

ADOPTION OF EXISTING ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW (EA/EIS)

Part I. Proposed Action Description

Applicant/Contact Name & Address: City of Laurel, Heidi Jensen
PO Box 10
Laurel, MT 59044

Type of Action: Application to Change an Existing Non-irrigation Water Right
43QJ 30103022

Location Affected by Action: Sections 15, 16, 20, 21, 29 and 30 T2S R24E

Narrative Summary of Proposed Action: The City of Laurel proposes to add a point of diversion in Government Lot 6, NENESE Section 30 T2S R24E and install approximately 3 miles of dual 30-inch transmission lines from that point of diversion to the existing water treatment plant.

Part II. Existing Environmental Review Information

Title: Draft Environmental Assessment City of Laurel Water Treatment Plant Intake

Publication Date: July 28, 2014

Lead Agency: Great West Engineering, Federal Emergency Management Agency

Location Where Interested Parties Can View or Obtain the Document:

<http://cityoflaurelmontana.com/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/New-WTP-Intake-EA-Draft-2014-07-28.pdf>

Part III. Criteria for Adopting Existing Environmental Review

- _X_ Yes _No Does the existing environmental review cover an action paralleling or closely related to the proposed action?
- _X_ Yes _No Is the information in the existing environmental review accurate and clearly presented?
- _X_ Yes _No Is the information in the existing environmental review applicable to the action being considered?
- _X_ Yes _No Were all appropriate Agencies consulted during preparation of the existing environmental review?
- _X_ Yes _No Were alternatives to the proposed action evaluated as part of the existing environmental review effort?
- _X_ Yes _No Have all of the impacts of the proposed action been accurately identified as part of the existing environmental review?
- _X_ Yes _No If the existing environmental review identifies any significant impacts as a result of the proposed action, will they be mitigated below the level of significance?

Part IV. Conclusion

If the answers to ALL of the questions listed above are "Yes", the existing environmental review can be considered sufficient to satisfy DNRC's MEPA review responsibilities.

Yes___ No__X_ Based on the criteria evaluated in the existing EA, is an EIS required?

Name: _____ Mark Elison _____

Title: _____ Hydrologist _____

Date: _____ 11/27/2015 _____

Signature: _____