# Flathead Basin Commission Onsite Wastewater Treatment Risk Model

Cassidy Bender, FBC Acting Administrator Cassidy.Bender@mt.gov

Emilie Henry, FBC Nonpoint Source Coordinator Emilie.Henry@mt.gov

Mike Koopal, Chair of Onsite WW Treatment Committee Mike@whitefishlake.org

Casey Lewis, FBC Vice Chair clewis@kalispell.com



### **About the FBC**

The Flathead Basin Commission was established in 1983 by the Montana Legislature to protect the existing high quality of the Flathead Lake aquatic environment; the waters that flow into, out of, or are tributaries to the Lake and; the natural resources and environment of the Flathead Basin.





Commission Administrator

Cassidy Bender Coordinator

Emilie Henry NPS Coordinator



### **Statutory Duties**



(1) to **monitor the existing condition of natural resources** in the basin and coordinate development of an annual monitoring plan. This plan must involve a cooperative strategy among all land and water management agencies within the Flathead basin and identify proposed and needed monitoring which emphasizes but is not limited to the aquatic resources of the Flathead basin.

(2) to **encourage close cooperation and coordination** between federal, state, provincial, tribal, and local resource managers for establishment of compatible resource development standards, comprehensive monitoring, and data collection and interpretation;

(3) to encourage and work for international cooperation and coordination between the state of Montana and the Province of British Columbia concerning the undertaking of natural resource monitoring and use of consistent standards for management of resource development activities throughout the North Fork Flathead River drainage portion of the Flathead basin;

(4) to **encourage economic development and use of the basin's resources** to their fullest extent without compromising the present high quality of the Flathead basin's aquatic environment;

(5) to, in the discretion of the commission, **undertake investigations of resource utilization and hold public hearings** concerning the condition of Flathead Lake and Flathead basin;

(6) to submit to the governor and, as provided in <u>5-11-210</u>, to the legislature a **biennial report** 

(7) to **meet at least semi-annually within the Flathead Basin**, alternating the meeting site between the cities of Kalispell and Polson.

### **Current Priorities**

### **Nonpoint Source Pollution**

- Pollutants that come from many undiscernible, unconfined, and indiscrete land use activities – you can't directly point to the source of it
- Transported via runoff or subsurface flow to waterbodies or groundwater
- Common nonpoint pollutants include sediment, nutrients, pathogens, temperature changes, metals, salinity, etc.



"Nonpoint source pollution is the **largest contributor of water quality problems on a statewide basis** when compared to point sources of pollution." – MT DEQ, 2017

# Septic Leachate Overview

- <u>Septic leachate</u> the liquid that remains after wastewater drains through septic solids
- Can be one form of nonpoint source pollution if septic systems are:
  - →Sited in densities that exceed the treatment capacity of regional soils
  - $\rightarrow$  Poorly designed, installed, operated, or maintained
- Studies of septic leachate in MT date back to 1977 little movement in ~50 years
- On a state level, MT has "passing grade" for new systems, but only handful of counties have gone above and beyond minimum design & installation standards
- Cumulative impacts of existing, aging systems not addressed
- Homeowner knowledge of maintenance BMPs suspected to be low – Education is not enough to change behavior





### FBC's Septic Leachate Work

#### Onsite Wastewater Treatment Committee

- Groups of diverse stakeholders including regulators, counties, MACO, League of Cities & Towns, tribes, NGOs, conservation groups, real estate reps, etc.
- Goal: Identify and implement actionable measures to reduce water quality impacts from septic leachate

#### Flathead Basin Wastewater Partnership

Septic maintenance reimbursement program

#### <u>UM/FLBS National Science Foundation Grant</u>

- Collaboration to study all aspects of septic issue
- Workshop hosted June 8-10 at FLBS invited experts + decision makers to hear presentations and discuss

#### • **Basin-Wide GIS Risk Model + SDNA Study**

• Broad-brush, watershed-wide analysis to better understand septic leachate threat





# **Risk Model Overview**

### Goals:

- 1) Identify existing septic system distribution and age of population
- 2) Increase the Committee's spatial understanding of septic system pollution risk

#### Methods:

- Create maps for known risk factors for septic system failure or poor performance for both Flathead & Lake Counties
  - Soil Suitability (N/P)
  - Groundwater Depth
  - Slope
  - Distance to Surface Water
- Create model of existing septic system risk for Flathead County

#### Onsite Wastewater Risk Analysis GIS Technical Report





### Groundwater Layer

ONSITE WASTEWATER RISK ASSESSMEN

FLATHEAD COUNTY - AOUIFER DEPTH RISK

Fiethead County

Depth to Groundwate

< 10 Feet

10 - 15 Feet

Hydrography

N Rivers/Creeke

Transportation

State High

US Route

O City/Town

- County Boum

Political

### Soil Suitability Layer

Suitability: Nitrogen	
Low	High
Finer than loamy sands	sands and loamy sands
<30%	>30% or lithic
Median >10	Median <10
<42 micro mil/sec	>42 micro mil/sec
	Suitability: Nitrogen Low Finer than loamy sands <30% Median >10 <42 micro mil/sec

Soil Suitability: Phosphorus				
Risk Low High				
% CaCO <sub>3</sub>	>10% in subsoil	<10% in subsoil		
% Fragments	<60% in subsoil	>60% in subsoil or lithic		
Texture	Finer than loamy sands	Sands and loamy sands		

Groundwater Depth Risk			
Depth (ft) Risk Category			
< 10	High		
10 - 15	Moderate		
15 - 20	Low		
> 20	-		



### Surface Water Layer

**ONSITE WASTEWATER RISK ASSESSMENT** 

FLATHEAD COUNTY - SURFACE WATER RISK

Surface Water Risk

Low Moderate High

Hydrography

Transportation

State Highw

County Boundary

O City/Town

Political

N Rivers/Creeks

akesider

Flathend County

Lake County

Hungry Ho

Slope Risk		
Slope (%) Risk Categor		
0 - 10	-	
10 - 15	Low	
15 - 25	Moderate	
25 - 60	High	
> 60	-	

Surface Water Risk		
Distance to Surface Water (ft) Risk Category		
0-100	High	
100 - 500	Moderate	
500 - 5000	Low	

# Slope Layer



	Surface Wa	ter Risk
Flathcast County	Distance to Surface Water (ft)	Risk Ca
Lake Gounty	0-100	Hi
	100 - 500	Mod
	500 - 5000	Lo
The second		



# Physical Septic Risk

# Physical Risk Model

- Developed for both Flathead and Lake Counties
- Shows the potential for septic treatment failure based on the geophysical conditions
- Spatial generalization

Physical Risk Model (Cumulative)		
Risk Category Value		
Very Low	0 – 2	
Low	2 – 3	
Moderate	3 – 5	
High	5 – 7	
Very High	7 – 15	

Existing Septic Risk Model (Components)			
Feature	Category	Value	
Nitrogen Risk (Soil)	Low	0	
Nitrogen Risk (Soil)	High	3	
Phosphorus Risk (Soil)	Low	0	
Phosphorus Risk (Soil)	High	3	
Groundwater < 10'	High	3	
Groundwater 10' - 15'	Moderate	2	
Groundwater 15' - 20'	Low	1	
Groundwater > 20'	-	0	
Slope (%) 0 - 10	-	0	
Slope (%) 10 - 15	Low	1	
Slope (%) 15 - 25	Moderate	2	
Slope (%) 25 - 60	High	3	
Slope (%) 60 - 90	-	0	
Surface Water 500' – 5000'	Low	1	
Surface Water 100' – 500'	Moderate	2	
Surface Water 0' – 100'	High	3	



## Physical Risk Model

- Developed for both Flathead and Lake Counties
- Shows the potential for septic treatment failure based on the geophysical conditions
- Spatial generalization

Physical Risk Model (Cumulative)		
Risk Category Value		
Very Low	0 – 2	
Low	2 – 3	
Moderate	3 – 5	
High	5 – 7	
Very High	7 – 15	

Existing Septic Risk Model (Components)			
Feature	Category	Value	
Nitrogen Risk (Soil)	Low	0	
Nitrogen Risk (Soil)	High	3	
Phosphorus Risk (Soil)	Low	0	
Phosphorus Risk (Soil)	High	3	
Groundwater < 10'	High	3	
Groundwater 10' - 15'	Moderate	2	
Groundwater 15' - 20'	Low	1	
Groundwater > 20'	-	0	
Slope (%) 0 - 10	-	0	
Slope (%) 10 - 15	Low	1	
Slope (%) 15 - 25	Moderate	2	
Slope (%) 25 - 60	High	3	
Slope (%) 60 - 90	-	0	
Surface Water 500' – 5000'	Low	1	
Surface Water 100' – 500'	Moderate	2	
Surface Water 0' – 100'	High	3	



### Septic Age Analysis

- Age: key factor in a system's likelihood to underperform
- Data only available for Flathead County

2020--Current Age Proportion of Septic Systems in Each Risk Class Flathead County (Permitted) TN= 21,415

2030--Projection Proportion of Septic Systems in Each Risk Class Flathead County (Permitted) TN= 25,415







# **Age-Weighted Density**

- 500-ft buffer surrounding septic permit location
- Age risk value assigned to each buffer
- Overlapping buffers added together



Individual Septic Age Risk Value			
Permit Age	<b>Risk Category</b>	Value	
0-10	Low	1	
11 – 20	Mild	2	
21 – 30	Moderate	3	
31 - 40	High	4	
>40	Extreme	5	

Septic Weighted Age Density Risk Value			
Cumulative			
Age Value	<b>Risk Category</b>	Value	
1-5	Very Low	1	
6 - 10	Low	2	
11 - 20	Moderate	3	
21-40	High	4	
41 - 300	Very High	5	



# **Existing Septic Risk Model**



$$(G_w + S_w + M + N_r + P_r) \times S_r = E_r$$

- *G<sub>w</sub>* Groundwater Depth Risk Value
- $S_w$  Surface Water Risk Value
- M Topographic Slope Risk Value
- $N_r$  Nitrogen Translocation Risk Value
- $P_r$  Phosphorous Translocation Risk Value
- $S_r$  Septic Age Weighted Density Risk Value
- $E_r$  Existing Septic Risk Value

ITE WASTEWATER RISK ASSESSMEN

FLATHEAD COUNTY - SEPTIC RISK MODEL

xisitng Septic Risk Category

lydrography Rivers/Cre Iransportatio US Route State High Political O City/Town

Existing Septic Risk Model							
<b>Risk Category</b>	Value						
Very Low	0 - 2						
Low	2 – 5						
Moderate	5 – 10						
High	10 - 15						
Very High	15 – 65						



# **Unpermitted Analysis**

- Using cadastral data to identify parcels that likely have a septic system but no permit
  - ~8,000 parcels identified
- Postcard survey to ground-truth
  - 72% of respondents confirmed presence of septic system - ~5,000 unpermitted systems

### PROTECT MONTANA WATERS AND WIN A \$250 AMAZON



THIS INFORMATION IS BEING USED FOR DATA PURPOSES ONLY

# Synthetic DNA Tracer Study

- Short, unique strands of DNA used to trace septic leachate from specific septic systems
- Pilot study being conducted on Whitefish Lake and Lake Mary Ronan – sampling complete
- Would give us another tool in the toolbox to understand septic leachate pollution in the basin





Simulation of nitrogen contamination plume

Groundwater flow model

### **National Science Foundation Grant**

Goal: Solidify emerging collaborations between researchers, basin stakeholders, government agencies, and communities committed to maintaining water quality in the Flathead River Basin by:

- Hosting a three-day Septic & Water Quality Workshop composed of natural resource scientists, social scientists, and the public to scope strategies and solutions to address the issue.
- Evaluating the use of scientific and social data to motivate homeowners to adopt septic system best practices.
- Completing a pilot shoreline microbial study to identify areas receiving septic leachate in Flathead Lake.
- Incorporating the results of a synthetic DNA study on Whitefish Lake and Lake Mary Ronan by our community partner, the Flathead Basin Commission.
- Assessing policies and governance frameworks that address the risks from failing sep systems to public health and surface water and groundwater contamination.

#### Septic leachate Perceived Impacts



#### Aging Septic systems Perceived Impacts



#### Septic leachate - Perceived Impacts in Flathead Lake





### Future Work – Septic Leachate

- →Final edits to septic risk map report FBC website
- →Explore/implement recommendations from NSF workshop
- →Analyze results of synthetic DNA study
- $\rightarrow$ Assess and explore data gaps in the Flathead Basin
- →Analysis of options: Legislation, ordinances, outreach/education, financial incentives, etc.
- →Enhance funding mechanisms for maintenance + replacement
- →Development and growth awareness + use of tool (counties, municipalities)
- →Publish online, publicly-available, interactive GIS risk tool



# **Online Tool**



#### Flathead Basin Septic Risk Model

New User Guide

#### **Project Summary**



# **Stormwater Project**

Whitefish

Kalispell

Lakeside

Ronan 🔹

Columbia Falls

Evergreen

🗼 Bigfork

Polson

- 2020/21: Developed digital inventory of infrastructure in urban areas
- Created through data access requests and citizen science mapping events





### **Sub-basin Prioritization Model**

- 212 sub-basins in watershed
- Rankings based on four sub-basin characteristics:
  - 1) Size
  - 2) Land use type
  - 3) Land use pattern
  - 4) Impairment status of receiving waterbody

Name	Total Area (acres)	Area Score	Receiving Waterbody	Impairment Status	Pollutants of Impairment	Impairment Score	Water (%)	Agriculture (%)	Residential (%)	Developed (%)	Undeveloped (%)	Urbanized Score	Max Land Use Diff (%)	Mixed Land Use Score
KAL_ACTI	292	2	Ashley Creek	IMP > I	TN, TP, Sed, DO, and Temp	2	2	23	23	41	П	2	30	1 22
WHI_WR5	260	2	Whitefish River	IMP > I	Oil & Grease, PCBs, and Temp	2	6	28	16	31	18	I.	14	2
KAL_ACI	28	0	Ashley Creek	IMP > I	TN, TP, Sed, DO, and Temp	2	0	7	4	83	6	4	80	0
KAL_AC18	9	0	Ashley Creek	IMP > I	TN, TP, Sed, DO, and Temp	2	0	7	4	83	6	4	79	0
KAL_AC6	547	2	Ashley Creek	IMP > I	TN, TP, Sed, DO, and Temp	2	2	22	18	47	- 11	2	35	0

#### Land Use in the Flathead Watershed



### Future Work - Stormwater









Determine future goals of monitoring and outfall model



Increase capacity for management & maintenance



Develop retrofit guidance in high-priority sub-basins



Campaign incentivizing green stormwater infrastructure



### Addressing the issue through Education & Outreach

"The three main goals of an outreach program are to **improve learning**, **promote civic engagement**, and **strengthen communities through addressing their societal needs**. Such programs create a partnership with communities, strengthening and improving public knowledge, personal responsibility, and the overall health of communities."

–Journal of Education and Health

### **MONTANA WATERS**





### Montana Waters: Clearly Connected

#### Campaign Goals:

- Provide information and education on several water quality issues:
  - Nonpoint source pollution
  - Septic leachate
  - Harmful algal blooms
  - Stormwater pollution
  - And more!
- Increase consistency and effectiveness of messaging among partners.

Montana Waters makes talking about water quality **easy** and **understandable!** 

#### **Collaboration Efforts & Projects**

- Septic Maintenance Reimbursement Program
- Flathead Living Guide
- Events: NSF septic workshop, community septic seminar 'Pump Party', Stormwater Conference, Flathead Waters cleanup, etc.

#### **MONTANA WATERS**



### Learn more at MontanaWaters.com

### **Collaborative Programs**



**Clearly Connected.** 

#### **Flathead Rain Garden Initiative**

 Empowers residents of Flathead County to build rain gardens



#### Flathead Rain Garden Initiative



#### Adopt-a-Drain

Encourages residents to • clear debris off storm drains in their neighborhood



#### Annual Flathead Waters Cleanup

Recruits volunteers to pick up trash • in and around waterbodies of the Flathead Watershed



# **Questions?**

Cassidy Bender, FBC Acting Administrator Cassidy.Bender@mt.gov

Emilie Henry, FBC Nonpoint Source Coordinator Emilie.Henry@mt.gov

Mike Koopal, Chair of Onsite WW Treatment Committee Mike@whitefishlake.org

Casey Lewis, Vice Chair of FBC clewis@kalispell.com

#### **MONTANA WATERS**



