPS, OR INDIVIDUALS CONTACTED

Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, GWIC website (Rosebud County Wells).

US Fish and Wildlife, Region 6 website ENDANGERED, THREATENED, PROPOSED AND CANDIDATE SPECIES MONTANA COUNTIES, Rosebud County

Montana Natural Heritage Program Website (FWP) Heritage State Rank= S1, S2, S3, T9N R35E

Montana Cadastral Website

Surface Ownership and surface use Section 22 T9N R35E

Montana Department of Natural Resources MEPA Submittal

USDA Web Soil Survey Map Website

### 2. ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

No Action Alternative: Permit to drill the well would not be issued by BOGC.

<u>Action Alternative:</u> Hereford Resources, LLC permit to drill would be referred to the BOGC for further environmental review.

#### III. IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT

#### 3. AIR QUALITY

Long drilling time: No, 5-10 days.

Unusually deep drilling (high horsepower rig): No

Possible H2S gas production: Yes, low concentrations

In/near Class I air quality area: No.

Air quality permit for flaring/venting (if productive): Yes, DEQ air quality permit required under 75-2-

211. AQB review.

Comments: No special concerns – Treasure State Drilling rig #5 drill to 8000' depth.

If there are no gas gathering systems nearby, associated gas can be flared under Board Rule 36.22.1220.

# 4. WATER QUALITY

Salt/oil based mud: Surface casing hole will be drilled with freshwater and freshwater mud system, Rule 36.22.1001

High water table: No.

Surface drainage leads to live water: Drainage is an unnamed ephemeral drainage about 500ft to the west leads to Spring Creek Drainage.

Water well contamination: No water well within ½ miles of location.

Porous/permeable soils: Moderate, Sandy Loam.

Class I stream drainage: None. Groundwater vulnerability area: No.

Mitigation:

X Lined reserve pit

X Adequate surface casing

\_\_ Berms/dykes, re-routed drainage

\_\_Closed mud system

\_\_\_Off-site disposal of solids/liquids (in approved facility)

Other

Comments: Steel surface casing will be run and cemented to surface to protect ground water. (Rule 36.22.1001).

Comments: Surface hole will be drilled with a freshwater mud system to 750'cemented to surface. Steel surface casing will be run to 8000' and cemented back to surface. Adequate surface casing and BOP equipment to prevent problems, (3,000 BOP,Rule 36.22.1014.)

#### 5. SOILS/VEGETATION/LAND USE

Vegetation: Grass land. Soils: Benzonite Clay Loam Stream crossings: None anticipated. High erosion potential: No, largest fill is 7' with largest cut 7'.

Loss of soil productivity: No, location will be restored after drilling if unproductive.

Small wellsite (Describe dimensions): 300'x 300'.

Damage to improvements: Slight, surface use appears sage brush and grass lands.

Conflict with existing land use/values: Slight.

Mitigation

\_\_\_ Avoid improvements (topographic tolerance)

Exception location requested

X Stockpile topsoil

Stream Crossing Permit (other agency review)

X Reclaim unused part of wellsite if productive

Special construction methods to enhance reclamation

Access Road: Established road south of location. A new access of approximately 4000' built into location.

Drilling fluids/solids: Hereford Resources, LLC will be utilizing a pit for cuttings. Drilling fluids and solids to be tested before decision is made on disposal.

#### **HEALTH HAZARDS/NOISE** 6.

Proximity to public facilities/residences: No occupied structures within a 1/4-mile radius. Closest occupied structure is 1.6 miles southwest of location. Location is approximately 5.4 miles southeast of Ingomar, MT and 2.5 miles west of MT Hwy 12.

Possibility of H2S: Possibility in Mississippian formations.

Size of rig/length of drilling time: 5-10 days.

Mitigation:

X Proper BOP equipment

\_\_ Topographic sound barriers

H2S contingency and/or evacuation plan

\_\_\_ Special equipment/procedures requirements

Other:

# WILDLIFE/RECREATION

Sage Grouse: Yes.

Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): Sage Grouse Core Area.

Proximity to recreation sites: None.

Creation of new access to wildlife habitat: No. Conflict with game range/refuge management: No. Threatened or endangered Species: Listed threatened or endangered species in Rosebud County, MT by USFWS: Pallid Sturgeon and Black-footed Ferret.

Mitigation:
Avoidance (topographic tolerance/exception)
MT Sage Grouse Conservation Program Other agency review (DFWP, federal agencies, DNRC
Trust Lands)
Screening/fencing of pits, drillsite
X Other: MT Sage Grouse Habitat Conservation Program established mitigation for operator to

adhere to per letter dated May 30, 2024, Clink 1 Project 4862. Comments: Private grass lands. There may be species of concern that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to what he would like done if a species of concern is discovered at this location. The Board of Oil & Gas has no jurisdiction over private surface lands. No concerns.

#### IV. IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN POPULATION

# 8. HISTORICAL/CULTURAL/PALEONTOLOGICAL

Proximit	y to known sites:
N	Mitigation
	avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception)
	other agency review (SHPO, DNRC Trust Lands, federal agencies
	The state of the s

Other: The Board of Oil & Gas has no jurisdiction over private surface lands.

#### 9. SOCIAL/ECONOMIC

Substantial effect on tax base

\_\_ Create demand for new governmental services

Population increase or relocation

Comments: No concerns.

# IV. SUMMARY

No long term impacts expected. Some short term impacts will occur, but can be mitigated. I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/<u>does not</u>) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/<u>does not</u>) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.

EA Checklist	Name:	Ben Davis	Date:	8/5/2024
Prepared By:	Title:	Technical Program Coordinator		