CHECKLIST ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Proposed Action: Approve Drilling Permit (Form 22)

Project/Well Name: Judith 10-3 #2H **Operator:** Kraken Operating LLC

Location: SW SE Section 10 T25N R59E

County: Richland MT; Field (or Wildcat): Wildcat

Proposed Project Date: 11/15/22

I. DESCRIPTION OF ACTION

Triple derrick drilling rig to drill a single lateral horizontal Bakken Formation test, 21,042'MD/10,385'TVD.

II. PROJECT DEVELOPMENT

1. PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT, AGENCIES, GROUPS, OR INDIVIDUALS CONTACTED

Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, GWIC website (Richland County Wells).

US Fish and Wildlife, Region 6 website ENDANGERED, THREATENED, PROPOSED AND CANDIDATE SPECIES MONTANA COUNTIES, Richland County

Montana Natural Heritage Program Website (FWP) Heritage State Rank= S1, S2, S3, T25N R59E

Montana Cadastral Website

Surface Ownership and surface use Section 10 T25N R59E

Montana Department of Natural Resources MEPA Submittal

2. ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

No Action Alternative: The proposed well would not be drilled.

Action Alternative: Kraken Operating LLC would have permission to drill the well.

III. IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT

3. AIR QUALITY

Long drilling time: No, 5-10 days.

Unusually deep drilling (high horsepower rig): No

Possible H2S gas production: Potentially in Mississippian formations.

In/near Class I air quality area: No.

Air quality permit for flaring/venting (if productive): Yes, DEQ air quality permit required under 75-2-

211. AQB review.

Comments: No special concerns – Triple derrick drilling rig to drill a single lateral horizontal Bakken Formation test, 21,042'MD/10,385'TVD. If there are no gas gathering systems nearby, associated gas can be flared under Board Rule 36.22.1220.

4. WATER QUALITY

Salt/oil based mud: Will drill with oil based invert drilling fluids for the intermediate casing hole. Horizontal hole will be drilled with saltwater. Surface casing hole will be drilled with freshwater and freshwater mud system, Rule 36.22.100.1

High water table: No.

Surface drainage leads to live water: No, closest drainage is an unnamed ephemeral drainage 1/4 of a mile to the north.

Water well contamination: The closest water well is a 143' test well about 300 ft to the southeast. Another 40' deep unknown well located about 1/2 of a mile to the west from the proposed location. Four boreholes are listed about 1/4 of a mile to the southeast and have no depths listed. The surface hole will be drilled with freshwater and freshwater mud to 1,815' and steel surface casing will be run and cemented to surface to protect groundwater.

Porous/permeable soils: No, sandy silty clay soils.

Class I stream drainage: None. Groundwater vulnerability area: No.

Mitigation:

__ Lined reserve pit

X Adequate surface casing

__ Berms/dykes, re-routed drainage

X Closed mud system

X Off-site disposal of solids/liquids (in approved facility)

Other:

Comments: Steel surface casing will be run and cemented to surface to protect ground water. (Rule 36.22.1001).

Comments: Surface hole will be drilled with a freshwater mud system to 1,815'. Steel surface casing will be run to 1,815' and cemented back to surface to protect freshwater zones in adjacent water wells, Rule 36.22.1001. Also, covering Fox Hills aquifer. Adequate surface casing and BOP equipment to prevent problems, (5,000 psi annular and double ram), Rule 36.22.1014.)

5. SOILS/VEGETATION/LAND USE

Vegetation: Grass land.

Stream crossings: None anticipated.

High erosion potential: No, small cut of up to 6.3' and a small fill of up to 5.8', required. Loss of soil productivity: No, location will be restored after drilling if unproductive.

Unusually large wellsite (Describe dimensions): A large well site 335'X 682' required for five wells.

Damage to improvements: Slight, surface use appears to be mix of cultivated and grass lands. Conflict with existing land use/values: Slight.

Mitigation

__ Avoid improvements (topographic tolerance)

__ Exception location requested

X Stockpile topsoil

__ Stream Crossing Permit (other agency review)

_Reclaim unused part of wellsite if productive

Special construction methods to enhance reclamation

Access Road: Access will be over existing CR 140. A new access of 122' will be built into location.

Drilling fluids/solids: Kraken will not be utilizing a drilling pit.

6. HEALTH HAZARDS/NOISE

Proximity to public facilities/residences: Sheds / farm shops are located 1,201 ft to the west. These are not occupied structures.

Possibility of H2S: Possibility in Mississippian formations. Size of rig/length of drilling time: Triple derrick, 5-10 days.

Mitigation:

X Proper BOP equipment

__ Topographic sound barriers

___ H2S contingency and/or evacuation plan

__ Special equipment/procedures requirements

Other:

7. WILDLIFE/RECREATION

Sage Grouse: No.

Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): None.

Proximity to recreation sites: None.

Creation of new access to wildlife habitat: No.

Conflict with game range/refuge management: No.

Threatened or endangered Species: Listed threatened or endangered species in Richland County are the Pallid Sturgeon, Red Knot, Interior Least Tern, Whooping Crane, Black-footed Ferret, Piping Plover, and the Northern Long-eared Bat. The Montana Natural Heritage Program website lists three (3) species of concern, Whooping Crane, Northern Redbelly Dace, and the Iowa Darter.

•				. •	
N	./I	11	ŀ۱	gatio	n
- 11	v			\mathbf{y} ano	11.

Avoidance (topographic tolerance/exception)

Other agency review (DFWP, federal agencies, DNRC Trust Lands)Screening/fencing of pits, drillsiteOther: Comments: Private cultivated surface lands. There may be species of concern that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to what he would like done, if a species of concern is discovered at this location. The Board of Oil & Gas has no jurisdiction over private surface lands. No concerns.							
IV. IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN POPULATION							
8. HISTORICAL/CULTURAL/PALEONTOLOGICAL							
Proximity to known sites: Mitigation avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception) other agency review (SHPO, DNRC Trust Lands, federal agencies) Other:							
9. SOCIAL/ECONOMIC							
Substantial effect on tax base Create demand for new governmental services Population increase or relocation Comments: No concerns.							

IV. SUMMARY

No long term impacts expected. Some short term impacts will occur, but can be mitigated. I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/ $\underline{\text{does not}}$) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/ $\underline{\text{does}}$ $\underline{\text{not}}$) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.

EA Checklist	Name:	John Gizicki	Date:	09/28/22
Prepared By:	Title:	Compliance Specialist		