ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT
For Routine Actions with Limited Environmental Impact

Part I. Proposed Action Description

Applicant/Contact name and address: Bridger Pipeline, LLC
PO Box 2360
Casper, WY 82602

1. Type of action: Application for Beneficial Water Use Permit No. 40S-30148233

2. Water source name: Missouri River

3. Location affected by action: SWSWNE Sec 29, T27N, R52E, Richland County

5. Narrative summary of the proposed project, purpose, action to be taken, and benefits:
The proposed project is to pump water out of the Missouri River for the purpose of industrial use. Water will be conveyed via a buried pipe to the place(s) of use. The proposed diverted flow rate is 2,200 GPM (4.9 CFS) up to 3 AF per annum.

The DNRC shall issue a water use permit if the applicant proves the criteria in 85-2-311, MCA are met.

6. Agencies consulted during preparation of the Environmental Assessment:
include agencies with overlapping jurisdiction

Montana Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ)
Montana Natural Heritage Program (website)
Montana Department of Environmental Quality Website (TMDL 303d Listing)
USDA Web Soil Survey
National Wetlands Inventory

Part II. Environmental Review

1. Environmental Impact Checklist:

PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT
**WATER QUANTITY, QUALITY AND DISTRIBUTION**

*Water quantity* - Assess whether the source of supply is identified as a chronically or periodically dewatered stream by DFWP. Assess whether the proposed use will worsen the already dewatered condition.

This reach of the Missouri River has not been identified by the Department of Fish, Wildlife, & Parks (FWP) as chronically or periodically dewatered. Also, FWP holds an instream flow right on this section of the Missouri River for 5178 CFS, effective year-round. Based on the flow requested and the DFWP instream right, the proposed diversion is unlikely to alter the current condition of the river, therefore no significant impacts to water quantity related to this application have been identified.

* Determination: No significant impact

*Water quality* - Assess whether the stream is listed as water quality impaired or threatened by DEQ, and whether the proposed project will affect water quality.

The reach of the Missouri River where the proposed POD is located has been identified by the Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) as fully supporting agricultural and drinking water uses and not fully supporting aquatic life. It was not assessed for primary contact recreation. The probable cause of impairment on aquatic life is Fort Peck Dam which impacts the natural hydro structure flow of the river. The proposed project will not have any significant effect on water quality.

* Determination: No significant impact

*Groundwater* - Assess if the proposed project impacts ground water quality or supply. If this is a groundwater appropriation, assess if it could impact adjacent surface water flows.

* Determination: This surface water appropriation should have no significant impact on groundwater in the area.

*Diversion works* - Assess whether the means of diversion, construction and operation of the appropriation works of the proposed project will impact any of the following: channel impacts, flow modifications, barriers, riparian areas, dams, well construction.

The diversion will consist of a 6” DV150 pump from Rain for Rent with up to a 75 HP diesel motor (or equivalent). The pump will not have any impacts to the river channel or create any barriers or flow modifications. Water will be conveyed to a storage tank before being used to hydrostatically test an existing roughly 70-mile-long of 10” buried pipeline. Used water will properly disposed of. No lasting impacts are anticipated. This project will have no effect on dams and will not involve well construction.

* Determination: No significant impact
**UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE OR LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES**

*Endangered and threatened species* - Assess whether the proposed project will impact any threatened or endangered fish, wildlife, plants or aquatic species or any “species of special concern,” or create a barrier to the migration or movement of fish or wildlife. For groundwater, assess whether the proposed project, including impacts on adjacent surface flows, would impact any threatened or endangered species or “species of special concern.”

The Montana Natural Heritage Program identified a list of 16 animal species of concern within the township and range that the project is in. Of this list, the Least Tern is listed by the US Fish & Wildlife Service as Endangered. The BLM identifies the Northern Myotis and Piping Plover as Threatened. The BLM also identifies the Least Tern and Pallid Sturgeon as Endangered.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hoary Bat</th>
<th>Sprague’s Pipit</th>
<th>Piping Plover</th>
<th>Sage Thrasher</th>
<th>Brewer’s Sparrow</th>
<th>Red-headed Woodpecker</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Least Tern</td>
<td>Northern Redbelly Dace</td>
<td>Blue Sucker</td>
<td>Iowa Darter</td>
<td>Shortnose Gar</td>
<td>Sturgeon Chub</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sicklefin Chub</td>
<td>Veery</td>
<td>Paddlefish</td>
<td>Sauger</td>
<td>Pallid Sturgeon</td>
<td>Townsend’s Big-eared Bat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Myotis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Least Tern is a species that prefer unvegetated sand-pebble beaches and islands of large reservoirs and rivers in northeastern and southeastern Montana; specifically the Yellowstone and Missouri River systems. The pumps will use floating screens with small footprints and are not anticipated to have an effect on the Least Tern.

Pallid Sturgeon are found in the Missouri River and use large, turbid rivers over sand and gravel bottoms, usually in strong current. They use all channel types, but primarily use straight reaches with islands. The pumps will use floating screens with small footprints and are not anticipated to have an effect on Pallid Sturgeon.

Piping Plovers primarily select unvegetated sand or pebble beaches on shorelines or islands. Vegetation, if present at all, is sparse. The pump location selected for this diversion would not be likely to provide suitable nesting habitat for the piping plover.

The Whooping Crane has been observed in the marsh habitat present at Medicine Lake National Wildlife Refuge and Red Rock Lakes National Wildlife Refuge. Birds have been observed in other areas of the state include grain and stubble fields as well as wet meadows, wet prairie habitat, and freshwater marshes that are usually shallow and broad with safe roosting sites and nearby foraging opportunities. The pump location selected for this diversion would not be likely to provide suitable habitat for Whooping Crane.

No plant species were identified as species of special concern within the identified project area.

*Determination:* No significant impact
**Wetlands** - Consult and assess whether the apparent wetland is a functional wetland (according to COE definitions), and whether the wetland resource would be impacted.

The only wetland identified within the project area is the Missouri River.

*Determination*: No significant impact

**Ponds** - For ponds, consult and assess whether existing wildlife, waterfowl, or fisheries resources would be impacted.

*Determination*: Not applicable.

**GEOLOGY/SOIL QUALITY, STABILITY AND MOISTURE** - Assess whether there will be degradation of soil quality, alteration of soil stability, or moisture content. Assess whether the soils are heavy in salts that could cause saline seep.

The soil type at the points of diversion are Ridgelawn loam. It is identified as prime farmland if irrigated. The soil is identified as Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm). It is not anticipated that there will be degradation to the soil nor development of a saline seep caused by development of this project.

*Determination*: No significant impact

**VEGETATION COVER, QUANTITY AND QUALITY/NOXIOUS WEEDS** - Assess impacts to existing vegetative cover. Assess whether the proposed project would result in the establishment or spread of noxious weeds.

No vegetation was listed as endangered or threatened by the USFWS for the project area. The control of noxious weeds is the responsibility of the property owner.

*Determination*: No significant impact

**AIR QUALITY** - Assess whether there will be a deterioration of air quality or adverse effects on vegetation due to increased air pollutants.

*Determination*: The pump will be diesel powered and there will be no deterioration of air quality as a result of this appropriation.

**HISTORICAL AND ARCHEOLOGICAL SITES** - Assess whether there will be degradation of unique archeological or historical sites in the vicinity of the proposed project if it is on State or Federal Lands. If it is not on State or Federal Lands simply state NA-project not located on State or Federal Lands.

*Determination*: NA-project not located on State or Federal Lands.
DEMANDS ON ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES OF LAND, WATER, AND ENERGY - Assess any other impacts on environmental resources of land, water and energy not already addressed.

Determination: No additional impacts on other environmental resources were identified.

HUMAN ENVIRONMENT

LOCALLY ADOPTED ENVIRONMENTAL PLANS AND GOALS - Assess whether the proposed project is inconsistent with any locally adopted environmental plans and goals.

Determination: There are no known local environmental plans or goals in this area.

ACCESS TO AND QUALITY OF RECREATIONAL AND WILDERNESS ACTIVITIES - Assess whether the proposed project will impact access to or the quality of recreational and wilderness activities.

Determination: This project will have no significant impact on recreational or wilderness activities.

HUMAN HEALTH - Assess whether the proposed project impacts on human health.

Determination: This project will have no significant impact on human health.

PRIVATE PROPERTY - Assess whether there are any government regulatory impacts on private property rights.

Yes___ No X_. If yes, analyze any alternatives considered that could reduce, minimize, or eliminate the regulation of private property rights.

Determination: There are no additional government regulatory impacts on private property rights associated with this application.

OTHER HUMAN ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES - For routine actions of limited environmental impact, the following may be addressed in a checklist fashion.

Impacts on:

(a) Cultural uniqueness and diversity ? No significant impact.

(b) Local and state tax base and tax revenues ? No significant impact.

(c) Existing land uses ? No significant impact.

(d) Quantity and distribution of employment ? No significant impact.

(e) Distribution and density of population and housing ? No significant impact.
(f) **Demands for government services**? No significant impact.

(g) **Industrial and commercial activity**? No significant impact.

(h) **Utilities**? No significant impact.

(i) **Transportation**? No significant impact.

(j) **Safety**? No significant impact.

(k) **Other appropriate social and economic circumstances**? No significant impact.

2. **Secondary and cumulative impacts on the physical environment and human population:**

   Secondary Impacts: No secondary impacts have been identified.

   Cumulative Impacts: No cumulative impacts have been identified.

3. **Describe any mitigation/stipulation measures:** None

4. **Description and analysis of reasonable alternatives to the proposed action, including the no action alternative, if an alternative is reasonably available and prudent to consider:** Under the no action alternative, the applicant would not have the benefit of water for this project. The applicant would be unable to use water from this source.

**PART III. Conclusion**

1. **Preferred Alternative:** Issue a water use permit if the applicant proves the criteria in 85-2-311, MCA are met.

2. **Comments and Responses**

3. **Finding:**

   Based on the significance criteria evaluated in this EA, is an EIS required? No

   If an EIS is not required, explain why the EA is the appropriate level of analysis for this proposed action: No significant impacts have been identified, therefore an EIS is not necessary.

**Name of person(s) responsible for preparation of EA:**

Name: Todd Netto

Title: Water Resource Specialist

Date: January 30, 2020