## RRGL Planning Grant Application Review and Ranking Guidelines

July 1, 2021

## **Program Definitions**

**Conservation-** The efficient and sustainable use of a renewable resource

**Management-** The installation of new equipment or the implementation of technologies (i.e. "tools") that enables improved efficiencies and the sustainable use of a renewable resource

**Development-** To take advantage of an existing renewable resource by putting it to a new beneficial and sustainable use

**Preservation-** To protect a renewable resource from degradation caused by pollution, destruction, or neglect

**Renewable Resource**- A natural resource that is sustainable for future use if efficiently managed and maintained. A renewable resource generally enhances or enables the continued existence or beneficial use of a public resource as defined below. Examples are water, soil, wetlands, habitat for fish and wildlife, grasslands, crop lands, and forest land.

**Public Resource**- A resource that benefits the citizens of Montana. Examples include land, air, fish, wildlife, timber, and recreation opportunities.

**Public Benefit-** Benefits to the citizens of Montana that are the direct result of an activity or project. Included are health, safety, and economic benefits.

## **Application Evaluation** Applicant Name: Application Title: \_\_\_\_\_ Does the application provide a clear description of the problem to be solved? If not, it is DNRCs option to reject the application or request clarification. A clear description of the problem is mandatory. Is the proposed project necessary for regulatory compliance? The proposed project is to correct a regulatory issue that includes a compliance deadline within the next four years. If not corrected, the applicant will not be able to fully utilize the facility or will be subject to fines by the regulatory agency. Examples include DEQ AOCs and restrictions on high-hazard dams imposed by DNRC. Yes-5 Points No-0 Points Subtotal Points Are the benefits associated with the proposed project consistent with the Montana State Water Plan? Does the proposed project support water use efficiency and water conservation? 85-1-601 MCA and 85-1-602 MCA both state that the RRGL Program is key to the conservation, development, management, and protection of Montana's water resources. Generally, a project that meets these objectives is consistent with the state water plan, regardless of whether the benefits are for consumptive uses, agricultural uses including irrigation, or other uses that benefit the citizens of Montana. Yes-5 Points No-0 Points

## **Renewable Resource Benefits**

Significance Determination: Assign a value in increments of one point. A significance level of 1 indicates that the benefit is minor in all respects, including financial. In other words, installing new electrical components in a pumphouse to reduce operating costs by \$2,500 annually is minor; installing a hydroelectric generating plant on a drop structure, totally removing the system from "the grid" and providing a substantial contribution to O&M, may be major. As another example, reducing seepage and saline seep in a canal that develops ten acres of dryland crop land is probably minor; on the other hand, constructing a 500-acre-foot dam and reservoir to develop irrigation on a 1,000 acres of non-irrigated land may be major. This is a subjective determination on the part of the reviewer.

Subtotal Points

**Conservation:** Does the proposed project provide conservation benefits to one or more renewable resources? If so, define each resource and indicate, on a scale of 1 to 10, the significance of the conservation benefit associated with each affected renewable resource.

Affected Renewable Resource		
Yes		
_1 Point	10 Points	
No-0 Points		
NO-0 FOIIItS	Subtotal	Points
Affected Renewable Resource		
Yes		
1 Point	10 Points	
No-0 Points		
NO-0 FOIIItS	Subtotal	Points
Affected Renewable Resource		
Yes		
1 Point	10 Points	
No-0 Points		
140 0 i dilits	Subtotal	Points
Management: Does the proposed project provide	_	
renewable resources? If so, define each resource a		
significance of the management benefit associated wi	th each affected renewable res	ource.
Affected Renewable Resource		
Yes		
1Point	10 Points	
	_	
No-0 Points		
	Subtotal	Points
Affected Renewable Resource		
Yes		
1 Point	10 Points	
No-0 Points		
	Subtotal	Points
Affected Renewable Resource		
Anceted Nenewabie Nesoulte		

Yes		
1 Point	10 Points	
No-0 Points		
	Subtotal	Points
Development. Does the proposed preject develop and an arrange		
<b>Development:</b> Does the proposed project develop one or modefine each resource and indicate, on a scale of 1 to 10, the s		
associated with each affected renewable resource.	significance of the de	velopilient
associated with each affected reflewable resource.		
Affected Renewable Resource		
Yes		
1 Point	10 Points	
No-0 Points		
	Subtotal	Points
Affected Renewable Resource		
Yes		
1 Point	10 Points	
No-0 Points		
	Subtotal	Points
Affected Renewable Resource	<del></del>	
Yes	10 Dainta	
1 Point	10 Points	
No-0 Points		
NO-O FOIRES	Subtotal	Points
	Subtotal	r oiits
Preservation (aka "Protection"): Does the proposed project pr	eserve (or protect) or	ne or more
renewable resources? If so, define each resource and indica	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
significance of the preservation benefit associated with each aff		
Affected Renewable Resource		
Yes		
1 Point	10 Points	
No-0 Points		
	Subtotal	Points
Affected Renewable Resource		
Yes		

1 Point	10 Points	
No-0 Points		
	Subtotal	Points
Affected Renewable Resource	<u></u>	
Yes 1 Point	10 Points	5
		_
No-0 Points		
	Subtotal	Points
Public or Citizen Benefits		
<b>Public Health:</b> Does the proposed project provide health bene so, assign a level of significance on a scale of 1 to 5.	fits to the citizens of	f Montana? If
1 Point	5 Points	
No-0 Points		5
	Subtotal	Points
<b>Public Safety:</b> Does the proposed project provide public so Montana? If so, assign a level of significance on a scale of 1 to	•	ne citizens of
_1 Point	5 Points	
No-0 Points		5
	Subtotal	Points
<b>Economy:</b> Does the proposed project provide an economic be the state of Montana? If so, assign a level of significance on a seconomic because of the state of Montana?		ty, county, or
1 Point	5 Points	
No-0 Points		
No or ones	Subtotal	Points
<b>Resource-based Recreation:</b> Does the proposed project prov for resource-based recreation (e.g. public access; hunting and If so, assign a level of significance on a scale of 1 to 5.		
1 Point	5 Points	
-		

No-O Points	Subtota	IPoints
	Total	Points
reviously defined? If so, identify the	ovide for or enhance any other citizer benefit and assign a level of significa	ince on a scale of 1 to
Citizen or Public Benefit		5 Points
	Subtota	IPoints
	Total	Points