

## CHECKLIST ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

<b>Project Name:</b>	Willow Ridge Ranch Corp.- Lease Improvement Request for Tame Pasture Renovation Project
<b>Proposed Implementation Date:</b>	Fall 2016
<b>Proponent:</b>	Willow Ridge Ranch Corp., C/O Kenneth Tomayer, 1753 North Devon Road, Galata, MT 59444
<b>Location:</b>	Lease #3669 SW4, Section 3, T34N, R1E Lots 3, 4, S2NW4, SE4, Section 4, T34N, R1E
<b>County:</b>	Toole
<b>Trust:</b>	Common Schools

### I. TYPE AND PURPOSE OF ACTION

Willow Ridge Ranch Co., lessee, of state lease #3669 has requested to renovate existing tame pasture. The lessee plans to chisel the tame pasture 1" to 2" deep in order to break up the Russian Wildrye. This will allow for better water infiltration and increase the productivity of the desirable species. The proposed project area consists of 37.00 acres. The project will be performed this fall, weather permitting. No seeding or interseeding will occur on the project.

### II. PROJECT DEVELOPMENT

**1. PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT, AGENCIES, GROUPS OR INDIVIDUALS CONTACTED:**

*Provide a brief chronology of the scoping and ongoing involvement for this project.*

DNRC-Surface Owner  
Willow Ridge Ranch Corp., Lessee and Proponent, Lease #3669.

**2. OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES WITH JURISDICTION, LIST OF PERMITS NEEDED:**

DNRC is not aware of any other agencies with jurisdiction or other permits needed to complete this project.

**3. ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:**

Alternative A (No Action) –Deny the proponent permission to renovate the tame pasture.

Alternative B (the Proposed action) – Grant the proponent permission to renovate the tame pasture.

### III. IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT

- *RESOURCES potentially impacted are listed on the form, followed by common issues that would be considered.*
- *Explain POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATIONS following each resource heading.*
- *Enter "NONE" if no impacts are identified or the resource is not present.*

---

**4. GEOLOGY AND SOIL QUALITY, STABILITY AND MOISTURE:**

*Consider the presence of fragile, compactable or unstable soils. Identify unusual geologic features. Specify any special reclamation considerations. Identify any cumulative impacts to soils.*

The soil types are primarily made up of silty sites. These soil types are gently rolling topography. Minimal impacts to the soil will occur as the tract is lightly chiseled about 1" to 2" deep. Cumulative impacts on soil resources are not expected from the proposed project.

---

**5. WATER QUALITY, QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION:**

*Identify important surface or groundwater resources. Consider the potential for violation of ambient water quality standards, drinking water maximum contaminant levels, or degradation of water quality. Identify cumulative effects to water resources.*

There are no documented and/or recorded water rights associated with the tracts. Other water quality and/or quantity issues will not be impacted by the proposed action.

---

**6. AIR QUALITY:**

*What pollutants or particulate would be produced? Identify air quality regulations or zones (e.g. Class I air shed) the project would influence. Identify cumulative effects to air quality.*

No cumulative effects to air quality are anticipated.

---

**7. VEGETATION COVER, QUANTITY AND QUALITY:**

*What changes would the action cause to vegetative communities? Consider rare plants or cover types that would be affected. Identify cumulative effects to vegetation.*

Vegetation will be minimally impacted as approximately 37.00 acres of tame pasture is lightly chiseled 1" to 2" deep. Noxious and annual weeds within the proposed project area are a concern, but this concern will be mitigated as the proponent is responsible for controlling weeds within the project area. Cumulative impacts on the vegetative resources are not expected as the light chiseling will minimally disturb the tame species.

---

**8. TERRESTRIAL, AVIAN AND AQUATIC LIFE AND HABITATS:**

*Consider substantial habitat values and use of the area by wildlife, birds or fish. Identify cumulative effects to fish and wildlife.*

The area is not considered critical wildlife habitat. However, this tract provides habitat for a variety of big game species (mule deer, whitetail deer, pronghorn antelope), predators (coyote, fox, badger), upland game birds (sharp tail grouse, Hungarian partridge), other non-game mammals, raptors and various songbirds. The proposal does not include any land use change which would yield changes to the wildlife habitat. The proposed action will not impact wildlife forage, cover, or traveling corridors. Nor will this action change the juxtaposition of wildlife forage, water, or hiding and thermal cover. Wildlife usage is expected to return to "normal" (pre-action usage) following the completion of the project. The proposed project will increase plant vigor which will provide a greater food source for wildlife.

---

**9. UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE OR LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES:**

*Consider any federally listed threatened or endangered species or habitat identified in the project area. Determine effects to wetlands. Consider Sensitive Species or Species of special concern. Identify cumulative effects to these species and their habitat.*

There are no threatened or endangered species, sensitive habitat types, or other species of special concern associated with the proposed project area. At this time, no known unique, endangered, fragile or limited environmental resources have been identified within the proposed project area.

---

**10. HISTORICAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES:**

*Identify and determine effects to historical, archaeological or paleontological resources.*

Patrick Rennie, DNRC archaeologist, was contacted and he stated that due to the tame pasture being previously farmed, no historical, archaeological, or paleontological resources would be present. Tony Nickol, Land Use Specialist, surveyed the tame pasture and there were no historic, archaeological, or paleontological sites noted on the tract.

---

**11. AESTHETICS:**

*Determine if the project is located on a prominent topographic feature, or may be visible from populated or scenic areas. What level of noise, light or visual change would be produced? Identify cumulative effects to aesthetics.*

Since the field is currently in tame pasture and the surrounding tracts are all either farmed or tame/native pasture, the project will not affect the aesthetics of the area.

---

**12. DEMANDS ON ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES OF LAND, WATER, AIR OR ENERGY:**

*Determine the amount of limited resources the project would require. Identify other activities nearby that the project would affect. Identify cumulative effects to environmental resources.*

The demand on environmental resources such as land, water, air, or energy will not be affected by the proposed action. The proposed action will not consume resources that are limited in the area. There are no other projects in the area that will affect the proposed project.

---

**13. OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTS PERTINENT TO THE AREA:**

*List other studies, plans or projects on this tract. Determine cumulative impacts likely to occur as a result of current private, state or federal actions in the analysis area, and from future proposed state actions in the analysis area that are under MEPA review (scoped) or permitting review by any state agency.*

There are no other projects or plans being considered on the tract listed on this EA.

**IV. IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN POPULATION**

- *RESOURCES potentially impacted are listed on the form, followed by common issues that would be considered.*
- *Explain POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATIONS following each resource heading.*
- *Enter "NONE" if no impacts are identified or the resource is not present.*

**14. HUMAN HEALTH AND SAFETY:**

*Identify any health and safety risks posed by the project.*

The proposed project will not change human safety in the area.

---

**15. INDUSTRIAL, COMMERCIAL AND AGRICULTURE ACTIVITIES AND PRODUCTION:**

*Identify how the project would add to or alter these activities.*

The proposed tame pasture renovation project will improve livestock distribution and generally improve the proponent's ranching opportunities.

---

**16. QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYMENT:**

*Estimate the number of jobs the project would create, move or eliminate. Identify cumulative effects to the employment market.*

The proposed action will not significantly affect long-term employment in the surrounding communities.

---

**17. LOCAL AND STATE TAX BASE AND TAX REVENUES:**

*Estimate tax revenue the project would create or eliminate. Identify cumulative effects to taxes and revenue.*

The proposed action will not affect tax revenue.

---

**18. DEMAND FOR GOVERNMENT SERVICES:**

*Estimate increases in traffic and changes to traffic patterns. What changes would be needed to fire protection, police, schools, etc.? Identify cumulative effects of this and other projects on government services*

There will be no increases in traffic, no changes in traffic patterns, and no need for additional fire protection, or police services.

There will be no direct or cumulative effects on government services.

---

**19. LOCALLY ADOPTED ENVIRONMENTAL PLANS AND GOALS:**

*List State, County, City, USFS, BLM, Tribal, and other zoning or management plans, and identify how they would affect this project.*

The proposed action is in compliance with State and County laws. No other management plans are in effect for the area.

---

**20. ACCESS TO AND QUALITY OF RECREATIONAL AND WILDERNESS ACTIVITIES:**

*Identify any wilderness or recreational areas nearby or access routes through this tract. Determine the effects of the project on recreational potential within the tract. Identify cumulative effects to recreational and wilderness activities.*

These tracts of state land are rural and generally have low recreational value. The tracts are accessible and the proposed action is not expected to impact general recreational and wilderness activities on these state tracts.

---

**21. DENSITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF POPULATION AND HOUSING:**

*Estimate population changes and additional housing the project would require. Identify cumulative effects to population and housing*

The proposal does not include any changes to housing or developments.

No direct or cumulative effects to population or housing are anticipated.

---

**22. SOCIAL STRUCTURES AND MORES:**

*Identify potential disruption of native or traditional lifestyles or communities.*

There are no native, unique, or traditional lifestyles or communities in the vicinity that would be impacted by the proposal.

---

**23. CULTURAL UNIQUENESS AND DIVERSITY:**

*How would the action affect any unique quality of the area?*

The proposed action will not impact the cultural uniqueness or diversity of the area.

---

**24. OTHER APPROPRIATE SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC CIRCUMSTANCES:**

*Estimate the return to the trust. Include appropriate economic analysis. Identify potential future uses for the analysis area other than existing management. Identify cumulative economic and social effects likely to occur as a result of the proposed action.*

Cumulative impacts are not likely as the area is only used for livestock grazing and the tame pasture renovation project will improve the long-term viability of grazing on the tract. This project is authorized under the lease improvement request form. No other unique circumstances exist.

<b>EA Checklist Prepared By:</b>	<b>Name:</b> Tony Nickol	<b>Date:</b> November 7, 2016
	<b>Title:</b> Land Use Specialist, Conrad Unit, Central Land Office	

**V. FINDING**

**25. ALTERNATIVE SELECTED:**

Alternative B (the Proposed action) – Grant Willow Ridge Ranch Corp. permission to renovate the tame pasture

**26. SIGNIFICANCE OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS:**

The proposed action is expected to increase lease production. No negative impacts are expected.

**27. NEED FOR FURTHER ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS:**

EIS       More Detailed EA       No Further Analysis

<b>EA Checklist Approved By:</b>	<b>Name:</b> Erik Eneboe
	<b>Title:</b> Conrad Unit Manager, CLO, DNRC
<b>Signature:</b> 	<b>Date:</b> November 9, 2016

# Toole County, Montana

