### TECHNICAL MEMO - INCREMENTAL IMPLEMENTATION OF MEFS – WATER YEAR 2024 COMPACT IMPLEMENTATION TECHNICAL TEAM (CITT) WORKING DRAFT – MARCH 27, 2024 – NOT APPROVED BY CITT

### Background

The Compact Implementation Technical Team (CITT) was established by the CSKT-Montana Compact, *MCA 85-20-1901*, (Compact) and has State, Tribal, Federal, Flathead Indian Irrigation Project (FIIP), and irrigator representation. The duties of the CITT are to enact specific components of the Compact, as established in *MCA 85-20-1901*, *Article II* and *Appendix 3.5*. A component of these duties includes the incremental implementation of Minimum Enforceable Flows (MEFs) and River Diversion Allowances (RDAs) in anticipation of the full enforceability dates. This responsibility is described in *Article IV* of the Compact, as well as in *Appendix 3.4* and *Appendix 3.5*.

Article IV (C)(3)(c) of the Compact states:

c. Until an MEF has become enforceable, the interim Instream Flow, where applicable, for that location shall be the enforceable Instream Flow. Where the Instream Flow has been incrementally increased above the interim Instream Flow level as a result of the partial completion of actions listed in the Implementation Schedule attached hereto as Appendix 3.4, the incrementally achieved level may be maintained until the MEF is achieved.

With this in mind, it is a function of the CITT to develop a methodology for 1) defining completeness of Operational Improvements and 2) assigning these incremental instream flows to corresponding MEF locations. This document offers suggestions on developing these methods, while also proposing reasonable values for each as February 2024. The CITT recommends that Incremental MEFs will go into effect on May 1 of water year 2024, with subsequent revisions to this document each year leading up to the full enforceability dates presented below:

Table 1. Schedule for Full Enforceability of MEFs/TIFs/RDAs (adapted from Appendix 3.4).

Geographical Area	Full Enforceability Dates for MEFs/TIFs/RDAs
Mission Valley South	September 17, 2026
Mission Valley North	September 17, 2028
Jocko Valley	September 17, 2029
Little Bitterroot Valley	September 17, 2030

### **Completion of Operational Improvements**

Operational Improvements are a foundational component of the Compact, and their completion ensures the balance of water with regards to MEFs, Minimum Reservoir Pools, and RDAs. As of the writing of this document, Operational Improvements have been partially completed with a corresponding advancement in efficiency for water management in FIIP's operations. Per Article IV (C)(3)(c), the status of Operational Improvements should be quantified so that appropriate interim MEFs can be established. Incrementally increasing MEF values will help FIIP prepare for full enforceability. Incremental implementation will also facilitate the progressive administration of any water management practices necessary to achieve MEFs upon the full enforceability dates. The schematic below illustrates CITT's proposed process for determining interim MEFs.




Figure 1. Flow chart for determining incremental implementation of MEFs.

CITT has developed tabulated lists to quantify the completion of Operational Improvements (attached as *Supplement A*). These tables are a comprehensive representation of the original text in *Appendix 3.4* but are reformatted provided in a way that is easier to analyze. The following criteria were used to score the completion of Operational Improvements:

- Each tabulated Operational Improvement item is assigned a completion status (Incomplete, In Progress, or Complete) and a corresponding completion percentage.
- Items with Incomplete status are assigned 0% completion, those with Complete status are assigned a 100% completion percentage.
- Items with a In Progress Status have pending actions needed to bring them to full completion. They are assigned a completion percentage between 1%-99%.
  - For example, the On-farm Efficiency Improvements category is listed as In Progress.
     With the CITT-funded Agrimet station network, this category has significant progress towards completion. Because there is work left to be done to realize on-farm water use improvements, particularly in the area of agricultural outreach, CITT has assigned 50% completion. Pending work will influence future scoring of this category.
- Scoring is completed on the FIIP-wide criteria in Tables 1.0 and 2.0 for informational purposes only and has no bearing on the calculation of incremental MEFs calculated for each region.
- Percent completion scoring for each of the geographic areas (Tables 3-6) determines incremental MEFs.
- Geographically-focused actions describe the specific actions that contribute to meeting the MEF values in each geographic area. Within each geographic area, each item is weighted equally.

Applying these scoring criteria, a percent completeness value is computed for each geographical area. CITT contemplated assigning different weights for each Operational Improvement Category, but a sensitivity analysis revealed little practicable difference if categories were weighted or unweighted. In addition, each Operational Improvement was determined to hold unique significance and contribute to

the overall completion of improvements identified in Appendix 3.4. These values are provided in *Supplement A* and are summarized below based on the *Appendix 3.4* components.

Table 2. Operational Improvement Completion Percentage as of March, 2024.

Appendix 3.5 Table	% Completion
Table 1.0*	68%
Overall Impact	
Table 2.0*	79%
FIIP-Wide Planning	17.576
Table 3.0	
Mission South	33%
Table 4.0	
Mission North	33%
Table 5.0	
Jocko Valley	33%
Table 6.0	
Little Bitteroot	33%
* Tables 1.0 and 2.0 represent area-wide organizational action	ons and are not considered in the area-specific percent complete.

### Assigning Incremental MEFs to each gage

With the percent complete values established above, the incremental MEFs are calculated based on a proportionality between the completion percentage of Operational Improvements and the interim instream flow (IIF)/MEF values for each gage. Displayed as an equation, this relationship is:




Figure 2. Equation to calculate Incremental MEFs.

Incremental MEFs were calculated using the equation above for all locations that have assigned interim instream flows. All incremental MEFs that are under 10 cfs were rounded to the nearest 0.5 cfs and incremental MEFs that are greater than 10 cfs are rounded to the nearest whole cfs. As an example, below is the incremental MEF calculation for the <u>East Fork Finley Creek gage below Jocko N Canal</u>, assuming 33% completion of Operational Improvements:

### Incremental Instream Flow – East Fork Finley Cr. Below Jocko N Canal

 $((15 - 8) \times 0.33) + 8 = 10$  cfs Incremental MEF

MEF – 15 cfs (Appendix 3.1)

IIF - 8 cfs (Appendix 13)

Percent Complete – 33% (converted to decimal for calculation)

Figure 3. Example calculation for East Fork Finley Creek below the Jocko N Canal.

CITT has compiled a complete set of Incremental MEFs at each gage (included as *Supplement B*) using the methodology described above. The green-colored values indicate incremental MEFs, while the peach-colored values indicate values that will remain as interim instream flows for WY 2024. Grayed-out cells in the "IMEF 24" (Incremental MEF Water Year 2024) row indicate instances where the MEF values are below the interim instream flows currently in place, thus the incremental increases do not apply. An example of this color-coded chart, again using East Fork Finley Creek below Jocko N Canal, is provided below:

Table 3. Recommended instream flow compliance table (Interim Instream Flows and Incremental MEFs) for water year 2024.

East Fork Finley Creek below Jocko N Canal near Mouth												
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
MEF	3	3	3	7	15	10	7	5	4	4	3	3
IIF	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
IMEF 24					10	9						

As seen in the above chart, the interim instream flow (peach colored cells) would be the prevailing instream flow schedule for all months except for May and June at this gage. During May/June, the incremental MEF (green cell) becomes the enforceable flow for water year 2024. For reference, the fully enforceable MEFs are included as grayed-out values.

#### RDA, TIF, and Minimum Reservoir Pool Elevation Considerations

As with MEFs, River Diversion Allowances (RDAs) are also slated to be adjusted incrementally based on Operational Improvement implementation. The citation for this is found in *Compact Article IV (D) (1) (c)* 

c. Headworks diversion amounts shall be progressively adjusted to achieve the RDAs as Operational Improvements are completed pursuant to Appendix 3.4.

Due to the complexity of comparing RDAs against the historical and current conditions, more work needs to be done in order to define incremental RDAs. A potential method would be a historical review of 1983-2002 diversions to develop a scale between historical diversions and the fully enforceable RDAs. With this scale established, the completion factor would be applied to determine the adjusted

	Approved by CITT on 4/10/2024
headworks diversions. Future discussions on thi these incremental RDAs.	is topic will seek to refine the approach to determine
3.4 describes the CITT's responsibilities regardin	TFs) and Minimum Reservoir Pool Elevations, Appendix g incremental implementation. Future work will al implementation of TIFs and Minimum Reservoir Pool

# Supplement A

Table 1.0 Overall Table of Status of Operational Improvement	ents		Percent Complete
Comprehensive Actions FIIP Wide	Status	Note	Scoring
a. CITT Formation	Complete	CITT first meeting May 24, 2016	100%
b. CITT Water Management Coordination	Complete	CITT meeting multiple times per year for Water Management Coordination	50%
c. CITT Water Measurement	Complete	MEF/TIF/RDA/MRPE buildout is complete  3 new AgriMet sites installed on reservation. CITT funding	90%
d. CITT Onfarm Efficiency Improvements	In progress	annual O&M. CITT Coordination Efforts focused on partnerships including outreach efforts.	50%
e. CITT Stockwater Mitigation	Incomplete	Coordination ongoing. No current CITT projects. See Article VI.A.1.c.	0%
f. CITT Moderization Plan	Complete	FIIP Modernation Plan published April 2016	100%
g. Forecasting Procedures	In progress	DNRC providing forecasting for Post Creek  NRCS providing forecasting for 4 locations - additional forecasting points under development	50%
h. Develop Web-Based Irrigation Management Tools	Complete	Hosted at www.csktwaterdata.org	90%
i. CITT Website	Complete*	DNRC hosts CITT website. CITT may create independent website.	80%
Jpdated 1/31/2024	-		Overall 68%

Table 2.0 FIIP-Wide Planning for, and Implementation of, Operational	Improvements		Percent Complete
Comprehensive Actions FIIP Wide	Status	Note	Scoring
1. CITT Formation			
a. Parties and Project Operator Form CITT Following Compact Process	Complete	CITT first meeting May 24, 2016	100%
2. CITT Water Management Coordination	Complete	Ongoing for life of CITT	100%
3. FIIP Modernization Plan			
a. CITT Finalize Scope of Work	Complete	FIIP Modernation Plan published April 2016	100%
b. CITT Contract for Services	Complete	FIIP Modernation Plan published April 2016	100%
c. CITT/Contractor Prepare Draft Plan	Complete	FIIP Modernation Plan published April 2016	100%
d. Outside Review and CITT/Contractor Completion of Plan	Complete	FIIP Modernation Plan published April 2016	100%
4. Forecasting Procedures		Forecasting provided at 5 locations - additional	
a. CITT Contract for Service	In progress	forecasting points under development	50%
b. CITT/Contractor Complete Forecast Workproduct	In progress	forecasting points under development	50%
5. Web-based Irrigation Water Management/Scheduling Tools	Commission	Harted at conversal to a total and	4000/
a. State/CITT Develop Web-based Irrigation Management Tools	Complete	Hosted at www.csktwaterdata.org	100%
a. CITT Define Website Functionality	Complete	Hosted at www.csktwaterdata.org	100%
b. CITT Contract for Service	Complete	Hosted at www.csktwaterdata.org	100%
c. Contractor Complete Website Workproduct	Complete	Hosted at www.csktwaterdata.org	100%
7. CITT Reporting and Data Dissemination			
a. CITT Prepare Annual Water Measurement/Management Report	Incomplete	Under development	0%
b. CITT Maintains Information on Website	Incomplete	Under development	0%
			Overall
			79%

Table 3.0 Mission Valley South Status of Operational Improve	ments		Percent Complete
Mission Valley South	Status	Note	Scoring
CITT Water Management Coordination	Complete	Ongoing for the life of the CITT.	100%
Water Measurement     a. CSKT - Streams and Instream Flow Sites	Complete	Buildout complete. Reporting on www.csktwaterdata.org.	100%
b. CSKT - Administered RDA Sites	Complete	Buildout complete. Reporting on www.csktwaterdata.org.	100%
c. CSKT - Reservoirs	Complete	Buildout complete. Reporting on www.csktwaterdata.org.	100%
d. CSKT - Irrigation Return Flows	Complete	Buildout complete. Reporting on www.csktwaterdata.org.	100%
e. Project Operator - Lateral Canals	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4.	0%
f. Project Operator - Farm Delivery Locations	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4.	0%
Operations     a. CITT Scope of Model Work	In progress	CITT initiated discussion on RFQ process.	50%
b. CITT Contract for Services	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4.	0%
c. CITT/Contractor Construct Model	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4.	0%
d. CITT Run and Maintain Model	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4.	0%
Farm Delivery Accounting     a. CITT Scope of Accounting System	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4.	0%
b. CITT Contract for Services	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4.	0%
c. CITT/Contractor Construct Accounting System	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4.	0%
d. Project Operator Populate Accounting System	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4.	0%
e. Project Operator Run and Maintain Model	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4.	0%
<ol><li>Onfarm Efficiency Improvements</li><li>a. State/CITT Cost-Share Onfarm Conservation Practices</li></ol>	In progress	CITT funding annual O&M for Agrimet stations.  Remaining needs include ag community outreach.	50%
Stockwater Mitigation     a. State/CITT Cost-Share Stockwater Developments	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4, Article VI.A.1.c.	0%
7. Irrigation Diversion Headworks Automation a. Retrofit Certain Diversion Structures to Support Automation	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4.	0%
			Overall
Updated 1/31/2024			33%

Table 4.0 Mission Valley North Status of Operational Improve	ments		Percent Complete
Mission Valley North	Status	Note	Scoring
CITT Water Management Coordination	Complete	Ongoing for the life of the CITT.	100%
Water Measurement     a. CSKT - Streams and Instream Flow Sites	Complete	Buildout complete. Reporting on www.csktwaterdata.org.	100%
b. CSKT - Administered RDA Sites	Complete	Buildout complete. Reporting on www.csktwaterdata.org.	100%
c. CSKT - Reservoirs	Complete	Buildout complete. Reporting on www.csktwaterdata.org.	100%
d. CSKT - Irrigation Return Flows	Complete	Buildout complete. Reporting on www.csktwaterdata.org.	100%
e. Project Operator - Lateral Canals	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4.	0%
f. Project Operator - Farm Delivery Locations	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4.	0%
Operations     a. CITT Scope of Model Work	In progress	CITT initiated discussion on RFQ process.	50%
b. CITT Contract for Services	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4.	0%
c. CITT/Contractor Construct Model	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4.	0%
d. CITT Run and Maintain Model	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4.	0%
Farm Delivery Accounting     a. CITT Scope of Accounting System	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4.	0%
b. CITT Contract for Services	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4.	0%
c. CITT/Contractor Construct Accounting System	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4.	0%
d. Project Operator Populate Accounting System	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4.	0%
e. Project Operator Run and Maintain Model	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4.	0%
5. Onfarm Efficiency Improvements a. State/CITT Cost-Share Onfarm Conservation Practices	In progress	Round Butte Agrimet station installed. CITT funding annual O&M Remaining needs include ag community outreach	50%
Stockwater Mitigation     a. State/CITT Cost-Share Stockwater Developments	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4, Article VI.A.1.c.	0%
7. Irrigation Diversion Headworks Automation a. Retrofit Certain Diversion Structures to Support Automation	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4.	0%
			Overall
Updated 1/31/2024			33%

### Approved by CITT on 4/10/2024

Table 5.0 Jocko Valley Status of Operational Improvements			Percent Complete
Jocko Valley	Status	Note	Scoring
1. CITT Water Management Coordination	Complete	Ongoing for the life of the CITT.	100%
2. Water Measurement			
a. CSKT - Streams and Instream Flow Sites	Complete	Buildout complete. Reporting on www.csktwaterdata.org.	100%
b. CSKT - Administered RDA Sites	Complete	Buildout complete. Reporting on www.csktwaterdata.org.	100%
c. CSKT - Reservoirs	Complete	Buildout complete. Reporting on www.csktwaterdata.org.	100%
d. CSKT - Irrigation Return Flows	Complete	Buildout complete. Reporting on www.csktwaterdata.org.	100%
e. Project Operator - Lateral Canals	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4.	0%
f. Project Operator - Farm Delivery Locations	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4.	0%
3. Operations			
a. CITT Scope of Model Work	In progress	CITT initiated discussion on RFQ process.	50%
b. CITT Contract for Services	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4.	0%
c. CITT/Contractor Construct Model	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4.	0%
d. CITT Run and Maintain Model	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4.	0%
4. Farm Delivery Accounting			
a. CITT Scope of Accounting System	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4.	0%
b. CITT Contract for Services	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4.	0%
c. CITT/Contractor Construct Accounting System	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4.	0%
d. Project Operator Populate Accounting System	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4.	0%
e. Project Operator Run and Maintain Model	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4.	0%
5. Onfarm Effiency Improvements		AgriMet station installed in Arlee. CITT funding annual O&M.	
a. State/CITT Cost-Share Onfarm Conservation Practices	In progress	Remaining needs include ag community outreach.	50%
6. Stockwater Mitigation			
a. State/CITT Cost-Share Stockwater Developments	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4, Article VI.A.1.c.	0%
7. Irrigation Diversion Headworks Automation			
a. Retrofit Certain Diversion Structures to Support Automation	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4.	0%
			Overall
Updated 1/31/2024			33%

Table 6.0 Little Bitterroot Valley Status of Operational Improve	ements		Percent Complete
Little Bitterroot Valley	Status	Note	Scoring
CITT Water Management Coordination	Complete	Ongoing for the life of the CITT.	100%
Water Measurement     a. CSKT - Streams and Instream Flow Sites	Complete	Buildout complete. Reporting on www.csktwaterdata.org.	100%
b. CSKT - Administered RDA Sites	Complete	Buildout complete. Reporting on www.csktwaterdata.org.	100%
c. CSKT - Reservoirs	Complete	Buildout complete. Reporting on www.csktwaterdata.org.	100%
d. CSKT - Irrigation Return Flows	Complete	Buildout complete. Reporting on www.csktwaterdata.org.	100%
e. Project Operator - Lateral Canals	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4.	0%
f. Project Operator - Farm Delivery Locations	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4.	0%
Operations     a. CITT Scope of Model Work	In progress	CITT initiated discussion on RFQ process.	50%
b. CITT Contract for Services	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4.	0%
c. CITT/Contractor Construct Model	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4.	0%
d. CITT Run and Maintain Model	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4.	0%
Farm Delivery Accounting     a. CITT Scope of Accounting System	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4.	0%
b. CITT Contract for Services	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4.	0%
c. CITT/Contractor Construct Accounting System	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4.	0%
d. Project Operator Populate Accounting System	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4.	0%
e. Project Operator Run and Maintain Model	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4.	0%
5. Onfarm Efficiency Improvements a. State/CITT Cost-Share Onfarm Conservation Practices	In progress	AgriMet station installed in Hot Springs. CITT funds annual O&M Remaining needs include ag community outreach.	50%
6. Stockwater Mitigation a. State/CITT Cost-Share Stockwater Developments	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4, Article VI.A.1.c.	0%
7. Irrigation Diversion Headworks Automation a. Retrofit Certain Diversion Structures to Support Automation	Incomplete	See Appendix 3.4	0%
Undated 1/31/24			Overall

33%

# Supplement B

	IIF Comp	liance Driv	ven		Increme	ntal MEF	Compliand	ee Driven		Not Appl	icable	
									Approv	/2024		
Middle Fo	rk Jocko	River be	elow Tab	or Feed	er Canal	near m	outh					
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
MEF	9	9	10	18	26	22	20	9	9	9	9	9
IF	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
MEF 24					22	21						
North For	k Jocko F	River bel	ow Tabo	r Feede	r Canal ı	near mo	uth					
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
ИEF	3	4	9	25	40	30	22	8	6	6	6	6
IF	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18
MEF 24				20	25	22	19					
Falls Cree	k below T	Tabor Fe	eder Ca	nal near	mouth							
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
MEF	1	1	1	1	4	5	4	3	3	2	2	1
S-14 Cree	k below T	abor Fe	eder Can	al near	mouth							
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
ИEF	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.7	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1
locko Riv	er below l	U <b>pper J</b> o	ocko S C	anal								
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
MEF	20	20	25	60	100	75	50	25	20	20	20	20
IF	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
MEF 24			22	33	46	38	30	22				
Cold Cree	k below U	Jpper Jo	cko S Ca	ınal nea	r mouth							
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
MEF	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
Gold Cree	ek below U	J <b>pper Jo</b>	cko S Ca	anal nea	r mouth							
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
MEF	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
Big Knife	Creek bel	low Upp	er Jocko	S Cana	l near m	outh						
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
MEF	3	3	3	5	7	8	5	5	4	4	3	3
IF	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
MEF 24				3	4	4	3	3	3	3		
ocko Riv	er below .	Jocko K	Canal									
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
MEF	40	45	50	100	140	90	42	42	42	42	40	40
IF	44	44	44	44	44	44	44	44	44	44	44	44
MEF 24			46	62	76	59						

Approved by CITT on 4/10/2024 Agency Creek below Upper Jocko J Canal Feb Jan Mar AprMay Jul Sep Oct Nov Dec Jun Aug **MEF** 3 3 4 8 15 14 10 6 6 4 4 3 ΗF 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 IMEF 24 10 10 9 East Fork Finley Creek below Jocko N Canal near Mouth Feb May Jan Mar Apr Oct Nov Jun Jul Aug Sep Dec MEF 3 3 3 7 15 10 7 5 4 4 3 3 IIF 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 IMEF 24 9 10 Schley Creek below Doney Ditch near Mouth Jan FebMar AprMay Jul Sep Oct Nov Dec Jun Aug 0.3 0.3 0.4 3 1.9 1.1 0.6 0.5 0.4 0.4 0.3 Finley Creek below Finley E Canal near Mouth Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec **MEF** 9 9 23 15 11 11 50 28 12 11 11 10 IIF 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 IMEF 24 8 9 8 13 22 14 10 9 9 9 9 8 Jocko River below Lower Jocko S Canal Jan Feb Mar AprMay Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec **MEF** 78 78 104 168 310 242 135 95 110 80 80 80 IIF 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 43 IMEF 24 55 55 63 84 131 73 65 55 55 109 60 55 Jocko River below Lower Jocko J Canal Feb Jan Mar Apr Jul Nov May Jun Aug Sep Oct Dec MEF 95 95 110 130 110 105 175 325 250 115 100 100 IIF 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 76 IMEF 24 82 82 87 109 94 84 158 133 89 87 86 84 Revais Creek below Revais R Canal below Highway 200 Feb Jan Mar AprMay Jul Sep Oct Nov Dec Jun Aug MEF 3 3 3 10 6 3 3 3 3 3 3 Mission Creek below Pablo Feeder Canal Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec MEF 20 94 102 85 45 20 11 10 10 115 20 11 ΗF 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 IMEF 24 19 43 50 46 40 27 19 19 Post Creek below McDonald Reservoir above Pablo Feeder Canal FebMar May Jul Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan AprJun Aug **MEF** 20 20 20 30 60 140 120 80 40 40 30 20

Approved by CITT on 4/10/2024

									Appi	oved by	CITT On 4/	10/2024
Middle Cro	ow Creek	k below F	Pablo Fe	eder Cai	nal near	mouth						
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
MEF	2	2	2	2	9	9	2	2	2	2	2	2
IIF	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
IMEF 24					4	4						
North Crov	w Creek	below Pa	ablo Fee	der Cana	al near n	nouth						
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
MEF	9	9	14	23	56	27	11	10	10	10	9	9
IIF	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
IMEF 24			11	14	25	16						
Mission Cr	eek belo	w Missio	n 6C Ca	nal abov	ve Post C	Creek						
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
MEF	13	13	20	24	50	65	35	25	25	25	20	15
IIF	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
IMEF 24				21	30	35	25	22	22	22		
Post Creek	below P	ost F Ca	nal									
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
MEF	20	20	22	26	55	60	35	26	24	22	22	22
IIF	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	22
IMEF 24			~	23	33	35	26	23	23			
Marsh Cre												
MEE/HE	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
MEF/IIF	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
South Crov									G	0	3.7	D
MEF	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
IIF	9.5			1	12		10	9	7	7	7	6
IMEF 24	9.5	9.5	9.5	9.5	9.5	9.5	9.5	9.5	9.5	9.5	9.5	9.5
Crow Cree	k below	Crow Pu	ımn Can		10	11	10					
CIOW CICC	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
MEF	25	25	25	40	60	5 <i>un</i> 55	22	22	25	25	25	25
IIF	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17
IMEF 24	20	20	20	25	31	30	19	19	20	20	20	20
Mud Creek				23	Ji	30	1)	1)	20	20	20	20
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
MEF	3	3	4	5	13	9	5	3	3	3	3	3
IIF	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8
IMEF 24	2	2	2	2	5	4	2	2	2	2	2	2
	<del>-</del>											

DRAFT - Proposed Incremental MEF Instream Flow Schedules - Water Year 2024

							Approved by CITT on 4/10/2024					
Crow Cree	k below	Moiese A	A Canal	near Mo	uth							
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
MEF	30	30	30	35	50	30	21	21	21	21	21	21
IIF	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
IMEF 24	24	24	24	26	31	24						
Hellroaring Creek below Twin Feeder Canal near Mouth												
•	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
MEF	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Little Bitterroot River below Camas A Canal Headworks and above Mill Creek											*	
Little Bitte	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
MEF	Jun	1	1/1/1/1	Дрг	way	Jun	Jui	Aug	sep	1	1100	Dec
MILL	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Little Bitterroot River below Camas A Canal Headworks and below Mill Creek												
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
MEF/IIF	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Mill Creek	below C	amas A	Canal ne	ear Mou	th							
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
MEF	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Hot Springs Creek below Camas C Canal near Mouth												
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
MEF/IIF	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Little Bitte	rroot Riv	ver belov	w Hot Sp	rings Ci	reek (no	mef or t	if values	)				
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
	0000	1 00	1,100	11.	1.101	0 0000	0 000	11118	$\sim c_P$	001	1,0,	200

### **Definitions:**

Water Right

IIF = Interim Instream Flow

MEF = Minimum Enforceable Flow

IMEF 24 = Incremental Minimum Enforceable Flow for Water Year 2024

MEF/IIF = Denotes values that have the same values for MEFs and IIFs; no change is required to adapt to MEF

### Supplement C

Approved by CITT on 4/10/2024

Middle For	k Jocko	River be	low Tab	or Feed	er Canal	near m	outh			,		10/2024
1,114,411	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
IMEF 24	20	20	20	20	22	21	20	20	20	20	20	20
North Fork	Jocko F	River bel	ow Tabo	r Feede	r Canal 1	near mo	uth					
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
IMEF 24	18	18	18	20	25	22	19	18	18	18	18	18
Jocko Rive	r below	Upper Jo	cko S C	anal								
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
IMEF 24	20	20	22	33	46	38	30	22	20	20	20	20
Big Knife C	Creek be	low Upp	er Jocko	S Cana	l near m	outh						
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
IMEF 24	2	2	2	3	4	4	3	3	3	3	2	2
Jocko Rive	r below .	Jocko K	Canal									
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
IMEF 24	44	44	46	62	76	59	44	44	44	44	44	44
Agency Cro	eek belov	w Upper	Jocko J	Canal								
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
IMEF 24	8	8	8	8	10	10	9	8	8	8	8	8
East Fork l	Finley C	reek belo	w Jocko	N Cana	al near M	Iouth						
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
IMEF 24	8	8	8	8	10	9	8	8	8	8	8	8
Finley Cree	ek below	Finley E	Canal 1	iear Mo	uth							
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
IMEF 24	8	8	9	13	22	14	10	9	9	9	9	8
Jocko Rive	r below 1	Lower Jo	ocko S C	anal								
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
IMEF 24	55	55	63	84	131	109	73	60	65	55	55	55
Jocko Rive	r below ]	Lower Jo	ocko J C	anal								
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
IMEF 24	82	82	87	109	158	133	94	89	87	86	84	84
Mission Cr	eek belo	w Pablo	Feeder (	Canal								
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
IMEF 24	18	18	18	19	43	50	46	40	27	19	19	18
Middle Cro						mouth						
D. CEE 2.4	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
IMEF 24	1	1	1	1	4	4	1	1	1	1	1	1
North Crov												
DATE 24	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
IMEF 24	10	10	11	14	25	16	10	10	10	10	10	10

DRAFT - Proposed Incremental MEF Instream Flow Schedules - Water Year 2024

Approved by CITT on 4/10/2024 Mission Creek below Mission 6C Canal above Post Creek Feb Jan Mar AprMay OctDec Jun Jul Aug Sep Nov IMEF 24 20 20 20 21 30 35 25 22 22 22 20 20 Post Creek below Post F Canal Jan Feb Mar AprMay Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec IMEF 24 22 22 22 23 33 35 26 23 23 22 22 22 Marsh Creek below Marsh Creek Feeder Canal near mouth Jan Feb Mar AprMay Jun Jul Sep Oct Nov Dec Aug MEF/IIF 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 South Crow Creek below South Crow Feeder Canal near mouth FebJan Mar AprMay Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov DecIMEF 24 9.5 9.5 9.5 10 10 11 10 9.5 9.5 9.5 9.5 9.5 **Crow Creek below Crow Pump Canal** Jan FebMar Oct Dec Apr May Jun Jul Sep Nov Aug IMEF 24 20 20 20 25 31 30 19 19 20 20 20 20 Mud Creek below Ronan B Canal Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec IMEF 24 2 2 2 2 2 5 4 2 2 2 2 2 Crow Creek below Moiese A Canal near Mouth Jan FebMar AprMay Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov DecIMEF 24 24 24 24 26 31 24 21 21 21 21 21 21 Little Bitterroot River below Camas A Canal Headworks and below Mill Creek Feb Jan Mar Apr Jun Jul May Aug Sep Oct Nov DecMEF/IIF 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 Hot Springs Creek below Camas C Canal near Mouth Jan FebMar AprMay Jun Jul Oct Nov Dec Aug Sep

#### **Definitions:**

MEF/IIF

IMEF 24 = Incremental Minimum Enforceable Flow for Water Year 2024

1

1

MEF/IIF = Denotes values that have the same values for MEFs and IIFs; no change is required to adapt to MEF

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1