CHECKLIST ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Project Name:	Burnt Hollow Land Banking Proposal Sale #577
Proposed Implementation Date:	Winter 2010
Proponent:	Burnt Hollow Ranch LLC
Location:	Approximately 316.6 acres in Lots 1,2, E2NW4, and the NE4 of Section 30, Township 7 North, Range 8 West
County:	Powell County

I. TYPE AND PURPOSE OF ACTION

The Department of Natural Resources and Conservation (DNRC) is proposing to offer for Sale at Public Auction, 316.6 acres of State Land currently held in Trust for the benefit of Common Schools Trust (see Attachment A – Map). Revenue generated from the sale of this parcel would be deposited into a special account for purchasing replacement lands meeting acquisition criteria related to legal access, productivity, potential income generation and potential for multiple use. Replacement lands would then be held in Trust for the benefit of the Common School Trust. This proposed sale is being initiated through the Land Banking program (Montana Code Annotated 77-2-361 through 77-2-367) that was approved by the Legislature in 2003. The purpose of this program is to allow the Department of Natural Resources and Conservation to dispose primarily of parcels that are isolated and produce low income relative to similarly classified tracts and to allow the Department to purchase land with legal public access that can support multiple uses and will provide a rate of return equal to or greater than the parcels that were sold. Additionally, this program allows for the Trust land portfolio to be diversified, by disposing of grazing parcels that make up a majority of the Trust land holdings and acquire other types of land, such as cropland or timberlands, which typically produce greater return on investment.

II. PROJECT DEVELOPMENT

1. PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT, AGENCIES, GROUPS OR INDIVIDUALS CONTACTED:

Provide a brief chronology of the scoping and ongoing involvement for this project.

DNRC prepared and distributed a letter dated August 14th, 2009, describing the proposal and requesting comments be submitted by September 11th, 2009. This letter was sent to interested parties including adjacent landowners, the Powell County Commissioners, State, Federal, and Tribal agencies, Special Interest Groups and the Negotiated Rulemaking Committee who participated in writing the Administrative Rules for the Land Banking Program. A complete list of the individuals contacted is included in Attachment B of this EA.

A legal notice was published in the Missoulian on August 16th and 23rd, in the Silver State Post on August 19th and 26th and the Montana Standard on August 15th and 22nd requesting comments be submitted on the proposal by September 11th, 2009.

Letters were received from Fish Wildlife & Parks and the Powell County Planner in response to this proposal. Comments applicable to the Land Banking proposal were considered in developing issues that are analyzed in this EA.

OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES WITH JURISDICTION, LIST OF PERMITS NEEDED:

None

3. ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:

Proposed Alternative: Offer approximately 316.6 acres of State Land for sale at Public Auction and subject to statutes addressing the Sale of State Land found in Title 77, Chapter 2, Part 3 of the Montana Codes Annotated. Proceeds from the sale would be deposited in the Land Bank Fund to be used in conjunction with proceeds from other sales for the purchase of other state land, easements, or improvements for the beneficiaries of the

respective trusts, in this case Common Schools. However, per M.C.A. 77-2-304 the State would retain the subsurface mineral rights.

No Action Alternative: Defer inclusion of this parcel in the Land Banking Program. Maintain state ownership of this parcel and continue to manage the property for revenue to the Common School Trust.

III. IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT

- *RESOURCES potentially impacted are listed on the form, followed by common issues that would be considered.*
- *Explain POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATIONS following each resource heading.*
- *Enter "NONE" If no impacts are identified or the resource is not present.*

4. GEOLOGY AND SOIL QUALITY, STABILITY AND MOISTURE:

Consider the presence of fragile, compactable or unstable soils. Identify unusual geologic features. Specify any special reclamation considerations. Identify any cumulative impacts to soils.

Proposed Alternative/No Action Alternative:
No sites with unique geology or unstable slopes were identified on the parcel proposed for sale. Predominant soils are Shawmut gravelly loam on 0 to 15 percent slopes forming the gently sloping hilltop. These are well drained soils that tend to be droughty and support native grasslands and a narrow riparian segment along Burnt Hollow Creek in the northeast corner of the parcel. Erosion potential is low to moderate on these soils with gentle slopes. There may be potential for gravel source at depth. There are no development roads or septic installations. Historic management has been grazing. No cumulative effects are apparent. There is a low standard road that touches the eastern property boundary. No EPA Toxic Release Sites or DEQ Remediation sites are located on this parcel. No soil disturbance activities are planned as part of this action.

Sale of this parcel is not expected to cause any direct, indirect or cumulative impacts to geology and soil quality, or stability.

5. WATER QUALITY, QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION:

Identify important surface or groundwater resources. Consider the potential for violation of ambient water quality standards, drinking water maximum contaminant levels, or degradation of water quality. Identify cumulative effects to water resources.

Proposed Alternative/No Action Alternative:
This parcel of land is located approximately 5 miles southeast of Deer lodge, Montana. Burnt Hollow Creek flows for approximately 1800 feet through the northeast corner of the DNRC parcel. Burnt Hollow Creek flows southeast to northwest and is tributary to Peterson Creek which flows into the Upper Clark Fork River. No water points of use or water rights occur on this DNRC classified grazing land parcel. The nearest developed water rights are on private land for 1) a stock water development upslope in the SE ¼ section 30 and a stockwater use downstream of the parcel in the S ½ of Section 19, T7N, R8W. Burnt Hollow Creek is not listed as pollution impaired on the 2008 303(d) report and no pollution related impairments have been identified for this area. If the property is sold, the State would not be able to control the type of future development or activities that could occur on the surface estate. Any proposed water rights uses on the parcel proposed for sale, would require application and evaluation for a beneficial water use through the permit process administered by the DNRC Water Rights Bureau.

Sale of this parcel is not expected to cause any direct, indirect or cumulative impacts to geology and soil quality, or stability.

6. AIR QUALITY:

What pollutants or particulate would be produced? Identify air quality regulations or zones (e.g. Class I air shed) the project would influence. Identify cumulative effects to air quality.

Proposed Alternative/No Action Alternative:
The parcel is located approximately five (5) miles southeast of Deer Lodge, MT in Powell County. Air quality is currently good. Impacts to air quality may result from a variety of activities including road use, agricultural burning, wildfires, industrial development, and vehicle emissions or heating system emissions among others.

The parcel is a very small percentage of the valley air shed and we do not expect direct or cumulative effects would occur to air quality as a result of the proposal.

7. VEGETATION COVER, QUANTITY AND QUALITY:

What changes would the action cause to vegetative communities? Consider rare plants or cover types that would be affected. Identify cumulative effects to vegetation.

Proposed Alternative/No Action Alternative:
There is no forested land contained within this tract.

The property is native grassland range that was once part of an old hay field. Two separate range sites make up the vegetation on this site. The smaller of these (65 ac.) is made up of native species including, Rough Fescue, blue bunch wheatgrass, needle & thread, Prairie June grass, Sandberg blue grass, Idaho fescue, rubber rabbit brush, associated forb increasers and one invader grass, Kentucky blue grass. Overall, this range site rates as being in good condition. The larger range site (251 ac.) is an old hay field which is not producing well and is in poor condition. The vegetation on this site is comprised of Sandberg bluegrass, needle & thread, forb increasers, rubber rabbit brush, an unknown introduced grass and Kentucky bluegrass.

In the last inspection of grazing lease #709 (11/13/01) range conditions were rated from poor to good depending on location, with full utilization of available forage. A range renovation plan is in place to improve vegetative conditions.

No direct, indirect or cumulative effects are anticipated to occur to vegetation as a result of either alternative.

8. TERRESTRIAL, AVIAN AND AQUATIC LIFE AND HABITATS:

Consider substantial habitat values and use of the area by wildlife, birds or fish. Identify cumulative effects to fish and wildlife.

Proposed Alternative/No Action Alternative:
Aquatic Life/Fisheries -There is a short segment of Burnt Hollow Creek that flows through the NE corner of the parcel and may support fish habitat. No fish data is available for this reach, but by extrapolation from Peterson Creek, we expect Brown trout, Brook trout and sucker may seasonally use Burnt Hollow Creek. Burnt Hollow is subject to warm summer water temperatures and dewatering. There is grazing use along the stream that causes some sedimentation impacts to aquatic life and fisheries habitat. The proposed sale would not change grazing use. There are no road crossings of the stream that could affect aquatic life. There would be no expected direct, in-direct or cumulative effects to aquatic life or fish with implementation of the action or no-action alternatives.

Terrestrial/Avian Life and Habitat - The affected parcel is considered year-round habitat for mule deer. Any future development of the site would be expected to undergo review by Powell County.

There would be no expected direct, indirect, or cumulative effects to terrestrial or avian life and habitat under the action or no action alternative.

9. UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE OR LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES:

Consider any federally listed threatened or endangered species or habitat identified in the project area. Determine effects to wetlands. Consider Sensitive Species or Species of special concern. Identify cumulative effects to these species and their habitat.

Proposed Alternative/No Action Alternative:
No issues regarding threatened or endangered terrestrial wildlife species were identified.

No threatened, endangered, or sensitive wildlife species or habitat is known to occur on the affected parcel. Canada lynx, grizzly bears, and a fisher have been observed east of the parcel, however, because the affected parcel is rangeland, habitats for these species would not be expected to be affected by the proposed action. As a result, no direct, indirect, or cumulative effects to threatened, endangered, or sensitive wildlife species would be expected under the action or no action alternative.

FWP commented that this parcel constitutes important antelope and mule deer habitat. Hunters can currently access and hunt on this DNRC half-section by walking in from the BMA. The Burnt Hollow BMA has been a popular hunting area and part of FWP's Block Management Program since 1996. If this parcel were to be sold, FWP hopes the new owners would be interested in enrolling it in FWP's Block Management Program to maintain hunter opportunity.

No endangered fish species, listed wetlands or sensitive plants are known to occur on the DNRC parcel. There would be no expected direct, in-direct or cumulative effects to aquatic life or fish with implementation of the action or no-action alternatives.

10. HISTORICAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES:

Identify and determine effects to historical, archaeological or paleontological resources.

Proposed Alternative/No Action Alternative:
It is currently unknown if cultural or paleontologic resources are present in the parcels nominated for sale through the Land Banking Program. However, a Class III inventory for Antiquities would be conducted prior to the sale of the tract.

11. AESTHETICS:

Determine if the project is located on a prominent topographic feature, or may be visible from populated or scenic areas. What level of noise, light or visual change would be produced? Identify cumulative effects to aesthetics.

Proposed Alternative/No Action Alternative:
There are no prominent topographic features on the state land. The state land does not provide any unique scenic quality that is not also provided by adjacent lands. There is a good view of the Deer Lodge Valley and Flint Creek mountain range from this tract.

No direct or cumulative impact to aesthetics is anticipated as result of the proposal.

12. DEMANDS ON ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES OF LAND, WATER, AIR OR ENERGY:

Determine the amount of limited resources the project would require. Identify other activities nearby that the project would affect. Identify cumulative effects to environmental resources.

Proposed Alternative/No Action Alternative:
This 316 acre parcel is part of the Common School Trust of which there are more than 4,674,513 acres within the state. The statutes limit the sale of trust land to a maximum of 20,000 acres prior to purchasing replacement lands. The potential sale of this parcel would affect an extremely small percentage of the Common School Trust land if replacement land was not purchased before the statute expires and even less impact if replacement land is purchased as anticipated.

The potential transfer of ownership would not have any impact or demands on environmental resources of land, water, air or energy.

13. OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTS PERTINENT TO THE AREA:

List other studies, plans or projects on this tract. Determine cumulative impacts likely to occur as a result of current private, state or federal actions in the analysis area, and from future proposed state actions in the analysis area that are under MEPA review (scoped) or permitting review by any state agency.

Proposed Alternative/No Action Alternative:
Grazing Lease Range Evaluations – Evaluations are customarily done at least once every 10 years prior to lease renewal. Previous inspections have been conducted on this parcel and are in the Department files.

Other than this, there are no known state or federal actions in the vicinity, or known future actions proposed by the state, which would have cumulative impacts with this proposal.

IV. IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN POPULATION

- *RESOURCES potentially impacted are listed on the form, followed by common issues that would be considered.*
- *Explain POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATIONS following each resource heading.*
- *Enter "NONE" if no impacts are identified or the resource is not present.*

14. HUMAN HEALTH AND SAFETY:

Identify any health and safety risks posed by the project.

Proposed Alternative/No Action Alternative:
No impacts to human health and safety would occur under either alternative.

15. INDUSTRIAL, COMMERCIAL AND AGRICULTURE ACTIVITIES AND PRODUCTION:

Identify how the project would add to or alter these activities.

Proposed Alternative/No Action Alternative:
The parcel of land is currently leased for livestock grazing purposes with an estimated annual carrying capacity of 69 AUM's. The current licensee, Burnt Hollow LLC owns acreage to the North, South, West and East of the parcel. The location of the property is not conducive to industrial or commercial development.

The Burnt Hollow Ranch parcel was leased for oil and gas in the late 1970's but no drilling occurred on the tract. A land use license for non-mechanized gold and silver exploration was also issued in the late 1970's but apparently no interest was generated in seeking a full mining lease and none was ever issued.

The oil and gas leasing noted on this tract was part of a general interest in the Deer Lodge valley in the late 1970's and early 1980's. Montana Board of Oil and Gas Conservation records show a handful of dry holes were drilled in this area during this time. There has been no interest in the area since.

Commercial mineral potential is low.

The Land Board is prohibited by both State and Federal Statutes from selling school trust mineral estate. Selling the surface estate therefore leaves the department with retained ownership of the split mineral estate. If sold, the transfer deed should contain the standard mineral reservation clause, including the right to access and utilize the surface.

Any future change in land use would be subject to review under state and local regulations intended to address impacts to local industrial, commercial and agricultural activities. No direct or cumulative impacts are anticipated as a result of the proposal. Per M.C.A. 77-2-304 the State would retain the subsurface mineral rights.

16. QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYMENT:

Estimate the number of jobs the project would create, move or eliminate. Identify cumulative effects to the employment market.

Proposed Alternative/No Action Alternative:
The proposed sale would have no affect on quantity and distribution of employment.

17. LOCAL AND STATE TAX BASE AND TAX REVENUES:

Estimate tax revenue the project would create or eliminate. Identify cumulative effects to taxes and revenue.

Proposed Alternative/No Action Alternative:
Currently the parcel is not assessed taxes. The sale would put new land on the county tax base, thus increasing revenue to Powell County.

18. DEMAND FOR GOVERNMENT SERVICES:

Estimate increases in traffic and changes to traffic patterns. What changes would be needed to fire protection, police, schools, etc.? Identify cumulative effects of this and other projects on government services

Proposed Alternative/No Action Alternative:
The proposed sale would not have an impact on government services.

Any future uses including development of the parcel would be subject to applicable local and state regulations.

19. LOCALLY ADOPTED ENVIRONMENTAL PLANS AND GOALS:

List State, County, City, USFS, BLM, Tribal, and other zoning or management plans, and identify how they would affect this project.

Proposed Alternative/No Action Alternative:
The parcel is in District 4 (40 acre minimum) of Powell County's Zoning & Development Regulations.

The DNRC manages state trust lands for residential development under the Real Estate Management Plan. The Plan defines residential development as one (1) residential unit per 25 acres or less. Since the property is zoned at a lesser density, development does not need to be restricted to mitigate for not counting against the development acreage cap identified in DNRC's Real Estate Management Plan.

20. ACCESS TO AND QUALITY OF RECREATIONAL AND WILDERNESS ACTIVITIES:

Identify any wilderness or recreational areas nearby or access routes through this tract. Determine the effects of the project on recreational potential within the tract. Identify cumulative effects to recreational and wilderness activities.

Proposed Alternative/No Action Alternative:

The parcel does not have a perfected right of legal access for management purposes. Our research indicates the presence of a pre-statehood 1871 Government Land Office (GLO) surveyed road (Wood Road). The Wood Road is not perfected legal access. Powell County would have to take action to formally declare this a county road - over several private parcels of land - in order to perfect access to the parcel.

FWP commented that the tract constitutes important antelope and mule deer habitat. The parcel was nominated for sale by the lessee. The lessee is also an adjacent landowner. The lessee's adjacent lands have been enrolled in the Burnt Hollow Block Management Area since 1996. Due to the fact that adjacent lands are enrolled in the BMA, the public has access to the property through the BMA. If this parcel is sold, FWP hopes the new owners will be interested in enrolling it in FWP's Block Management Program to maintain hunter opportunity.

21. DENSITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF POPULATION AND HOUSING:

Estimate population changes and additional housing the project would require. Identify cumulative effects to population and housing.

Proposed Alternative/No Action Alternative:
The potential sale of this parcel would not require additional housing or change population. It is unknown what land uses would occur under new ownership. Any future proposal to develop the property would be subject to review under state and local regulations.

22. SOCIAL STRUCTURES AND MORES:

Identify potential disruption of native or traditional lifestyles or communities.

Proposed Alternative/No Action Alternative:
There are no native, unique or traditional lifestyles or communities in the vicinity that would be impacted by either alternative.

23. CULTURAL UNIQUENESS AND DIVERSITY:

How would the action affect any unique quality of the area?

Proposed Alternative/No Action Alternative:
The potential sale of the state land would not directly or cumulatively impact cultural uniqueness or diversity.

24. OTHER APPROPRIATE SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC CIRCUMSTANCES:

Estimate the return to the trust. Include appropriate economic analysis. Identify potential future uses for the analysis area other than existing management. Identify cumulative economic and social effects likely to occur as a result of the proposed action.

No Action Alternative:
The parcel is classified grazing land. The parcel currently has a Grazing Lease authorizing use of up to 69 Animal Unit Months of forage per year (approximately 4.5 acres per AUM). The current annual income generated is $539.40 annually or approximately $1.70/ac.

Overall this parcel is considered below average in productivity and producing less than average revenue per acre. The parcel is surrounded by private lands and no proven legal access. There is no indication that this inaccessible parcel, if remaining in state ownership, would be used for purposes other than grazing and it is likely the future income would remain relatively stable.

An appraisal of the property value has not been completed. Assuming an appraised value of $1,250/acre, grazing income of $539.40 and timber income of $0 over a 20 year period, .001% and NPV of $13, 485 the current annual income return for this parcel is 0.001% with a net present value of $13,485.

Proposed Action Alternative:
The land Banking statute requires that land acquired as replacement property through Land Banking is "likely to produce more net revenue for the affected trust than the revenue that was produced from the land that was sold" (Section 77-2-364 MCA). Property targeted for acquisition could include agricultural or timber lands, with recreational opportunities or commercial potential. All these land classifications or uses presently produce a higher rate of return on State Trust land than the average parcel of State Trust grazing parcel.

EA Checklist Prepared By:	**Name:**	Elizabeth Mullins	**Date:**	11/20/2009
	Title:	Land Use Planner		

V. FINDING

25. ALTERNATIVE SELECTED:

I have selected the proposed alternative.

I recommend the parcel receive preliminary approval for sale and continue with the Land Banking process.

26. SIGNIFICANCE OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS:

I have evaluated the comments received and potential environment effects and have determined significant environmental impacts would not result from the proposed land sale. This parcel does not have any unique characteristics; critical habitat or environmental conditions indicating the parcel should necessarily remain under management by the Department of Natural Resources and Conservation.
I have reviewed the comments and believe that all concerns have been adequately addressed under the appropriate headings.

If this parcel is sold, all future actions or changes in land use would have to meet with all applicable laws and rules.

27. NEED FOR FURTHER ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS:

[ ] EIS [ ] More Detailed EA [x] No Further Analysis

EA Checklist Approved By:	**Name:**	Anthony L. Liane
	Title:	Southwestern Land Office Area Manager
Signature:		**Date:** 12/15/09

Land Banking Nomination for 316 Acres T 7N R 8W Section 30 N2



Legend

- Roads
- Private
- USDA Forest Service
- Montana DNRC
- Montana DNRC Parcel for Nominantion

Prepared by Donna Riebe
FMB/Technical Services Section
16 November 2009

EXHIBIT B

Lessee/Licensee (TLMS)
Burnt Hollow LLC Attn Taylor Wright
PO Box 268
Medina, WA 98039

Adjacent Landowners of Record (Cadastral)
ROME N ALLEN
1627 CLARK ST
DEER LODGE, MT 59722-9792

ENGER DONALD O ET AL
1056 RIVERVIEW DR
FALLBROOK, CA 92028-1846

BROKEN CIRCLE RANCH COMPANY IN
3987 EASTSIDE RD
DEER LODGE, MT 59722-9520

KRAMER JOIE E
226 AUTUMN LN
DEER LODGE, MT 59722-8746

MOSIER WILLIAM L SR & VIOLET S
PO BOX 41
DEER LODGE, MT 59722-0041

MILYARD DEAN
135 N EASY ST
MISSOULA, MT 59802-5477

TWO BAR RANCH LMTD PARTNERSHIP
89 WILD ONION LN
DEER LODGE, MT 59722-8790

DNRC Staff
Mike O'Herron
Donna Riebe
Sonya Germann
Jeanne Holmgren
Patrick Rennie
Kevin Chappell-Grazing
Emily Cooper
John Grimm
Tom Konency
Janel Favero

John Grassy
Mike McGrath
Jeff Collins
Monte Mason
Tom Hughes
Fred Staedler
Brian Robbins
Dana Boruch

Appropriate Legislators

Richard (Mike) Miller
20906 MT Highway 141
Helmville, MT 59843-9025

Dave Lewis
5871 Collins Road
Helena, MT 59602-9584

Appropriate Forest Service
USDA FOREST SERVICE
NORTHERN REGIONAL HEADQUARTERS
MISSOULA, MT 59801-

Powell County
Ralph Mannis
409 Missouri Avenue
Deer Lodge MT 59722-1084

Gail Jones
409 Missouri Avenue
Deer Lodge MT 59722-1084

Cele Pohle
409 Missouri Avenue
Deer Lodge MT 59722-1084

Ron Hanson
Powell County Planning Department
409 Missouri Ave.
Deer Lodge, MT 59722

John (Tracy) Manley
President
232 Douglas Creek Lane
Drummond, MT 59832

Anaconda Unit
Lorry Thomas

Anaconda Sportsman Club
#2 Cherry
Anaconda, MT 59711

Pat Flowers and Kari Janikula
FWP Region 3 Office
1400 South 19th
Bozeman, MT 59719

SWLO Interested Agencies
Jeff Hagener, Director
Dept. of Fish, Wildlife & Parks
P.O. Box 200701
Helena, MT 59620-0701

Mack Long
Dept. Of Fish, Wildlife & Parks
3201 Spurgin Road
Missoula, MT 59804

Sharon Rose
Dept. Of Fish, Wildlife & Parks
3201 Spurgin Road
Missoula, MT 59804

Department of Environmental Quality
1520 East 6th Avenue
Helena MT 59620

Environmental Quality Council
PO Box 201704
Helena, MT 59620-1704

Nancy Anderson
Bureau of Land Management
Missoula Resource Area
3255 Fort Missoula Road
Missoula, MT 59804

Tribal Historic Preservation Office
Confederated Salish and Kootenai Tribes
P. O. Box 278
Pablo, MT 59855

Jerry Sorenson
Plum Creek Timber Company LP
PO Box 1990
Columbia Falls, MT 59912

Montana Wilderness Association
30 South Ewing
Helena, MT 59624

Montana Audubon Council
Attn. Janet Ellis
PO Box 595
Helena, MT 59624

MonTRUST
P O. BOX 1111
Missoula Mt 59806

Five Valley's Land Trust
P.O. Box 8953
Missoula, MT 59807

Rocky Mountain Elk Foundation
PO Box 8249
Missoula, Mt 59807-8249

Friends of the Wild Swan
PO Box 5103
Swan Lake, MT 59911

Jeff Juel, Ecoysystem Defencse
Wildwest Insititute
PO Box 7998
Missoula, MT 59807

Alliance for the Wild Rockies
P. O. Box 505
Helena MT 59624

Jay Bodner, Natural Resources Director
Montana Stockgrowers Assn.
420 North California
Helena, MT 59601

Montana Smart Growth Coalition
Tim Davis
PO Box 543
Helena, MT 59624

Ric Smith, Chairman
Trout Unlimited

PO Box 7186
Missoula, MT 59807

Montana Environmental Information Center
Attn. Anne Hedges
PO Box 1184
Helena, MT 59624

Montana River Action Network
Attn. Donald Kern
PO Box 383
Helena, MT 59624

Montana Wildlife Federation
Attn. Dave Majors
3289 Wood Duck Lane
Stevensville, MT 59870

Western Montana Fish & Game Association
c/o Jim Clawson
11225 Windemere
Missoula, MT 59801

Montana Land Reliance
Attn Jay Erickson
PO Box 355
Helena, Mt 59624

Bruce Bugbee
American Public Land Exchange
125 Bank Street
Suite 610
Missoula, MT 59802

Stuart Lewin
615 3rd Avenue North
Great Falls, MT 59401

Louis E. Hawkes, Executive Director
Public Lands Access Assoc., Inc.
16 Cloninger Lane
Bozeman, MT 59715

Public Lands Access Assoc., Inc.
John Gibson
3028 Avenue E
Billings, MT 59102

Montana Coalition for Appropriate Management of State Lands
Attn Jack Atcheson
3210 Ottawa
Butte, MT 59701

Montana Coalition for Appropriate Management of State Lands
Attn Jack Jones
3014 Irene St
Butte, MT 59701

Hellgate Hunters & Anglers
PO Box 7792
Missoula, MT 59807

Greater Yellowstone Coalition
P.O. Box 1874
Bozeman, MT 59771

Foundation for North American Wild Sheep
720 Allen Ave.
Cody, WY 82414

National Wildlife Federation
Attn Rich Day
240 N Higgins Ave
Missoula, MT 59802

Defenders of Wildlife
140 S. 4th St. W.
Missoula, MT 59801

Montana Bowhunters Association
4503 Barbara Lane
Missoula, MT 59803

Land Board Members

Brian Schwietzer, Governor
c/o Mike Volesky
PO BOX 200801
State Capitol
Helena, MT 59620-0801

Brad Johnson, Secretary of State
c/o Jeff Garrard
P.O. Box 202801
Helena, MT 59620-2801

John Morrison, State Auditor
c/o David Van Nice
840 Helena Ave
PO Box 4009
Helena, MT 59604-4009

Linda McCulloch, OPI Superintendent
c/o Rusty Harper
1227 11th Ave
PO Box 202501
Helena, MT 59620-2501

Mike McGrath, Attorney General
c/o Jennifer Anders
215 N. Sanders
PO Box 201401
Helena, MT 59620-1401

Beneficiary List-Choose Appropriate Rep.

Common Schools - Beneficiary	Linda McCulloch, Superintendent Office of Public Instruction BOX 202501 Helena, MT 59620-2501

Bureau Scoping Agency List-SCOPE ALL

FWP	Dept of Fish, Wildlife & Parks Attn: Hugh Zacheim PO Box 200701 Helena, MT 59620-0701
DEQ	Dept. of Environmental Quality Attn: Tom Ellerhoff PO Box 200901 Helena, MT 59620-0901
MT DOT	Dept of Transportation Attn: Shane Mintz PO Box 201001 Helena, MT 59620-1001

NEGOTIATED RULEMAKING COMMITTEE-SCOPE ALL

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	E-MAIL
Anne Hedges	Montana Environmental Information Center PO BOX 1184 HELENA MT 59624	443-2520	ahedges@meic.org

Bill Orsello/Stan Frasier	MONTANA WILDLIFE FEDERATION PO BOX 1175 HELENA MT 59624	442-9825	borsello@mtwf.org sfrazier@mtwf.org
Bob Vogel	Montana School Boards Association 863 Great Northern Blvd Helena, MT 59601	442-2180	bvogel@mtsba.org
Daniel Berube	27 Cedar Lake Dr. Butte, MT 59701	494-5152	dbeyrube.in-tch.com
Dore Schwinden	Deadhead Dept. of Labor and Industry		dschwinden@state.mt.us
Ellen Engstedt	MONTANA WOOD PRODUCTS PO BOX 1149 HELENA MT 59624	443-1566	woodproducts@mt.net
Harold Blattie	Montana Association of Counties 2715 Skyway Dr. Helena, MT 59601	442-5209	blattie@maco.coq.mt.us
Jack Atcheson, SR.	3210 OTTAWA BUTTE MT 59701	782-4150H 782-2382W	www.atcheson.com fax 723-3318
Janet Ellis	MONTANA AUDUBON PO BOX 595 HELENA MT 59624	443-3949	jellis@audubon.org
Jeanne Holmgren	DNRC P.O. Box 201601 Helena, MT 59620-1601		
Leslie Taylor	MSU Bozeman P.O. Box 172440 Bozeman, MT 59717-0001		lesliet@montana.edu
Nancy Schlepp	MT FARM BUREAU FEDERATION 502 S 19th, SUITE 4 BOZEMAN MT 59715	587-3153	nancy@mfbf.org
Ray Marxer	Matador Cattle Co. 9500 Blacktail Rd. Dillon, MT 59725	683-5691	marxerr@kochind.com
Rosi Keller	Univ. of Montana 32 Campus Dr. Missoula, MT 59812-0001	243-4662	rosickeller@umontana.edu
Jay Bodner	Stockgrowers 420 N. Calif. Helena, MT 59601	442-3420	jay@mtbeef.org
Tony Schoonen	Skyline Sportsmen Box 2, Ramsey 59748	782-1560 phone and fax	none