

**Montana Board of Oil and Gas Conservation
Environmental Assessment**

Proposed Action: Approve Drilling Permit (Form 22)
Operator: Montana Land and Exploration, Inc
Well Name/Number: ML&E Tiger Ridge 14-17-31N-19E
Location: Section 17 T31N R19E
County: Blaine MT; Field (or Wildcat) Bowes

Air Quality

(possible concerns)

Long drilling time: No, 4 to 5 days drilling time.

Unusually deep drilling (high horsepower rig): No, small single derrick drilling rig, about 500 HP (Estimated) to drill to 3,895' TD, Lower Bowes Formation test.

Possible H2S gas production: No H2S anticipated.

In/near Class I air quality area: No not in a Class I air quality area.

Air quality permit for flaring/venting (if productive): Yes, DEQ air quality permit required under 75-2-211.

Mitigation:

Air quality permit (AQB review)

Gas plants/pipelines available for sour gas

Special equipment/procedures requirements

Other: _____

Comments: No special concerns – using small rig to drill to 3,895' TD. If there aren't any gas gathering systems nearby, associated gas can be flared under Board Rule 36.22.1220.

Water Quality

(possible concerns)

Salt/oil based mud: No, freshwater, freshwater mud system.

High water table: No high water table anticipated.

Surface drainage leads to live water No, closest drainage is an unnamed ephemeral drainage 460' to the northwest.

Water well contamination: No water wells within a 1 mile radius. Surface hole in this well will be drilled to 430' with freshwater based drilling fluid. Steel surface casing will be run and cemented to surface to protect ground water. (Rule 36.22.1001)

Porous/permeable soils: No, sandy silty bentonitic soils.

Class I stream drainage: No Class I stream drainages.

Mitigation:

Lined reserve pit

Adequate surface casing

Berms/dykes, re-routed drainage

Closed mud system

Off-site disposal of solids/liquids (in approved facility)

Other: Groundwater vulnerability area

Comments: 430' of surface casing cemented to surface adequate to protect freshwater zones (Rule 36.22.1001) Also, air/air mist and/or fresh water mud systems to be used. Pit liner may be required due to groundwater vulnerability area.

Soils/Vegetation/Land Use

(possible concerns)

Stream crossings: No, stream crossings anticipated.

High erosion potential: No, no cut required and small fill, up to 7.0', required.

Loss of soil productivity: No, location will be restored after drilling, if nonproductive. If productive, unused portion of drillsite will be reclaimed.

Unusually large wellsite: No, 250'X250' location size required.

Damage to improvements: Slight, surface use is grass and sagebrush grazing land.

Conflict with existing land use/values: Slight

Mitigation

Avoid improvements (topographic tolerance)

Exception location requested

Stockpile topsoil

Stream Crossing Permit (other agency review)

Reclaim unused part of wellsite if productive

Special construction methods to enhance reclamation

Other Sage Grouse Waiver

Comments: Location access is off Lloyd Rd and existing trail. A new access road of 120' will be built off existing trail. Drilling fluids will remain in reserve pit after drilling and allowed to settle out solids. The fresh water left in reserve pit will be tested for suitability for land spreading. The remaining solids and fluids will be buried in the reserve pit.

Health Hazards/Noise

(possible concerns)

Proximity to public facilities/residences: No residences within a 1 mile radius.

Possibility of H2S: No H2S anticipated.

Size of rig/length of drilling time: Small drilling rig/short 4 to 5 days drilling time.

Mitigation:

Proper BOP equipment

Topographic sound barriers

H2S contingency and/or evacuation plan

Special equipment/procedures requirements

Other: _____

Comments: Adequate surface casing and operational BOP (3,000 psi annular) should mitigate any problems. (BOP's 3,000 psig annular) Rule 36.22.1014. No concerns

Wildlife/recreation

(possible concerns)

Sage Grouse: No.

Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): No.

Proximity to recreation sites: None identified.

Creation of new access to wildlife habitat: No

Conflict with game range/refuge management: No

Threatened or endangered Species: Only species identified as threatened or endangered is the Pallid Sturgeon, Black-footed Ferret, and the Piping Plover. NH tracker website lists five (5) species of concern: Hoary Bat, Little Brown Myotis, Northern Redbelly Dace, Iowa Darter, and the Pearl Dace.

Mitigation:

- Avoidance (topographic tolerance/exception)
- Other agency review (DFWP, federal agencies, DNRC Trust Lands)
- Screening/fencing of pits, drillsite
- Other: _____

Comments: Private cultivated surface lands. There may be species of concern that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to what he would like done, if a species of concern is discovered at this location. The Board of Oil & Gas has no jurisdiction over private surface lands.. No concerns

Historical/Cultural/Paleontological

(possible concerns)

Proximity to known sites: None identified

Mitigation

- avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception)
- other agency review (SHPO, DNRC Trust Lands L, federal agencies)
- Other: _____

Comments: Private cultivated surface lands. There may be species of concern that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to what he would like done, if a species of concern is discovered at this location. The Board of Oil & Gas has no jurisdiction over private surface lands.. No concerns.

Social/Economic

(possible concerns)

- Substantial effect on tax base
- Create demand for new governmental services
- Population increase or relocation

Comments: No concerns.

Remarks or Special Concerns for this site

Well is a Bowes Field, 3,895' Lower Bowes Formation test.

Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects

No long term impacts expected. Some short term impacts will occur, but can be mitigated.

I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/**does not**) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/**does not**) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.

Prepared by (BOGC): /s/ John Gizicki _____
(title:) Compliance Specialist
Date: September 23, 2016

Other Persons Contacted:

Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, GWIC website _____
(Name and Agency)
Blaine County water wells. _____
(subject discussed)

September 23, 2016 (date)

US Fish and Wildlife, Region 6 website
(Name and Agency)
ENDANGERED, THREATENED, PROPOSED AND CANDIDATE SPECIES MONTANA
COUNTIES, Blaine County
(subject discussed)

September 23, 2016 _____
(date)

Montana Natural Heritage Program Website (FWP)
(Name and Agency)
Heritage State Rank= S1, S2, S3, T31N R19E
(subject discussed)

September 23, 2016 _____
(date)

Montana Cadastral Website
(Name and Agency)
Surface Ownership and surface use Section 17 T31N R19E
(subject discussed)

September 23, 2016 (date)

If location was inspected before permit approval:

Inspection date: _____

Inspector: _____

Others present during inspection: _____